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Abstract
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CEM03.03 calculates reactions induced by nucleons, pions, bremsstrahlung and monochromatic photons on not too light targets at incident energies from \( \sim 10 \) MeV (\( \sim 30 \) MeV, in the case of \( \gamma + A \)) up to several GeV. This Manual describes the basic assumptions of the improved CEM as realized in the code CEM03.03, essential technical details of the code such as the description of the input and output files, and provides the user with necessary information for practical use of and for possible modification of the CEM03.03 output, if required.

The primary authors of CEM03.03 are: S. G. Mashnik\textsuperscript{a} (LANL), K. K. Gudima\textsuperscript{c} (IAP), and A. J. Sierk\textsuperscript{b} (LANL); with important contributions from R. E. Prael\textsuperscript{d} (LANL), M. I. Baznat\textsuperscript{e} (IAP), and N. V. Mokhov\textsuperscript{f} (Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory, Batavia, IL 60510, USA); (IAP = Institute of Applied Physics, Academy of Science of Moldova, Chişinău, Moldova).

\textsuperscript{a}E-mail: mashnik@lanl.gov
\textsuperscript{b}E-mail: t2ajs@lanl.gov
\textsuperscript{c}E-mail: gudima@cc.acad.md
\textsuperscript{d}E-mail: rep@lanl.gov
\textsuperscript{e}E-mail: baznat@cc.acad.md
\textsuperscript{f}E-mail: mokhov@fnal.gov
This software and ancillary information (herein called "software") named CEM03.03 is made available under the terms described here. The software has been approved for release with associated LA-CC number LA-CC-04-085.

Copyright (2012). Los Alamos National Security, LLC. This material was produced under U.S. Government contract DE-AC52-06NA25396 for Los Alamos National Laboratory, which is operated by Los Alamos National Security, LLC, for the U.S. Department of Energy. The Government is granted for itself and others acting on its behalf a paid-up, nonexclusive, irrevocable worldwide license in this material to reproduce, prepare derivative works, and perform publicly and display publicly.

NEITHER THE UNITED STATES NOR THE UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY, NOR LOS ALAMOS NATIONAL SECURITY LLC, NOR ANY OF THEIR EMPLOYEES, MAKES ANY WARRANTY, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, OR ASSUMES ANY LEGAL LIABILITY OR RESPONSIBILITY FOR THE ACCURACY, COMPLETENESS, OR USEFULNESS OF ANY INFORMATION, APPARATUS, PRODUCT, OR PROCESS DISCLOSED, OR REPRESENTS THAT ITS USE WOULD NOT INFRINGE PRIVATELY OWNED RIGHTS.

Additionally, the program is free software; you can redistribute it and/or modify it under the terms of the GNU General Public License as published by the Free Software Foundation; either version 2 of the License, or (at your option) any later version. Accordingly, this program is distributed in the hope that it will be useful, but WITHOUT ANY WARRANTY; without even the implied warranty of MERCHANTABILITY or FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE. See the GNU General Public License for more details.

The primary authors of CEM03.03 are: S. G. Mashnik (LANL), K. K. Gudima (IAP), and A. J. Sierk (LANL); with important contributions from R. E. Prael (LANL), M. I. Baznat (IAP), and N. V. Mokhov (Fermilab); (IAP = Institute of Applied Physics, Academy of Science of Moldova, Chișinău, Moldova).

If this SOFTWARE is modified to produce derivative works, such MODIFIED SOFTWARE should be clearly marked by name and in its documentation, while maintaining the above conditions of recognizing authorship, so as not to confuse it with the version(s) available from LANL.
## Contents

1. Introduction ............................................................................................................. 2

2. A Brief Survey of CEM03.03 Physics ................................................................. 2
   2.1. The INC ........................................................................................................... 7
   2.2. The Coalescence Model .................................................................................. 13
   2.3. Preequilibrium Reactions ............................................................................. 13
   2.4. Evaporation .................................................................................................... 18
   2.5. Fission ............................................................................................................ 24
   2.6. The Fermi Break-Up Model .......................................................................... 29
   2.7. Total Reaction Cross Sections (Normalization) ............................................... 31

3. Storage of Simulation Results ............................................................................... 31

4. Input File .............................................................................................................. 34

5. Output File ........................................................................................................... 40

Acknowledgments ....................................................................................................... 41

References ................................................................................................................ 41

Appendix 1: Ten Examples of CEM03.03 Input Files ........................................... 56

Appendix 2: Output Files for the Example Inputs .................................................. 61

Appendix 3: Figures with Results from the Example Outputs .............................. 93
1. Introduction

The Cascade-Exciton Model (CEM) of nuclear reactions was proposed 32 years ago at the Laboratory of Theoretical Physics, Joint Institute for Nuclear Research, Dubna, USSR by Gudima, Mashnik, and Toneev [1, 2]. It is based on the Dubna IntraNuclear Cascade (INC) [3, 4] and the Modified Exciton Model (MEM) [5, 6]. It was extended to consider photonuclear reactions [7] and to describe fission cross sections using different options for nuclear masses, fission barriers, and level densities [8] and its 1995 version, CEM95, was released to the public via NEA/OECD, Paris as the code IAEA1247, and via the Radiation Safety Information Computational Center (RSICC) at Oak Ridge, USA, as the RSICC code package PSR-357 [9].

The International Code Comparison for Intermediate Energy Nuclear Data [10, 11] organized during 1993–1994 at NEA/OECD in Paris to address the subject of codes and models used to calculate nuclear reactions from 20 to 1600 MeV showed that CEM95 had one of the best predictive powers to describe nucleon-induced reactions at energies above about 150 MeV when compared to other models and codes available at that time.

CEM95 and/or its predecessors and its successors CEM97 [12, 13], CEM2k [14], CEM2k+GEM2 [15–17], CEM03 [18, 19], CEM03.01 [20, 21], CEM03.02 [22, 23] and the latest version, CEM03.03 [23, 24] are used as stand-alone codes to study different nuclear reactions for applications and fundamental nuclear physics (see, e.g., [25–36] and references therein). Parts of different versions of the CEM code are used in many other stand-alone codes, like PICA95 [37], PICA3 [38], CASCADO [39], CAMO [40], MCFX [41], ECM [42], and NUCLEUS [43]. CEM95 and some of its predecessor or successor versions are incorporated wholly, or in part in different transport codes used in many applications, like CASCADE [44], GEANT4 [45, 46], SHIELD [47], RTS&T [48], SONET [49], CALOR [50], HETC-3STEP [51], CASCAD/EINPE [52], HADRON [53], and others. The latest version, CEM03.03 [23, 24], was recently incorporated as event generator in MCNP6 [54], MCNPX2.7.0 [55], MARS15 [56], and MRED [57].

All CEM code versions still have some problems to be solved, just as all similar models do. Following an increased interest in intermediate-energy nuclear data in relation to such projects as the Accelerator Transmutation of nuclear Wastes (ATW), the Accelerator Production of Tritium (APT), the Spallation Neutron Source (SNS), the Rare Isotope Accelerator (RIA), Proton Radiography (PRAD) as a radiographic probe for the Advanced Hydro-test Facility, and others, for several years the US Department of Energy has supported our work on the development of an improved version of the CEM which has led to the code CEM03.03 described here.

2. A Brief Survey of CEM03.03 Physics

The basic version of the modern CEM code is the so-called “03.01” version, namely CEM03.01 [20, 21]. The CEM03.01 code calculates nuclear reactions induced by nucleons, pions, and photons. It assumes that the reactions occur generally in three stages. The first stage is the IntraNuclear Cascade (INC), in which primary particles can be re-scattered and produce secondary particles several times prior to absorption by, or escape from the nucleus. When the cascade stage of a reaction is completed, CEM03.01 uses the coalescence model to “create” high-energy d, t, \(^3\)He, and \(^4\)He by final-state interactions among emitted cascade nucleons, already outside of the target. The emission of the cascade particles determines the particle-hole
configuration, $Z$, $A$, and the excitation energy that is the starting point for the second, pre-equilibrium stage of the reaction. The subsequent relaxation of the nuclear excitation is treated in terms of an improved version of the modified exciton model of pre-equilibrium decay followed by the equilibrium evaporation/fission stage of the reaction. Generally, all four components may contribute to experimentally measured particle spectra and other distributions. But if the residual nuclei after the INC have atomic numbers with $A \leq 12$, CEM03.01 uses the Fermi breakup model to calculate their further disintegration instead of using the pre-equilibrium and evaporation models. Fermi breakup is much faster to calculate and gives results very similar to the continuation of the more detailed models for much lighter nuclei.

The main difference of the following, so-called “03.02” version of CEM from the basic “03.01” version is that the earlier code only uses the Fermi breakup model to calculate the disintegration of light nuclei, in lieu of the pre-equilibrium and evaporation models, when the excited nuclei after the INC have a mass number $A \leq 12$, but not when such nuclei are produced after the pre-equilibrium, evaporation, or fission stages. This problem was solved in the 03.02 versions of CEM and LAQGSM, where the Fermi breakup model is used at all stages of a reaction, when producing an excited nucleus with $A \leq 12$. A schematic outline of a nuclear reaction calculation by CEM03.02 (and by CEM03.03) is shown in Fig. 1.

![Flow chart of nuclear-reaction calculations by CEM03.03.](image)

Figure 1: Flow chart of nuclear-reaction calculations by CEM03.03.

In addition, the routines that describe the Fermi breakup model in the basic 03.01 version of our codes were written more than twenty years ago in the group of Prof. Barashenkov at JINR, Dubna, Russia, and are not quite perfect, though they are quite reliable and are still used without any changes in some current transport codes. First, these routines allow in rare cases production of some light unstable fragments like $^5$He, $^5$Li, $^8$Be, $^9$B, etc., as a result of a
breakup of some light excited nuclei. Second, these routines allowed in some very rare cases the production of “neutron stars” (or “proton stars”), i.e., residual “nuclei” produced via Fermi breakup that consist of only neutrons (or only protons). Lastly, in some very rare cases, these routines could even crash the code, due to cases of 0/0. All these problems of the Fermi breakup model routines are addressed and solved in the 03.02 version of our codes [22, 23]. Several bugs are also fixed in 03.02 in comparison with its predecessor. On the whole, the 03.02 versions describe nuclear reactions on intermediate and light nuclei, and production of fragments heavier than $^4$He from heavy targets better than their predecessors (see Fig. 2 and Ref. [22]), rarely produce any unstable unphysical final products, and are free of the fixed bugs.

![Mass distribution of the product yields from the reaction 730 MeV p + $^{27}$Al calculated with CEM03.01 without considering the Fermi-break-up mode during the preequilibrium and evaporation stages of reactions (solid circles connected with a solid line) and with the extended version of the code referred to here and below as CEM03.02, that considers the Fermi break-up mode during the preequilibrium and evaporation stages of reactions (open circles connected with a dashed line) compared with experimental data available at a nearby energy of 800 MeV from the T-16 Lib compilation [58] (open red squares).](image)

Figure 2: Mass distribution of the product yields from the reaction 730 MeV p + $^{27}$Al calculated with CEM03.01 without considering the Fermi-break-up mode during the preequilibrium and evaporation stages of reactions (solid circles connected with a solid line) and with the extended version of the code referred to here and below as CEM03.02, that considers the Fermi break-up mode during the preequilibrium and evaporation stages of reactions (open circles connected with a dashed line) compared with experimental data available at a nearby energy of 800 MeV from the T-16 Lib compilation [58] (open red squares).

However, even after solving these problems and after implementing the improved Fermi breakup model into CEM03.02 [22], in some very rare cases, our code still could produce some unstable products via very asymmetric fission, when the excitation energy of such fragments was below 3 MeV and they were not checked and not disintegrated with the Fermi breakup model (see more details in [59]). This problem was addressed in the “03.03” versions of our
CEM (and LAQGSM) codes, where we force such unstable products to disintegrate via Fermi breakup independently of their excitation energy. Several more bugs were fixed on the “03.03” version as well. We emphasize that the occurrence of these problems even in the “03.01” version is quite rare, allowing stand-alone calculations of many nuclear reactions to proceed without problems, but are unacceptable when CEM (and LAQGSM) are used as event generators inside transport codes doing large-scale simulations.

To maintain historical clarity, we note here that the “standard 03.03” version of CEM produced as described above (see more details in [59]) is used at present only in MARS15 [56]. In MCNP6 [54] and in the latest versions of MCNPX, 2.7.A, 2.7.B, 2.7.C, 2.7.D, 2.7.E, and 2.7.0 (see [55] and references therein), as well as in the Monte Carlo Radiative Energy Deposition (MRED) code developed at Vanderbilt University for single event effect studies [57], we use now a slight modification of CEM03.02 which eliminates the rare fission-fragment problem just discussed by disallowing all fission into fragments with $A < 13$, a slightly simpler remedy of the difficulty. Therefore, there is no need to use the “standard 03.03” version to address this problem.

Until very recently, we have called this latest version of CEM included in MCNP6/X and in MRED as “CEM03.02” (to distinguish it from the “standard 03.03” version used in MARS15) though its physics is essentially identical. We used it to participate in the recent Benchmark of Spallation Models organized at the International Atomic Energy Agency during 2008-2009 [60], and it is referred to there as “CEM03.02”. As one can see from the numerous and various results presented at the Web site of that Benchmark [60], the results by “CEM03.02” are practically the same as those by “CEM03.03”, just as expected, differing only at the level of statistical fluctuations. The situation of having different names of the latest version of CEM in MCNP6/X and MRED as “CEM03.02” and as “CEM03.03” in MARS15 was confusing for people outside our Group, as kindly pointed out to us by one of the referees of our recent paper on Validation and Verificiation of MCNP6 [36]. To address this, we decided to call in Ref. [36] and in all our following publications the latest version of CEM we use at LANL (and in MRED at Vanderbilt University) “CEM03.03”.

CEM03.03 contains one more important fix relative to its 03.02 and 03.01 precursors. Recently we discovered an error in the calculation of the fission level-density parameter of a few fissioning preactinide nuclei $a_f$ (or more exactly, the ratio of level density parameters for the fission and neutron-evaporation channels, $a_f/a_n$; see details in Section 2.5.5 below and in Ref. [61]) for reactions on $^{181}$Ta and nearby $Z = 72$ or 73 nuclei in CEM03.01 [21], which we produced at the beginning of 2005. That error was not present in versions of CEM before 2005, but was introduced by accident in 2005 in CEM03.01. It migrated later also to the “03.02” and “03.03” versions of CEM, as well as to MCNP6/X, MRED, and MARS transport codes using those versions of CEM as event generators. Unfortunately, that error seriously affected the calculated fission cross section of $^{181}$Ta and of nearby nuclei, as well as the yield of fission fragments, and, to a lesser degree, also the spectra of secondary particles from such reactions. We discovered that error in the middle of 2011 and have fixed it in CEM03.03 (see details in Ref. [61] and Fig. 3 below). It was fixed also in the most recent versions of the transport codes MCNP6, MRED, and MARS15. However, users of versions of CEM03.01/.02/.03 and of transport codes MCNP6/X, MRED, and MARS15 produced after 2005 and before the second half of 2011 will still have it and need to update their versions of the codes to eliminate that error. For brevity’s sake, when we need to refer to all 03.03, 03.02, and 03.01 versions of CEM or of LAQGSM, we use a generalized notation “03.xx”.

Having discovered the 2005 error and knowing how it affects the CEM results, we can now
understand why in the recent works by Titarenko et al. [34, 35] it was found that CEM03.02 (which has practically the same physics as the version CEM03.03 described here) provided a poor agreement with the measured yields of the nuclides produced in proton interactions with $^{181}$Ta and the nearby target nuclei for energies above 250 MeV.

![Figure 3: Comparison of Prokofiev systematics (open circles) and of several measured proton-induced fission cross section data for $^{181}$Ta (symbols; all references may be found in Ref. [61]) with our old CEM03.xx calculations (black dashed lines) before we found the error in the middle of 2011, and with the updated CEM03.03 (red solid line), including the fix [61].](image)

We have collaborated with the ITEP Group of Prof. Titarenko for more than a decade and have analyzed with different versions of our CEM and LAQGSM codes practically all of the proton-induced activation data measured by this group: some 14,621 product yields, from proton reactions on 24 targets, from $^{nat}$Cr to $^{nat}$U, at incident energies from 40 MeV to 2.6 GeV. Generally, both the CEM and LAQGSM codes describe quite well the data measured by Titarenko et al. This group defines a mean deviation factor $< F >$, which involves an average of the ratio of the experimental to the theoretical cross section $s$ over all measured nuclide products for a particular reaction energy and target. For most of these reactions, our codes have a value of $< F >$ near or less than 2, nearly the best performance in comparison with about a dozen of other popular codes compared to the ITEP data (see, e.g., Figs. 4–8 and Figs. 9–11 in [35] and, especially, Fig. 9 in Ref. [32]). However, this was only “usually,” because in the case of $^{181}$Ta, this factor $< F >$ for CEM03.02 presented in Tab. 4 of Ref. [34] was of 1.61, 1.85, 2.21, 1.59, 1.42, 2.86, 4.17, 4.19, 4.30, 3.43, and 3.33 at energies of the bombarding protons of 40, 70, 100, 150, 250, 400, 600, 800, 1200, 1600, and 2600 MeV, respectively. The values of $< F >$ at proton energies above 250 MeV, are significantly higher than 2, and CEM03.02
does not provide, for these cases, the best agreement with the data in comparison with the other models tested in Ref. [34], a result that was unexpected and not understood when this paper was published. Similarly, from Fig. 14 of Ref. [35], we see that the mean deviation factor between results by CEM03.02 and measured data is usually within a factor of two, except for the Ta and nearby target nuclei at energies above $\sim 400$ MeV, where the agreement was found to be worse, as shown by that “red finger” in Fig. 14 of this paper. Now, we understand that this behavior was due to the 2005 error in the values of $a_f$ in CEM; as we see from Fig. 3; all nuclides arising from fission reactions will suffer from an under-prediction of the same order as the fission cross section. After fixing that problem, CEM03.03 calculates fission cross sections (and fission fragment yields) in a good agreement with available experimental data for reactions induced by nucleons, pions, and photons on both subactinide and actinide nuclei (from $^{165}$Ho to $^{239}$Pu; see details in Ref. [61]).

Finally, we replaced the random-number generator used in our model: In CEM03.01, CEM03.02, and CEM03.03 through most of 2011, we used an algorithm for the uniform random-number generator RNDM adopted from MARS15 [56], originally published in “Toward a Universal Random Number Generator” by George Marsaglia and Arif Zaman, Florida State University Report FSU-SCRI-87-50 (1987). It was later modified by F. James and published in “A Review of Pseudo-random Number Generators”. It is considered as one of the better random number generators available in the literature and is used by various modern Monte-Carlo codes. However, recently we have found that the newer MCNP5/6 random-number generator by Forrest Brown and Yasunobu Nagaya [62] is, because of a much longer period, extensive testing, and a faster execution speed in many implementations, better for our purposes. The MCNP6 version is entirely conformant to the FORTRAN-90 standard, and optionally preserves the exact random sequence of previous MCNP versions and is completely portable. In addition, new skip-ahead algorithms have been implemented to efficiently initialize the generator for new histories, a capability that greatly simplifies parallel algorithms. Finally, it has been subjected to sets of rigorous and extensive tests to verify that it produces a sufficiently random sequence (see, e.g. [63] and references therein). Because CEM03.03 remains in Fortran 77 format, we have adapted the MCNP6 generator to fixed source format, while preserving its basic functionality, but not the parallelization features. We find that with this new generator, CEM03.03 runs about 20% faster than with the older RNDM.

In the following we highlight the main assumptions of the models contained in CEM03.xx.

### 2.1. The INC

The intranuclear cascade model in CEM03.xx is based on the standard (non-time-dependent) version of the Dubna cascade model [3, 4]. All the cascade calculations are carried out in a three-dimensional geometry. The nuclear matter density $\rho(r)$ is described by a Fermi distribution with two parameters taken from the analysis of electron-nucleus scattering, namely

$$
\rho(r) = \rho_p(r) + \rho_n(r) = \rho_0\{1 + \exp[(r - c)/a]\},
$$

where $c = 1.07A^{1/3}$ fm, $A$ is the mass number of the target, and $a = 0.545$ fm. For simplicity, the target nucleus is divided by concentric spheres into seven zones in which the nuclear density is considered to be constant. The energy spectrum of the target nucleons is estimated in the perfect Fermi-gas approximation with the local Fermi energy $T_F(r) = \hbar^2[3\pi^2\rho(r)]^{2/3}/(2m_N)$, where $m_N$ is the nucleon mass. The influence of intranuclear nucleons on the incoming projectile
is taken into account by adding to its laboratory kinetic energy an effective real potential $V$, as well as by considering the Pauli principle which forbids a number of intranuclear collisions and effectively increases the mean free path of cascade particles inside the target. For incident nucleons $V \equiv V_N(r) = T_F(r) + \epsilon$, where $T_F(r)$ is the corresponding Fermi energy and $\epsilon$ is the binding energy of the nucleons. For pions, CEM03.xx uses a square-well nuclear potential with the depth $V_\pi \approx 25$ MeV, independently of the nucleus and pion energy, as was done in the initial Dubna INC [3, 4].

The interaction of the incident particle with the nucleus is approximated as a series of successive quasifree collisions of the fast cascade particles ($N$, $\pi$, or $\gamma$) with intranuclear nucleons:

$$NN \rightarrow NN, \quad NN \rightarrow \pi NN, \quad NN \rightarrow \pi_1, \cdots, \pi_i NN,$$

$$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N, \quad \pi N \rightarrow \pi_1, \cdots, \pi_i N \quad (i \geq 2).$$

In the case of pions, besides the elementary processes (3), CEM03.03 also takes into account pion absorption on nucleon pairs

$$\pi NN \rightarrow NN.$$

The momenta of the two nucleons participating in the absorption are chosen randomly from the Fermi distribution, and the pion energy is distributed equally between these nucleons in the center-of-mass system of the three particles participating in the absorption. The direction of motion of the resultant nucleons in this system is taken as isotropically distributed in space. The effective cross section for absorption is related (but not equal) to the experimental cross sections for pion absorption by deuterons.

In the case of photonuclear reactions, CEM03.xx follows [19] the ideas of the photonuclear version of the Dubna INC proposed initially 43 years ago by Gudima, Iljinov, and Toneev [64] to describe photonuclear reactions at energies above the Giant Dipole Resonance (GDR) region [65]. [At photon energies $T_\gamma = 10$–$40$ MeV, the de Broglie wavelength $\lambda/2\pi$ is of the order of 20–5 fm, greater than the average inter-nucleonic distance in the nucleus; the photons interact with the nuclear dipole resonance as a whole, thus the INC is not applicable.] Below the pion-production threshold, the Dubna INC considers absorption of photons on only "quasi-deuteron" pairs according to the Levinger model [66]:

$$\sigma_{\gamma A} = L \frac{Z(A - Z)}{A} \sigma_{\gamma d},$$

where $A$ and $Z$ are the mass and charge numbers of the nucleus, $L \approx 10$, and $\sigma_{\gamma d}$ is the total photoabsorption cross section on deuterons as defined from experimental data.

At photon energies above the pion-production threshold, the Dubna INC considers production of one or two pions; the specific mode of the reaction is chosen by the Monte-Carlo method according to the partial cross sections (defined from available experimental data):

$$\gamma + p \rightarrow p + \pi^0,$$

$$\rightarrow n + \pi^+, \quad (6)$$

$$\rightarrow p + \pi^+ + \pi^- \quad (7)$$

$$\rightarrow p + \pi^0 + \pi^0 \quad (8)$$

$$\rightarrow n + \pi^+ + \pi^0 \quad (9)$$

$$\rightarrow p + \pi^0 + \pi^0 \quad (10)$$

The cross sections of $\gamma + n$ interactions are derived from consideration of isotopic invariance, i.e. it is assumed that $\sigma(\gamma + n) = \sigma(\gamma + p)$. The Compton effect on intranuclear nucleons is
neglected, as its cross section is less than \( \approx 2\% \) of other reaction modes (see, e.g. Fig. 6.13 in Ref. [67]). The Dubna INC does not consider processes involving production of three and more pions; this limits the model’s applicability to photon energies \( T_\gamma \lesssim 1.5 \text{ GeV} \) [for \( T_\gamma \) higher than the threshold for three-pion production, the sum of the cross sections (8)–(10) is assumed to be equal to the difference between the total inelastic \( \gamma + p \) cross section and the sum of the cross sections of the two-body reactions (6)–(7)].

The integral cross sections for the free \( NN, \pi N \), and \( \gamma N \) interactions (2)–(10) are approximated in the Dubna INC model [3] used in CEM95 and its predecessors using a special algorithm of interpolation/extrapolation through a number of picked points, mapping as well as possible the experimental data. This was done very accurately by the group of Prof. Barashenkov using all experimental data available at that time, about 43 years ago. Currently the experimental data on cross sections is much more complete than at that time; therefore we have revised the approximations of all the integral elementary cross sections used in CEM95 and its predecessors. We started by collecting all published experimental data from all available sources. Then we developed an improved, as compared with the standard Dubna INC [3], algorithm for approximation of cross sections and developed simple and fast approximations for elementary cross sections which fit very well presently available experimental data not only to 5 GeV, the upper recommended energy for the present version of the CEM, but up to 50–100 GeV and higher, depending on availability of data (see details in [12, 19]). So far, we have in CEM03.xx new approximations for 34 different types of elementary cross sections induced by nucleons, pions, and gammas. Integral cross sections for other types of interactions taken into account in CEM03.xx are calculated from isospin considerations using the former as input.

The kinematics of two-body elementary interactions and absorption of photons and pions by a pair of nucleons is completely defined by a given direction of emission of one of the secondary particles. The cosine of the angle of emission of secondary particles in the c.m. system is calculated by the Dubna INC [3] as a function of a random number \( \xi \), distributed uniformly in the interval \([0,1]\) as

\[
\cos \theta = 2\xi^{1/2} \left[ \sum_{n=0}^{N} a_n \xi^n + (1 - \sum_{n=0}^{N} a_n)\xi^{N+1} \right] - 1,
\]

where \( N = M = 3 \),

\[
a_n = \sum_{k=0}^{M} a_{nk} T_i^k.
\]

The coefficients \( a_{nk} \) were fitted to the then available experimental data at a number of incident kinetic energies \( T_i \), then interpolated and extrapolated to other energies (see details in [3, 64, 65] and references therein). The distribution of secondary particles over the azimuthal angle \( \phi \) is assumed isotropic. For elementary interactions with more than two particles in the final state, the Dubna INC uses the statistical model to simulate the angles and energies of products (see details in [3]).

For the improved version of the INC in CEM03.xx, we use currently available experimental data and recently published systematics proposed by other authors and have developed new approximations for angular and energy distributions of particles produced in nucleon-nucleon and photon-proton interactions. So, for \( pp, np \), and \( nn \) interactions at energies up to 2 GeV, we did not have to develop our own approximations analogous to the ones described by Eqs. (11) and (12), since reliable systematics have been developed recently by Cugnon et al. for
the Liege INC [68], then improved still further by Duarte for the BRIC code [69]; we simply incorporate into CEM03.xx the systematics by Duarte [69]. Similarly, for $\gamma N$ interactions, we take advantage of the event generators for $\gamma p$ and $\gamma n$ reactions from the Moscow INC [70] and have ourselves developed a simple and fast algorithm to simulate unambiguously $d\sigma/d\Omega$ and to choose the corresponding value of $\Theta$ for any $E_\gamma$, using a single random number $\xi$ uniformly distributed in the interval $[0,1]$ (see details in [19]).

The analysis of experimental data has shown that the channel (8) of two-pion photoproduction proceeds mainly through the decay of the $\Delta^{++}$ isobar listed in the last Review of Particle Physics by the Particle Data Group as having the mass $M = 1232$ MeV

$$\gamma + p \rightarrow \Delta^{++} + \pi^-,$$

$$\Delta^{++} \rightarrow p + \pi^+,$$  \hspace{1cm} (13)

whereas the production cross section of other isobar components ($\frac{3}{2}, \frac{3}{2}$) are small and can be neglected. The Dubna INC uses the Lindenbaum-Sternheimer resonance model [71] to simulate the reaction (13). In this model, the mass of the isobar $M$ is determined from the distribution

$$\frac{dW}{dM} \sim F(E, M)\sigma(M),$$  \hspace{1cm} (14)

where $E$ is the total energy of the system, $F$ is the two-body phase space of the isobar and $\pi^-$ meson, and $\sigma$ is the isobar production cross section which is assumed to be equal to the cross section for elastic $\pi^+ p$ scattering.

The c.m. emission angle of the isobar is approximated using Eqs. (11) and (12) with the coefficients $a_{nk}$ listed in Tab. 3 of Ref. [65]; isotropy of the decay of the isobar in its c.m. system is assumed.

In order to calculate the kinematics of the non-resonant part of the reaction (8) and the two remaining three-body channels (9) and (10), the Dubna INC uses the statistical model. The total energies of the two particles (pions) in the c.m. system are determined from the distribution

$$\frac{dW}{dE_{\pi_1} dE_{\pi_2}} \sim (E - E_{\pi_1} - E_{\pi_2})E_{\pi_1}E_{\pi_2}/E,$$  \hspace{1cm} (15)

and that of the third particle (nucleon, $N$) from conservation of energy. The actual simulation of such reactions is done as follows: Using a random number $\xi$, we simulate in the beginning the energy of the first pion using

$$E_{\pi_1} = m_{\pi_1} + \xi(E_{\pi_1}^{max} - m_{\pi_1}),$$

where

$$E_{\pi_1}^{max} = [E^2 + m_{\pi_1}^2 - (m_{\pi_2} + m_N)^2]/2E.$$  \hspace{1cm} (16)

Then, we simulate the energy of the second pion $E_{\pi_2}$ according to Eq. (15) using the Monte-Carlo rejection method. The energy of the nucleon is calculated as $E_N = E - E_{\pi_1} - E_{\pi_2}$, following which we check that the “triangle law” for momenta

$$|p_{\pi_1} - p_{\pi_2}| \leq p_N \leq |p_{\pi_1} + p_{\pi_2}|$$
is fulfilled, otherwise this sampling is rejected and the procedure is repeated. The angles $\Theta$ and $\varphi$ of the pions are sampled assuming an isotropic distribution of particles in the c.m. system,

$$\cos \Theta_{\pi_1} = 2 \xi_1 - 1, \quad \cos \Theta_{\pi_2} = 2 \xi_2 - 1, \quad \varphi_{\pi_1} = 2\pi \xi_3, \quad \varphi_{\pi_2} = 2\pi \xi_4,$$

and the angles of the nucleon are defined from momentum conservation, $\vec{p}_N = -(\vec{p}_{\pi_1} + \vec{p}_{\pi_2})$.

More details on our new approximations for differential elementary cross sections may be found in [18, 19].

The Pauli exclusion principle at the cascade stage of the reaction is handled by assuming that nucleons of the target occupy all the energy levels up to the Fermi energy. Each simulated elastic or inelastic interaction of the projectile (or of a cascade particle) with a nucleon of the target is considered forbidden if the “secondary” nucleons have energies smaller than the Fermi energy. If they do, the trajectory of the particle is traced further from the forbidden point and a new interaction point, a new partner and a new interaction mode are simulated for the traced particle, etc., until the Pauli principle is satisfied or the particle leaves the nucleus.

In this version of the INC, the kinetic energy of the cascade particles is increased or decreased as they move from one of the seven potential regions (zones) to another, but their directions remain unchanged. That is, in our calculations, refraction or reflection of cascade nucleons at potential boundaries is neglected. CEM03.xx allows us to take into account refractions and reflections of cascade nucleons at potential boundaries; for this, one needs to change the value of the parameter irerefrac from 0 to 1 in the subroutine initial. But this option provides somewhat worse overall agreement of calculations with some experimental data, therefore the option of no refractions/ reflections was chosen as the default in CEM03.xx.

This INC does not take into account the so-called “trawling” effect [3]. That is, in the beginning of the simulation of each event, the nuclear density distributions for the protons and neutrons of the target are calculated according to Eq. (1) and a subsequent decrease of the nuclear density with the emission of cascade particles is not taken into account. Our detailed analysis of different characteristics of nucleon- and pion-induced reactions for targets from C to Am has shown that this effect may be neglected at incident energies below about 5 GeV in the case of heavy targets like actinides and below about 1 GeV for light targets like carbon. At higher incident energies the progressive decrease of nuclear density with the development of the intranuclear cascade has a strong influence on the calculated characteristics and this effect has to be taken into account [3]. Therefore, in transport codes that use as event generators both CEM03.xx and our high-energy code LAQGSM03.xx [23], we recommend simulating nuclear reactions with CEM03.xx at incident energies up to about 1 GeV for light nuclei like C and up to about 5 GeV for actinide nuclei, and to switch to simulations using LAQGSM03.03, which considers the “trawling” effect (target nucleon depletion during the cascade), at higher energies of transported particles.

An important ingredient of the CEM is the criterion for transition from the intranuclear cascade to the preequilibrium model. In conventional cascade-evaporation models (like ISABEL and Bertini’s INC used in MCNPX [55], fast particles are traced down to some minimal energy, the cutoff energy $T_{\text{cut}}$ (or one compares the duration of the cascade stage of a reaction with a cutoff time, in “time-like” INC models, such as the Liege INC [68])). This cutoff is usually less than $\simeq 10$ MeV above the Fermi energy, below which particles are considered to be absorbed by the nucleus. The CEM uses a different criterion to decide when a primary particle is considered to have left the cascade.

An effective local optical absorptive potential $W_{\text{opt.mod.}}(r)$ is defined from the local interaction cross section of the particle, including Pauli-blocking effects. This imaginary potential is
compared to one defined by a phenomenological global optical model $W_{\text{opt. exp.}}(r)$. We characterize the degree of similarity or difference of these imaginary potentials by the parameter

$$\mathcal{P} = \left| \frac{(W_{\text{opt. mod.}} - W_{\text{opt. exp.}})}{W_{\text{opt. exp.}}} \right|.$$  

(16)

When $\mathcal{P}$ increases above an empirically chosen value, the particle leaves the cascade, and is then considered to be an exciton. From a physical point of view, such a smooth transition from the cascade stage of the reaction seems to be more attractive than the “sharp cut-off” method. In addition, as was shown in Ref. [2], this improves the agreement between the calculated and experimental spectra of secondary nucleons, especially at low incident energies and backward angles of the detected nucleons (see e.g., Figs. 3 and 11 of Ref. [2]). More details about this can be found in [2, 14, 72].

CEM03.xx uses a fixed value $\mathcal{P} = 0.3$ (at incident energies below 100 MeV), just as all its predecessors did. With this value, we find that the cascade stage of the CEM is generally shorter than that in other cascade models. This fact leads to an overestimation of preequilibrium particle emission at incident energies above about 150 MeV, and correspondingly to an underestimation of neutron production from such reactions, as was established in Ref. [14]. In Ref. [14], this problem was solved temporarily in a very rough way by using the transition from the INC to the preequilibrium stage according to Eq. (16) when the incident energy of the projectile is below 150 MeV, and by using the “sharp cut-off” method with a cutoff energy $T_{\text{cut}} = 1$ MeV for higher incident energies. This “ad hoc” rough criterion solved the problem of underestimating neutron production at high energies, providing meanwhile a reasonably good description of reactions below 150 MeV. But it provides an unphysical discontinuity in some observables calculated by MCNPX using CEM2k [14] as an event generator, observed but not understood by Broeders and Konobeev [73]. In CEM03.xx, this problem is solved by using a smooth transition from the first criterion to the second one in the energy interval from 75 to 225 MeV, so that no discontinuities are produced in results from CEM03.xx.

Beside the changes to the Dubna INC mentioned above, we also made in the INC a number of other improvements and refinements, such as imposing momentum-energy conservation for each simulated event (the Monte-Carlo algorithm previously used in the CEM provided momentum-energy conservation only statistically, on the average, but not exactly for each simulated event) and using real binding energies for nucleons in the cascade instead of the approximation of a constant separation energy of 7 MeV used in previous versions of the CEM. We have also improved many algorithms used in the Monte-Carlo simulations in many subroutines, decreasing the computing time by up to a factor of 6 for heavy targets, which is very important when performing practical simulations with transport codes like MCNPX or MARS.

Let us mention that in the CEM the initial configuration for the preequilibrium decay (number of excited particles and holes, i.e. excitons $n_0 = p_0 + h_0$, excitation energy $E_0^*$, linear momentum $P_0$, and angular momentum $L_0$ of the nucleus) differs significantly from that usually postulated in exciton models. Our calculations [2, 74, 75] have shown that the distributions of residual nuclei remaining after the cascade stage of the reaction, i.e. before the preequilibrium emission, with respect to $n_0$, $p_0$, $h_0$, $E_0^*$, $P_0$, and $L_0$ are rather broad.\footnote{Unfortunately, this fact was misunderstood by the authors of the code HETC-3STEP [51]. In spite of the fact that it has been stressed explicitly, and figures with distributions of excited nuclei after the cascade stage of a reaction with respect to the number of excitons and other characteristics were shown in a number of publications (see, e.g., Fig. 5 in Ref. [2], Fig. 1 in Ref. [75], p. 109 in Ref. [74], and p. 706 in Ref. [26]), the authors of Ref. [51] misstated this fact as “Gudima et al. assumed the state of two particles and one hole at...
2.2. The Coalescence Model

When the cascade stage of a reaction is completed, CEM03.xx uses the coalescence model described in Refs. [76, 77] to “create” high-energy $d$, $t$, $^3$He, and $^4$He by final-state interactions among emitted cascade nucleons, already outside of the target nucleus. In contrast to most other coalescence models for heavy-ion induced reactions, where complex particle spectra are estimated simply by convolving the measured or calculated inclusive spectra of nucleons with corresponding fitted coefficients (see, e.g., [78] and references therein), CEM03.xx uses in its simulation of particle coalescence real information about all emitted cascade nucleons and does not use integrated spectra. CEM03.xx assumes that all the cascade nucleons having differences in their momenta smaller than $p_c$ and the correct isotopic content form an appropriate composite particle. This means that the formation probability for, e.g. a deuteron is

$$W_d(\vec{p}, b) = \int \int d\vec{p}_p d\vec{p}_n \rho_C(\vec{p}_p, b) \rho_C(\vec{p}_n, b) \delta(\vec{p}_p + \vec{p}_n - \vec{p}) \Theta(p_c - |\vec{p}_p - \vec{p}_n|),$$  \hspace{1cm} (17)

where the particle density in momentum space is related to the one-particle distribution function $f$ by

$$\rho_C(\vec{p}, b) = \int d\vec{r} f_C(\vec{r}, \vec{p}, b).$$  \hspace{1cm} (18)

Here, $b$ is the impact parameter for the projectile interacting with the target nucleus and the superscript index $C$ shows that only cascade nucleons are taken into account for the coalescence process. The coalescence radii $p_c$ were fitted for each composite particle in Ref. [76] to describe available data for the reaction Ne+U at 1.04 GeV/nucleon, but the fitted values turned out to be quite universal and were subsequently found to satisfactorily describe high-energy complex-particle production for a variety of reactions induced both by particles and nuclei at incident energies up to about 400 GeV/nucleon, when describing nuclear reactions with the Los Alamos version of the Quark-Gluon String Model (LAQGSM) [23, 36, 79] or with its predecessor, the Quark-Gluon String Model (QGSM) [80]. These parameters are:

$$p_c(d) = 90 \text{ MeV/c}; \quad p_c(t) = p_c(^3\text{He}) = 108 \text{ MeV/c}; \quad p_c(^4\text{He}) = 115 \text{ MeV/c}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (19)

As the INC of CEM03.xx is different from those of LAQGSM or QGSM, it is natural to expect different best values for $p_c$ as well. Our recent studies show that the values of parameters $p_c$ defined by Eq. (19) are also good for CEM03.xx for projectile particles with kinetic energies $T_0$ lower than 300 MeV and equal to or above 1 GeV. For incident energies in the interval 300 MeV $< T_0 \leq 1$ GeV, a better overall agreement with the available experimental data is obtained by using values of $p_c$ equal to 150, 175, and 175 MeV/c for $d$, $t(^3\text{He})$, and $^4\text{He}$, respectively. These values of $p_c$ are fixed as defaults in CEM03.xx. If several cascade nucleons are chosen to coalesce into composite particles, they are removed from the distributions of nucleons and do not contribute further to such nucleon characteristics as spectra, multiplicities, etc.

2.3. Preequilibrium Reactions

The subsequent preequilibrium interaction stage of nuclear reactions is considered by the CEM in the framework of an extension of the Modified Exciton Model (MEM) [5, 6].
the preequilibrium stage of a reaction we take into account all possible nuclear transitions changing the number of excitons \( n \) with \( \Delta n = +2, -2, \) and 0, as well as all possible multiple subsequent emissions of \( n, p, d, t, 3\text{He}, \) and \( 4\text{He}. \) The corresponding system of master equations describing the behavior of a nucleus at the preequilibrium stage is solved by the Monte-Carlo technique [1, 2].

For a preequilibrium nucleus with excitation energy \( E \) and number of excitons \( n = p + h, \) the partial transition probabilities changing the exciton number by \( \Delta n \) are

\[
\lambda_{\Delta n}(p, h, E) = \frac{2\pi}{\hbar} |M_{\Delta n}|^2 \omega_{\Delta n}(p, h, E)
\]

(20)

The emission rate of a nucleon of the type \( j \) into the continuum is estimated according to the detailed balance principle

\[
\Gamma_j(p, h, E) = \int_{V_j^c} \lambda_j^c(p, h, E, T)dT,
\]

\[
\lambda_j^c(p, h, E, T) = \frac{2s_j + 1}{2\pi^2\hbar^3} \mu_j \mathcal{R}_j(p, h) \frac{\omega(p - 1, h, E - B_j - T)}{\omega(p, h, E)} T\sigma_{\text{inv}}(T),
\]

(21)

where \( s_j, B_j, V_j^c, \) and \( \mu_j \) are the spin, binding energy, Coulomb barrier, and reduced mass of the emitted particle, respectively. The factor \( \mathcal{R}_j(p, h) \) ensures the condition for the exciton chosen to be the particle of type \( j \) and can easily be calculated by the Monte-Carlo technique.

Assuming an equidistant level scheme with the single-particle density \( g \), we have the level density of the \( n \)-exciton state as [81]

\[
\omega(p, h, E) = \frac{g(gE)^{p+h-1}}{p!h!(p+h-1)!}.
\]

(22)

This expression should be substituted into Eq. (21). For the transition rates (20), one needs the number of states taking into account the selection rules for intranuclear exciton-exciton scattering. The appropriate formulae have been derived by Williams [82] and later corrected for the exclusion principle and indistinguishability of identical excitons in Refs. [83, 84]:

\[
\omega_+(p, h, E) = \frac{1}{2} \left[ \frac{gE - \mathcal{A}(p + 1, h + 1)}{n + 1} \right]^2 \left[ \frac{gE - \mathcal{A}(p, h)}{gE - \mathcal{A}(p + 1, h + 1)} \right]^{n-1},
\]

\[
\omega_0(p, h, E) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{gE - \mathcal{A}(p, h)}{n} \left[ p(p-1) + 4ph + h(h-1) \right],
\]

\[
\omega_-(p, h, E) = \frac{1}{2} gph(n-2),
\]

(23)

where \( \mathcal{A}(p, h) = (p^2 + h^2 + p - h)/4 - h/2. \) By neglecting the difference of matrix elements with different \( \Delta n, M_+ = M_- = M_0 = M, \) we estimate the value of \( M \) for a given nuclear state by associating the \( \lambda_+(p, h, E) \) transition with the probability for quasi-free scattering of a nucleon above the Fermi level on a nucleon of the target nucleus. Therefore, we have

\[
\frac{<\sigma(v_{\text{rel}})v_{\text{rel}}>}{V_{\text{int}}} = \frac{\pi}{\hbar} |M|^2 \left[ \frac{gE - \mathcal{A}(p + 1, h + 1)}{gE - \mathcal{A}(p, h)} \right]^{n-1}.
\]

(24)
Here, $V_{\text{int}}$ is the interaction volume estimated as $V_{\text{int}} = \frac{4}{3}\pi(2r_c + \lambda/2\pi)^3$, with the de Broglie wave length $\lambda/2\pi$ corresponding to the relative velocity $v_{\text{rel}} = \sqrt{2T_{\text{rel}}/m_N}$. A value of the order of the nucleon radius is used for $r_c$ in the CEM: $r_c = 0.6$ fm.

The averaging in the left-hand side of Eq. (24) is carried out over all excited states taking into account the Pauli principle in the approximation

$$< \sigma(v_{\text{rel}}) > = < \sigma(v_{\text{rel}}) > < v_{\text{rel}} > .$$

The averaged cross section $< \sigma(v_{\text{rel}}) >$ is calculated by the Monte-Carlo simulation method and by introducing a factor $\eta$ effectively taking into account the Pauli principle exactly as is done in the Fermi-gas model (see, e.g., [85])

$$\sigma(v_{\text{rel}}) = \frac{1}{2}[\sigma_{pp}(v_{\text{rel}}) + \sigma_{pn}(v_{\text{rel}})]\eta(T_F/T) ,$$

where

$$\eta(x) = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} 1 - \frac{7}{5}x, & \text{if } x \leq 0.5 , \\ 1 - \frac{7}{5}x + \frac{2}{5}x(2 - \frac{1}{x})^{5/2}, & \text{if } x > 0.5 . \end{array} \right.$$ (27)

Here, $v_{\text{rel}}$ is the relative velocity of the excited nucleon (exciton) and the target nucleons in units of the speed of light and $T$ is the kinetic energy of the exciton. The free-particle interaction cross sections $\sigma_{pp}(v_{\text{rel}})$ and $\sigma_{pn}(v_{\text{rel}})$ in Eq. (26) are estimated using the relations suggested by Metropolis et al. [86]

$$\sigma_{pp}(v_{\text{rel}}) = \frac{10.63}{v_{\text{rel}}^2} - \frac{29.92}{v_{\text{rel}}} + 42.9 ,$$

$$\sigma_{pn}(v_{\text{rel}}) = \frac{34.10}{v_{\text{rel}}^2} - \frac{82.2}{v_{\text{rel}}} + 82.2 .$$ (28)

where the cross sections are given in mb.

The relative kinetic energy of colliding particles necessary to calculate $< v_{\text{rel}} >$ and the factor $\eta$ in Eqs. (26,27) are estimated in the so-called “right-angle collision” approximation [5], i.e. as a sum of the mean kinetic energy of an excited particle (exciton) measured from the bottom of the potential well $T_p = T_F + E/n$ plus the mean kinetic energy of an intranuclear nucleon partner $T_N = 3T_F/5$, that is $T_{\text{rel}} = T_p + T_N = 8T_F/5 + E/n$.

Combining (20), (22) and (24), we get finally for the transition rates:

$$\lambda_+(p, h, E) = \frac{< \sigma(v_{\text{rel}}) > v_{\text{rel}} >}{V_{\text{int}}} ,$$

$$\lambda_0(p, h, E) = \frac{< \sigma(v_{\text{rel}}) > v_{\text{rel}} > n + 1}{V_{\text{int}}} \left[ \frac{gE - A(p, h)}{gE - A(p + 1, h + 1)} \right]^{n+1} \frac{p(p-1) + 4ph + h(h-1)}{gE - A(p, h)} ,$$

$$\lambda_-(p, h, E) = \frac{< \sigma(v_{\text{rel}}) > v_{\text{rel}} >}{V_{\text{int}}} \left[ \frac{gE - A(p, h)}{gE - A(p + 1, h + 1)} \right]^{n+1} \frac{ph(n+1)(n-2)}{[gE - A(p, h)]^2} .$$ (29)

CEM considers the possibility of fast $d$, $t$, $^3$He, and $^4$He emission at the preequilibrium stage of a reaction in addition to the emission of nucleons. We assume that in the course of a reaction $p_j$ excited nucleons (excitons) are able to condense with probability $\gamma_j$ forming a complex particle which can be emitted during the preequilibrium state. A modification of

\footnote{Unfortunately, formula (27) as presented in Ref. [2] had some misprints; in the prior publication [1], it was correct.}
Eq. (21) for the complex-particle emission rates is described in detail in Refs. [1, 2]. The “condensation” probability $\gamma_j$ is estimated in those references as the overlap integral of the wave function of independent nucleons with that of the complex particle (cluster)

$$\gamma_j \approx p_j^3 (V_j/V)^{p_j-1} = p_j^3 (p_j/A)^{p_j-1}. \quad (30)$$

This is a rather crude estimate. In the usual way the values $\gamma_j$ are taken from fitting the theoretical preequilibrium spectra to the experimental ones, which gives rise to an additional, as compared to (30), dependence of the factor $\gamma_j$ on $p_j$ and excitation energy (see, e.g., Refs. [87, 88]), for each considered reaction.

The single-particle density $g_j$ for complex particle states is found in the CEM by assuming the complex particles move freely in a uniform potential well whose depth is equal to the binding energy of this particle in a nucleus [2]

$$g_j(T) = \frac{V(2s_j + 1)(2\mu_j)^{3/2}}{4\pi^2 \hbar^3} (T + B_j)^{1/2}. \quad (31)$$

As we stated previously, this is a crude approximation and it does not provide a good prediction of emission of preequilibrium $\alpha$ particles (see, e.g., [72] and references therein). In CEM03.xx, to improve the description of preequilibrium complex-particle emission, we estimate $\gamma_j$ by multiplying the estimate provided by Eq. (30) by an empirical coefficient $M_j(A, Z, T_0)$ whose values are fitted to available nucleon-induced experimental complex-particle spectra. We fix the fitted values of $M_j(A, Z, T_0)$ in CEM03.xx and complement them with routines gambetn and gambetp for their interpolation outside the region covered by our fitting. As shown in one example in Fig. 9 of Appendix 3, after fitting $M_j(A, Z, T_0)$, CEM03.03 describes quite well the measured spectra of all complex particles, providing a much better agreement with experimental data than all its predecessors did.

The CEM predicts forward peaked (in the laboratory system) angular distributions for preequilibrium particles. For instance, CEM03.xx assumes that a nuclear state with a given excitation energy $E^*$ should be specified not only by the exciton number $n$ but also by the momentum direction $\Omega$. Following Ref. [89], the master equation (11) from Ref. [2] can be generalized for this case provided that the angular dependence for the transition rates $\lambda_+, \lambda_0$, and $\lambda_- \quad (\text{Eq. (29)})$ is factorized. In accordance with Eqs. (24) and (25), in the CEM it is assumed that

$$<\sigma> \rightarrow <\sigma> F(\Omega), \quad (32)$$

where

$$F(\Omega) = \frac{d\sigma^{\text{free}}/d\Omega}{\int d\Omega' d\sigma^{\text{free}}/d\Omega'}. \quad (33)$$

The scattering cross section $d\sigma^{\text{free}}/d\Omega$ is assumed to be isotropic in the reference frame of the interacting excitons, thus resulting in an asymmetry in both the nucleus center-of-mass and laboratory frames. The angular distributions of preequilibrium complex particles are assumed [2] to be similar to those for the nucleons in each nuclear state.

This calculational scheme is easily realized by the Monte-Carlo technique. It provides a good description of double differential spectra of preequilibrium nucleons and a not-so-good but still satisfactory description of complex-particle spectra from different types of nuclear reactions at incident energies from tens of MeV to several GeV. For incident energies below about 200 MeV, Kalbach [90] has developed a phenomenological systematics for preequilibrium-particle angular distributions by fitting available measured spectra of nucleons and complex
particles. As the Kalbach systematics are based on measured spectra, they describe very well the double-differential spectra of preequilibrium particles and generally provide a better agreement of calculated preequilibrium complex particle spectra with data than does the CEM approach based on Eqs. (32,33). This is why we have incorporated into CEM03.xx the Kalbach systematics [90] to describe angular distributions of both preequilibrium nucleons and complex particles at incident energies up to 210 MeV. At higher energies, we use in CEM03.xx the CEM approach based on Eqs. (32,33).

By “preequilibrium particles” we mean particles which are emitted after the cascade stage of a reaction but before achieving statistical equilibrium at a time $t_{eq}$, which is fixed by the condition $\lambda_+(n_{eq}, E) = \lambda_-(n_{eq}, E)$ from which we get

$$n_{eq} \simeq \sqrt{2gE}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (34)

At $t \geq t_{eq}$ (or $n \geq n_{eq}$), the behavior of the remaining excited compound nucleus is described in the framework of both the Weisskopf-Ewing statistical theory of particle evaporation [91] and fission competition according to Bohr-Wheeler theory [92].

The parameter $g$ entering into Eqs. (29) and (34) is related to the level-density parameter of single-particle states $a = \pi^2 g/6$. At the preequilibrium stage, we calculate the level-density parameter $a$ with our own approximation [13] in the form proposed initially by Ignatyuk et al. [93], following the method by Iljinov et al. [94]:

$$a(Z, N, E^*) = \tilde{a}(A) \left\{ 1 + \delta W_{gs}(Z, N) \frac{f(E^* - \Delta)}{E^* - \Delta} \right\},$$ \hspace{1cm} (35)

where

$$\tilde{a}(A) = \alpha A + \beta A^{2/3} B_s$$ \hspace{1cm} (36)

is the asymptotic Fermi-gas value of the level density parameter at high excitation energies. Here, $B_s$ is the ratio of the surface area of the nucleus to the surface area of a sphere of the same volume (for the ground state of a nucleus, $B_s \approx 1$), and

$$f(E) = 1 - \exp(-\gamma E).$$ \hspace{1cm} (37)

$E^*$ is the total excitation energy of the nucleus, related to the “thermal” energy $U$ by: $U = E^* - E_R - \Delta$, where $E_R$ and $\Delta$ are the rotational and pairing energies, respectively.

We use the shell correction $\delta W_{gs}(Z, N)$ by Möller et al. [95] and the pairing energy shifts from Möller, Nix, and Kratz [96]. The values of the parameters $\alpha$, $\beta$, and $\gamma$ were derived in Ref. [13] by fitting the the same data analyzed by Iljinov et al. [94] (we discovered that Iljinov et al. used $11/\sqrt{A}$ for the pairing energies $\Delta$ in deriving their level-density systematics instead of the value of $12/\sqrt{A}$ stated in Ref. [94] and we also found several misprints in the nuclear level-density data shown in their Tables. 1 and 2 used in the fit). We find:

$$\alpha = 0.1463, \ \beta = -0.0716, \text{ and } \gamma = 0.0542.$$  

As mentioned in Section 2.1, the standard version of the CEM [2] provides an overestimation of preequilibrium particle emission from different p+A and A+A reactions we have analyzed (see more details in [14, 15]). One way to solve this problem suggested in Ref. [14] is to change the criterion for the transition from the cascade stage to the preequilibrium one, as described in Section 2.1. Another easy way suggested in Ref. [14] to shorten the preequilibrium stage of a
reaction is to arbitrarily allow only transitions that increase the number of excitons, $\Delta n = +2$, i.e., only allow the evolution of a nucleus toward the compound nucleus. In this case, the time of the equilibration will be shorter and fewer preequilibrium particles will be emitted, leaving more excitation energy for the evaporation. Such a “never-come-back” approach is used by some other exciton models, for instance, by the Multistage Preequilibrium Model (MPM) used in LAHET [97] and by FLUKA [98]. This approach was used in the CEM2k [14] version of the CEM and it allowed us to describe much better the p+A reactions measured at GSI in inverse kinematics at energies around 1 GeV/nucleon. Nevertheless, the “never-come-back” approach seems unphysical, therefore we no longer use it. We now address the problem of emitting fewer preequilibrium particles in the CEM by following Veselský [99]. We assume that the ratio of the number of quasiparticles (excitons) $n$ at each preequilibrium reaction stage to the number of excitons in the equilibrium configuration $n_{eq}$, corresponding to the same excitation energy, to be a crucial parameter for determining the probability of preequilibrium emission $P_{pre}$. This probability for a given preequilibrium reaction stage is evaluated using the formula

$$P_{pre}(n/n_{eq}) = 1 - \exp\left(-\frac{(n/n_{eq} - 1)^2}{2\sigma_{pre}^2}\right)$$

for $n \leq n_{eq}$ and equal to zero for $n > n_{eq}$. The basic assumption leading to Eq. (38) is that $P_{pre}$ depends exclusively on the ratio $n/n_{eq}$ as can be deduced from the results of Böhning [100] where the density of particle-hole states is approximately described using a Gaussian centered at $n_{eq}$. The parameter $\sigma_{pre}$ is a free parameter and we assume no dependence on excitation energy [99]. Our calculations of several reactions using different values of $\sigma_{pre}$ show that an overall reasonable agreement with available data can be obtained using $\sigma_{pre} = 0.4$–0.5 (see Fig. 11 in Ref. [15]). In CEM03.xx, we choose the fixed value $\sigma_{pre} = 0.4$ and use Eqs. (34,38) as criteria for the transition from the preequilibrium stage of reactions to evaporation, instead of using the “never-come-back” approach along with Eq. (34), as was done in CEM2k.

2.4. Evaporation

CEM03.xx uses an extension of the Generalized Evaporation Model (GEM) code GEM2 by Furihata [101]–[103] after the preequilibrium stage of reactions to describe evaporation of nucleons, complex particles, and light fragments heavier than $^4$He (up to $^{28}$Mg) from excited compound nuclei and to describe their fission, if the compound nuclei are heavy enough to fission ($Z \geq 65$). The GEM is an extension by Furihata of the Dostrovsky evaporation model [104] as implemented in LAHET [97] to include up to 66 types of particles and fragments that can be evaporated from an excited compound nucleus plus a modification of the version of Atchison’s fission model [105, 106] used in LAHET. Many of the parameters were adjusted by Furihata for a better description of fission reactions when using it in conjunction with the extended evaporation model.

A very detailed description of the GEM, together with a large amount of results obtained for many reactions using the GEM coupled either with the Bertini or ISABEL INC models in LAHET may be found in [101, 102]. Therefore, we present here only the main features of the GEM, following mainly [102] and using as well information obtained in private communications with Dr. Furihata.

Furihata did not change in the GEM the general algorithms used in LAHET to simulate evaporation and fission. The decay widths of evaporated particles and fragments are estimated
using the classical Weisskopf-Ewing statistical model [91]. In this approach, the decay probability $P_j$ for the emission of a particle $j$ from a parent compound nucleus $i$ with the total kinetic energy in the center-of-mass system between $\epsilon$ and $\epsilon + d\epsilon$ is

$$P_j(\epsilon)d\epsilon = g_j \sigma_{inv}(\epsilon) \frac{\rho_d(E - Q - \epsilon)}{\rho_i(E)} \epsilon d\epsilon,$$

where $E$ [MeV] is the excitation energy of the parent nucleus $i$ with mass $A_i$ and charge $Z_i$, and $d$ denotes a daughter nucleus with mass $A_d$ and charge $Z_d$ produced after the emission of ejectile $j$ with mass $A_j$ and charge $Z_j$ in its ground state. $\sigma_{inv}$ is the cross section for the inverse reaction, $\rho_i$ and $\rho_d$ are the level densities [MeV]$^{-1}$ of the parent and the daughter nucleus, respectively. $g_j = (2S_j + 1)m_j/\pi^2\hbar^2$, where $S_j$ is the spin and $m_j$ is the reduced mass of the emitted particle $j$. The $Q$-value is calculated using the excess mass $M(A, Z)$ as $Q = M(A_j, Z_j) + M(A_d, Z_d) - M(A_i, Z_i)$. In GEM2, four mass tables are used to calculate $Q$-values, according to the following priorities, where a lower priority table is only used outside the range of validity of the higher priority one: (1) the Audi-Wapstra mass table [107], (2) theoretical masses calculated by Möller et al. [95], (3) theoretical masses calculated by Comay et al. [108], (4) the mass excess calculated using the old Cameron formula [109]. As does LAHET, GEM2 uses Dostrovsky’s formula [104] to calculate the inverse cross section $\sigma_{inv}$ for all emitted particles and fragments

$$\sigma_{inv}(\epsilon) = \sigma_g \alpha \left(1 + \frac{\beta}{\epsilon}\right),$$

which is often written as

$$\sigma_{inv}(\epsilon) = \begin{cases} 
\sigma_g c_n (1 + b/\epsilon) & \text{for neutrons} \\
\sigma_g c_j (1 - V/\epsilon) & \text{for charged particles}
\end{cases},$$

where $\sigma_g = \pi R_b^2$ [fm$^2$] is the geometrical cross section, and

$$V = k_j Z_j Z_d e^2 / R_c$$

is the Coulomb barrier in MeV.

One new ingredient in GEM2 in comparison with LAHET, which considers evaporation of only 6 particles ($n$, $p$, $d$, $t$, $^3$He, and $^4$He), is that Furihata includes the possibility of evaporation of up to 66 types of particles and fragments and incorporates into GEM2 several alternative sets of parameters $b$, $c_j$, $k_j$, $R_b$, and $R_c$ for each particle type.

The 66 ejectiles considered by GEM2 for evaporation are selected to satisfy the following criteria: (1) isotopes with $Z_j \leq 12$; (2) naturally existing isotopes or isotopes near the stability line; (3) isotopes with half-lives longer than 1 ms. All the 66 ejectiles considered by GEM2 are shown in Table 1.
Table 1. The evaporated particles considered by GEM2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$Z_j$</th>
<th>Ejectiles</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>n</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>p</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>$^{3}\text{He}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>$^{6}\text{He}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>$^{7}\text{Be}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>$^{8}\text{Be}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>$^{10}\text{C}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>$^{12}\text{N}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>$^{14}\text{O}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>$^{17}\text{F}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>$^{18}\text{Ne}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>$^{21}\text{Na}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>$^{22}\text{Mg}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

GEM2 includes several options for the parameter set in expressions (40,41):

1) The “simple” parameter set is given as $c_n = c_j = k_j = 1$, $b = 0$, and $R_b = R_c = r_0(A_j^{1/3} + A_d^{1/3})$ [fm]; users need to input $r_0$.

2) The “precise” parameter set is used in GEM2 as the default, and we use this set in our present work.

A) For all light ejectiles up to $\alpha$ ($A_j \leq 4$), the parameters determined by Dostrovsky et al. [104] are used in GEM2, namely: $c_n = 0.76 + c_a A_d^{-1/3}$, $b = (b_a A_d^{-2/3} - 0.05)/(0.76 + c_a A_d^{-1/3})$ (and $b = 0$ for $A_d \geq 192$), where $c_a = 1.93$ and $b_a = 1.66$, $c_p = 1 + c$, $c_d = 1 + c/2$, $c_t = 1 + c/3$, $c_{^{3}\text{He}} = c_a = 0$, $k_p = k$, $k_d = k + 0.06$, $k_t = k + 0.12$, $k_{^{3}\text{He}} = k_a = 0.06$, where $c$, $k$, and $k_a$ are listed in Table 2 for a set of $Z_d$. Between the $Z_d$ values listed in Table 2, $c$, $k$, and $k_a$ are interpolated linearly. The nuclear distances are given by $R_b = 1.5 A_j^{1/3}$ for neutrons and protons, and $1.5 (A_d^{1/3} + A_j^{1/3})$ for d, t, $^{3}\text{He}$, and $\alpha$.

Table 2. $k$, $k_a$, and $c$ parameters used in GEM2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$Z_d$</th>
<th>$k$</th>
<th>$k_a$</th>
<th>$c$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\leq 20$</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>-0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>-0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\geq 50$</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>-0.10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The nuclear distance for the Coulomb barrier is expressed as $R_c = R_d + R_j$, where $R_d = r_0 A_j^{1/3}$, $r_0 = 1.7$, and $R_j = 0$ for neutrons and protons, and $R_j = 1.2$ for d, t, $^{3}\text{He}$, and $^{4}\text{He}$. We note that several of these parameters are similar to the original values published by Dostrovsky et al. [104] but not exactly the same. Dostrovsky et al. [104] had $c_a = 2.2$, $b_a = 2.12$, and $r_0 = 1.5$. Also, for the $k$, $k_a$, and $c$ parameters shown in Table 2, they had slightly different values, shown in Table 3.
B) For fragments heavier than $\alpha$ ($A_j \geq 4$), the “precise” parameters of GEM2 use values by Matsuse et al. [110], namely: $c_j = k = 1$, $R_b = R_0(A_j) + R_0(A_d) + 2.85$ [fm], $R_c = R_0(A_j) + R_0(A_d) + 3.75$ [fm], where $R_0(A) = 1.12A^{1/3} - 0.86A^{-1/3}$.

3) The code GEM2 contains two other options for the parameters of the inverse cross sections.

A) A set of parameters due to Furihata for light ejectiles in combination with Matsuse’s parameters for fragments heavier than $\alpha$. Furihata and Nakamura determined $k_j$ for p, d, t, $^3$He, and $\alpha$ as follows [103]:

$$k_j = c_1 \log(Z_d) + c_2 \log(A_d) + c_3.$$  

The coefficients $c_1$, $c_2$, and $c_3$ for each ejectile are shown in Table 4.

Table 4. $c_1$, $c_2$, and $c_3$ for p, d, t, $^3$He, and $\alpha$ from [103]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ejectile</th>
<th>$c_1$</th>
<th>$c_2$</th>
<th>$c_3$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>p</td>
<td>0.0615</td>
<td>0.0167</td>
<td>0.3227</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d</td>
<td>0.0556</td>
<td>0.0135</td>
<td>0.4067</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>t</td>
<td>0.0530</td>
<td>0.0134</td>
<td>0.4374</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$^3$He</td>
<td>0.0484</td>
<td>0.0122</td>
<td>0.4938</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\alpha$</td>
<td>0.0468</td>
<td>0.0122</td>
<td>0.5120</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

When these parameters are chosen in GEM2, the following nuclear radius $R$ is used in the calculation of $V$ and $\sigma_g$:

$$R = \begin{cases} 
0 & \text{for } A = 1, \\
1.2 & \text{for } 2 \leq A \leq 4, \\
2.02 & \text{for } 5 \leq A \leq 6, \\
2.42 & \text{for } A = 7, \\
2.83 & \text{for } A = 8, \\
3.25 & \text{for } A = 9, \\
1.414A_d^{1/3} + 1 & \text{for } A \geq 10. 
\end{cases}$$

B) The second new option in GEM2 is to use Furihata’s parameters for light ejectiles up to $\alpha$ and the Botvina et al. [111] parameterization for inverse cross sections for heavier ejectiles. Botvina et al. [111] found that $\sigma_{inv}$ can be expressed as

$$\sigma_{inv} = \sigma_g \begin{cases} 
(1 - V/\epsilon) & \text{for } \epsilon \geq V + 1 \text{ [MeV]}, \\
\exp[\alpha(\epsilon - V - 1)]/(V + 1) & \text{for } \epsilon < V + 1 \text{ [MeV]}, 
\end{cases}$$  \hspace{1cm} (42)$$

where

$$\alpha = 0.869 + 9.91/Z_j,$$
\[ V = \frac{Z_j Z_d}{r_0^b (A_j^{1/3} + A_d^{1/3})}, \]
\[ r_0^b = 2.173 \frac{1 + 6.103 \times 10^{-3} Z_j Z_d}{1 + 9.443 \times 10^{-3} Z_j Z_d} [\text{fm}]. \]

The expression of \( \sigma_{\text{inv}} \) for \( \epsilon < V + 1 \) shows the fusion reaction in the sub-barrier region. When using Eq. (42) instead of Eq. (40), the total decay width for a fragment emission cannot be calculated analytically. Therefore, the total decay width must be calculated numerically and takes much CPU time.

The total decay width \( \Gamma_j \) is calculated by integrating Eq. (39) with respect to the total kinetic energy \( \epsilon \) from the Coulomb barrier \( V \) up to the maximum possible value, \( (E - Q) \). The good feature of Dostrovsky’s approximation for the inverse cross sections, Eq. (40), is its simple energy dependence that allows the analytic integration of Eq. (39). By using Eq. (40) for \( \sigma_{\text{inv}} \), the total decay width for the particle emission is

\[ \Gamma_j = \frac{g_j \sigma_{\text{g}} \alpha}{\rho_i(E)} \int_V^{E-Q} \epsilon \left( 1 + \frac{\beta}{\epsilon} \right) \rho_d(E - Q - \epsilon) d\epsilon. \quad (43) \]

The level density \( \rho(E) \) is calculated in GEM2 according to the Fermi-gas model using the expression [112]

\[ \rho(E) = \frac{\pi}{12} \frac{\exp(2 \sqrt{a(E - \delta)})}{a^{1/4} (E - \delta)^{5/4}}, \quad (44) \]

where \( a \) is the level density parameter and \( \delta \) is the pairing energy in MeV. As does LAHET, GEM2 uses the \( \delta \) values evaluated by Cook et al. [113]. For those values not evaluated by Cook et al., \( \delta \)'s from Gilbert and Cameron [112] are used instead. The simplest option for the level-density parameter in GEM2 is \( a = A_d/8 \) [MeV\(^{-1}\)], but the default is the Gilbert-Cameron-Cook-Ignatyuk (GCCI) parameterization from LAHET [97]:

\[ a = \tilde{a} \frac{1 - e^{-u}}{u} + a_I \left( 1 - \frac{1 - e^{-u}}{u} \right), \quad (45) \]

where \( u = 0.05(E - \delta) \), and

\[ a_I = (0.1375 - 8.36 \times 10^{-5} A_d) \times A_d, \]

\[ \tilde{a} = \begin{cases} A_d/8 & \text{for } Z_d < 9 \text{ or } N_d < 9, \\ A_d(a' + 0.00917 S) & \text{for others}. \end{cases} \]

For deformed nuclei with \( 54 \leq Z_d \leq 78, 86 \leq Z_d \leq 98, 86 \leq N_d \leq 122, \) or \( 130 \leq N_d \leq 150, \) \( a' = 0.12 \) while \( a' = 0.142 \) for other nuclei. The shell corrections \( S \) is expressed as a sum of separate contributions from neutrons and protons, i.e. \( S = S(Z_d) + S(N_d) \) from [112, 113] and are tabulated in [101].

The level density is calculated using Eq. (44) only for high excitation energies, \( E \geq E_x \), where \( E_x = U_x + \delta \) and \( U_x = 2.5 + 150/A_x \) (all energies are in MeV). At lower excitation energies, the following [112] is used for the level density:

\[ \rho(E) = \frac{\pi}{12 T} \exp((E - E_0)/T), \quad (46) \]
where $T$ is the nuclear temperature defined as $1/T = \sqrt{a/U_x} - 1.5/U_x$. To provide a smooth connection of Eqs. (44) and (46) at $E = E_x$, $E_0$ is defined as $E_0 = E_x - T(\log T - 0.25 \log a - 1.25 \log U_x + 2\sqrt{aU_x})$.

For $E - Q - V < E_x$, substituting Eq. (46) into Eq. (44) we can calculate the integral analytically, if we neglect the dependence of the level density parameter $a$ on $E$:

$$
\Gamma_j = \frac{\pi g_j \sigma_g \alpha}{12 \rho_i(E)} \{ I_1(t, t) + (\beta + V) I_0(t) \},
$$

(47)

where $I_0(t)$ and $I_1(t, t_x)$ are expressed as

$$
I_0(t) = e^{-E_0/T}(e^t - 1),
$$

$$
I_1(t, t_x) = e^{-E_0/T}(e^{(t-t_x+1)} - e^{t_x} - t - 1),
$$

where $t = (E - Q - V)/T$ and $t_x = E_x/T$. For $E - Q - V \geq E_x$, the integral of Eq. (43) cannot be solved analytically because of the denominator in Eq. (44). However, it is approximated as

$$
\Gamma_j = \frac{\pi g_j \sigma_g \alpha}{12 \rho_i(E)} \{ I_1(t, t_x) + I_3(s, s_x) e^s + (\beta + V) \{ I_0(t_x) - I_2(s, s_x) e^s \} \},
$$

(48)

where $I_2(s, s_x)$ and $I_3(s, s_x)$ are given by

$$
I_2(s, s_x) = 2\sqrt{2} \{ s^{-3/2} + 1.5 s^{-5/2} + 3.75 s^{-7/2} - (s_x^{-3/2} + 1.5 s_x^{-5/2} + 3.75 s_x^{-7/2}) e^{s_x - s} \},
$$

$$
I_3(s, s_x) = (\sqrt{2}a)^{-1} \{ 2s^{-1/2} + 4s^{-3/2} + 13.5 s^{-5/2} + 60.0 s^{-7/2} + 325.125 s^{-9/2} \\
- \{(s^2 - s_x^2)s_x^{-3/2} + (1.5 s^2 + 0.5 s_x^2)s_x^{-5/2} + (3.75 s^2 + 0.25 s_x^2)s_x^{-7/2} + (12.875 s^2 + 0.625 s_x^2)s_x^{-9/2} + (59.0625 s^2 + 0.9375 s_x^2)s_x^{-11/2} + (324.8 s_x^2 + 3.28 s_x^2)s_x^{-13/2} \} e^{s_x - s} \},
$$

with $s = 2\sqrt{a(E - Q - V - \delta)}$ and $s_x = 2\sqrt{a(E_x - \delta)}$.

The particle type $j$ to be evaporated is selected in GEM2 by the Monte-Carlo method according to the probability distribution calculated as $P_j = \Gamma_j / \sum_j \Gamma_j$, where $\Gamma_j$ is given by Eqs. (47) or (48). The total kinetic energy $\epsilon$ of the emitted particle $j$ and the recoil energy of the daughter nucleus is chosen according to the probability distribution given by Eq. (39). The angular distribution of ejectiles is simulated to be isotropic in the center-of-mass system.

According to Friedman and Lynch [114], it is important to include excited states in the particle emitted via the evaporation process along with evaporation of particles in their ground states, because it greatly enhances the yield of heavy particles. Taking this into consideration, GEM2 includes evaporation of complex particles and light fragments both in the ground states and excited states. An excited state of a fragment is included in calculations if its half-lifetime $T_{1/2}(s)$ satisfies the following condition:

$$
\frac{T_{1/2}}{\ln 2} > \frac{h}{\Gamma_j^*},
$$

(49)

where $\Gamma_j^*$ is the decay width of the excited particle (resonance). GEM2 calculates $\Gamma_j^*$ in the same manner as for a ground-state particle emission. The $Q$-value for the resonance emission is expressed as $Q^* = Q + E_j^*$, where $E_j^*$ is the excitation energy of the resonance. The spin state of the resonance $S_j^*$ is used in the calculation of $g_j$, instead of the spin of the ground state $S_j$. 
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GEM2 uses the ground state masses $m_j$ for excited states because the difference between the masses is negligible.

Instead of treating a resonance as an independent particle, GEM2 simply enhances the decay width $\Gamma_j$ of the ground state particle emission as follows:

$$\Gamma_j = \Gamma_j^0 + \sum_n \Gamma_j^n,$$

where $\Gamma_j^0$ is the decay width of the ground state particle emission, and $\Gamma_j^n$ is that of the $n$th excited state of the particle $j$ emission which satisfies Eq. (49).

The total-kinetic-energy distribution of the excited particles is assumed to be the same as that of the ground-state particle. $S_j^\ast$, $E_j^\ast$, and $T_{1/2}$ used in GEM2 are extracted from the Evaluated Nuclear Structure Data File (ENSDF) database maintained by the National Nuclear Data Center at Brookhaven National Laboratory [115].

Note that when including evaporation of up to 66 particles in GEM2, its running time increases significantly compared to the case when evaporating only 6 particles, up to $^4$He. The major particles emitted from an excited nucleus are n, p, d, t, $^3$He, and $^4$He. For most cases, the total emission probability of particles heavier than $\alpha$ is negligible compared to those for the emission of light ejectiles. Our detailed study of different reactions (see, e.g., [116] and references therein) shows that if we study only nucleon and complex-particle spectra or only spallation and fission products and are not interested in light fragments, we can consider evaporation of only 6 types of particles in GEM2 and save much time, getting results very close to the ones calculated with the more time consuming “66” option. In CEM03.xx, we have introduced an input parameter called `nevtype` that defines the number of types of particles to be considered at the evaporation stage. The index of each type of particle that can be evaporated corresponds to the particle arrangement in Table 1, with values, e.g., of 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, and 6 for n, p, d, t, $^3$He, and $^4$He, with succeeding values up to 66 for $^{28}$Mg. All 66 particles that can possibly evaporate are listed in CEM03.xx together with their mass number, charge, and spin values in the block data bdejc. For all ten examples of inputs and outputs of CEM03.03 included in Appendices 1 and 2, whose results (when run with much larger numbers of events to improve statistics) are plotted in the figures in Appendix 3, we have performed calculations taking into account only 6 types of evaporated particles (`nevtype = 6`) as well as with the “66” option (`nevtype = 66`) and we provide the corresponding computing time for these examples in the captions to the appropriate figures shown in Appendix 3. The “6” option can be up to several times faster than the “66” option, providing meanwhile almost the same results. Therefore we recommend that users of CEM03.03 use 66 for the value of the input parameter `nevtype` only when they are interested in all fragments heavier than $^4$He; otherwise, we recommend the default value of 6 for `nevtype`, saving computing time. Alternatively, users may choose intermediate values of `nevtype`, for example 9 if one wants to calculate the production of $^6$Li, or 14 for modeling the production of $^9$Be and lighter fragments and nucleons only, while still saving computing time compared to running the code with the maximum value of 66.

2.5. Fission

The fission model used in GEM2 is based on Atchison’s model [105, 106] as implemented in LAHET [97], often referred in the literature as the Rutherford Appleton Laboratory (RAL) fission model, which is where Atchison developed it. In GEM2 there are two choices of parameters for the fission model: one of them is the original parameter set by Atchison [105, 106] as
implemented in LAHET [97], and the other is a parameter set developed by Furihata [101, 102].

2.5.1. Fission Probability. The Atchison fission model is designed to describe only fission of nuclei with \( Z \geq 70 \) (we extended it in our CEM03.xx and LAQGSM03.xx codes down to \( Z \geq 65 \)). It assumes that fission competes only with neutron emission, i.e., from the widths \( \Gamma_j \) of n, p, d, t, \(^3\)He, and \(^4\)He, the RAL code calculates the probability of evaporation of any particle. When a charged particle is selected to be evaporated, no fission competition is taken into account. When a neutron is selected to be evaporated, the code does not actually simulate its evaporation, instead it considers that fission may compete, and chooses either fission or evaporation of a neutron according to the fission probability \( P_f \). This quantity is treated by the RAL code differently for the elements above and below \( Z = 89 \). The reasons Atchison split the calculation of the fission probability \( P_f \) are: (1) there is very little experimental information on fission in the region \( Z = 85 \) to 88, (2) the marked rise in the fission barrier for nuclei with \( Z^2/A \) below about 34 (see Fig. 2 in [106]) together with the disappearance of asymmetric mass splitting, indicates that a change in the character of the fission process occurs. If experimental information were available, a split between regions around \( Z^2/A \approx 34 \) would be more sensible [106].

1) \( 65 \leq Z_j \leq 88 \). For fissioning nuclei with \( 65 \leq Z_j \leq 88 \), GEM2 uses the original Atchison calculation of the neutron emission width \( \Gamma_n \) and fission width \( \Gamma_f \) to estimate the fission probability as

\[
P_f = \frac{\Gamma_f}{\Gamma_f + \Gamma_n} = \frac{1}{1 + \Gamma_n/\Gamma_f}.
\]

(51)

Atchison uses [105, 106] the Weisskopf and Ewing statistical model [91] with an energy-independent pre-exponential factor for the level density (see Eq. (44)) and Dostrovsky’s [104] inverse cross section for neutrons and estimates the neutron width \( \Gamma_n \) as

\[
\Gamma_n = 0.352(1.68J_0 + 1.93A_i^{1/3}J_1 + A_i^{2/3}(0.76J_1 - 0.05J_0)),
\]

(52)

where \( J_0 \) and \( J_1 \) are functions of the level density parameter \( a_n \) and \( s_n(= 2\sqrt{a_n(E - Q_n - \delta)}) \),

\[
J_0 = \frac{(s_n - 1)e^{s_n} + 1}{2a_n},
\]

\[
J_1 = \frac{(2s_n^2 - 6s_n + 6)e^{s_n} + s_n^2 - 6}{8a_n^2}.
\]

Note that the RAL model uses a fixed value for the level density parameter \( a_n \), namely

\[
a_n = (A_i - 1)/8,
\]

(53)

and this approximation is kept in GEM2 when calculating the fission probability according to Eq. (51), although it differs from the GCCI parameterization (45) used in GEM2 to calculate particle evaporation widths. The fission width for nuclei with \( 65 \leq Z_j \leq 88 \) is calculated in the RAL model and in the GEM as

\[
\Gamma_f = \frac{(s_f - 1)e^{s_f} + 1}{a_f},
\]

(54)

where \( s_f = 2\sqrt{a_f(E - B_f - \delta)} \) and the level density parameter in the fission mode \( a_f \) is fitted by Atchison to describe the measured \( \Gamma_f/\Gamma_n \) to be [106]:

\[
a_f = a_n \left( 1.08926 + 0.01098(\chi - 31.08551)^2 \right),
\]

(55)
and $\chi = Z^2/A$. The fission barriers $B_f$ [MeV] are approximated by

$$B_f = Q_n + 321.2 - 16.7\frac{Z_i^2}{A_i} + 0.218\left(\frac{Z_i^2}{A_i}\right)^2.$$ (56)

Note that neither the angular momentum nor the excitation energy of the nucleus are taken into account in finding the fission barriers.

2) $Z_j \geq 89$. For heavy fissioning nuclei with $Z_j \geq 89$ GEM2 follows the RAL model [105, 106] and does not calculate at all the fission width $\Gamma_f$ and does not use Eq. (51) to estimate the fission probability $P_f$. Instead, the following semi-empirical expression obtained by Atchison [105, 106] by approximating the experimental values of $\Gamma_n/\Gamma_f$ published by Vandenbosch and Huizenga [117] is used to calculate the fission probability:

$$\log(\Gamma_n/\Gamma_f) = C(Z_i)(A_i - A_0(Z_i)),$$ (57)

where $C(Z)$ and $A_0(Z)$ are constants depending on the nuclear charge $Z$ only. The values of these constants are those used in the current version of LAHET [97] and are tabulated in Table 5 (note that some adjustments of these values have been done since Atchison’s papers [105, 106] were published).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$Z$</th>
<th>$C(Z)$</th>
<th>$A_0(Z)$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>89</td>
<td>0.23000</td>
<td>219.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90</td>
<td>0.23300</td>
<td>226.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>91</td>
<td>0.12225</td>
<td>229.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>92</td>
<td>0.14727</td>
<td>234.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>93</td>
<td>0.13559</td>
<td>238.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>94</td>
<td>0.15735</td>
<td>241.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95</td>
<td>0.16597</td>
<td>243.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>96</td>
<td>0.17589</td>
<td>245.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>97</td>
<td>0.18018</td>
<td>246.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>98</td>
<td>0.19568</td>
<td>250.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>99</td>
<td>0.16313</td>
<td>254.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>0.17123</td>
<td>257.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>101</td>
<td>0.17123</td>
<td>261.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>102</td>
<td>0.17123</td>
<td>264.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>103</td>
<td>0.17123</td>
<td>268.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>104</td>
<td>0.17123</td>
<td>271.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>105</td>
<td>0.17123</td>
<td>275.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>106</td>
<td>0.17123</td>
<td>278.80</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In this approach the fission probability $P_f$ is independent of the excitation energy of the fissioning nucleus and its angular momentum.

2.5.2. Mass Distribution. The selection of the mass of the fission fragments depends on whether the fission is symmetric or asymmetric. For a pre-fission nucleus with $Z_i^2/A_i \leq 35$, only symmetric fission is allowed. For $Z_i^2/A_i > 35$, both symmetric and asymmetric fission are
allowed, depending on the excitation energy of the fissioning nucleus. No new parameters were determined for asymmetric fission in GEM2.

For nuclei with $Z_i^2/A_i > 35$, whether the fission is symmetric or not is determined by the asymmetric fission probability $P_{asy}$

$$P_{asy} = \frac{4870e^{-0.36E}}{1 + 4870e^{-0.36E}}. \tag{58}$$

2.5.2.a. Asymmetric fission. For asymmetric fission, the mass of one of the post-fission fragments $A_1$ is selected from a Gaussian distribution of mean $A_f = 140$ and width $\sigma_M = 6.5$. The mass of the second fragment is $A_2 = A_i - A_1$.

2.5.2.b. Symmetric fission. For symmetric fission, $A_1$ is selected from the Gaussian distribution of mean $A_f = A_i/2$ and two options for the width $\sigma_M$ as described below.

The first option for choosing $\sigma_M$ is the original Atchison approximation:

$$\sigma_M = \begin{cases} 3.97 + 0.425(E - B_f) - 0.00212(E - B_f)^2; \\ 25.27, \end{cases} \tag{59}$$

for $(E - B_f)$ below or above 100 MeV, respectively. In this expression all values are in MeV and the fission barriers $B_f$ are calculated according to Eq. (56) for nuclei with $Z_i \leq 88$. For nuclei with $Z_i > 88$, the expression by Neuzil and Fairhall [118] is used:

$$B_f = C - 0.36(Z_i^2/A_i), \tag{60}$$

where $C = 18.8, 18.1, 18.1, \text{ and } 18.5 \text{ [MeV]}$ for odd-odd, even-odd, odd-even, and even-even nuclei, respectively.

The second option in GEM2 for $\sigma_M$ (used here) was found by Furihata as:

$$\sigma_M = C_3(Z_i^2/A_i)^2 + C_4(Z_i^2/A_i) + C_5(E - B_f) + C_6. \tag{61}$$

The constants $C_3 = 0.122, C_4 = -7.77, C_5 = 3.32 \times 10^{-2}, \text{ and } C_6 = 134.0$ were obtained by fitting with GEM2 the recent Russian collection of experimental fission-fragment mass distributions [119]. In this expression, the fission barriers $B_f$ by Myers and Swiatecki [120] are used. More details may be found in Ref. [102].

2.5.3. Charge Distribution. The charge distribution of fission fragments is assumed to be a Gaussian distribution of mean $Z_f$ and width $\sigma_Z$. $Z_f$ is expressed as

$$Z_f = \frac{Z_i + Z'_1 - Z'_2}{2}, \tag{62}$$

where

$$Z'_l = \frac{65.5A_l}{131 + A_l^{2/3}}, l = 1 \text{ or } 2. \tag{63}$$

The original Atchison model uses $\sigma_Z = 2.0$. An investigation by Furihata [102] suggests that $\sigma_Z = 0.75$ provides a better agreement with data; therefore $\sigma_Z = 0.75$ is used in GEM2 and in our code.
2.5.4. Kinetic Energy Distribution. The kinetic energy of fission fragments [MeV] is determined by a Gaussian distribution with mean $\epsilon_f$ and width $\sigma_{\epsilon_f}$.

The original parameters in the Atchison model are:

$$
\epsilon_f = 0.133Z_i^2/A_i^{1/3} - 11.4, \\
\sigma_{\epsilon_f} = 0.084\epsilon_f.
$$

Furihata’s parameters in the GEM, which we also use, are:

$$
\epsilon_f = \begin{cases} 
0.131Z_i^2/A_i^{1/3}, \\
0.104Z_i^2/A_i^{1/3} + 24.3,
\end{cases}
$$

(64)

for $Z_i^2/A_i^{1/3} \leq 900$ and $900 < Z_i^2/A_i^{1/3} \leq 1800$, respectively, according to Rusanov et al. [119]. By fitting the experimental data by Itkis et al. [121], Furihata found the following expression for $\sigma_{\epsilon_f}$

$$
\sigma_{\epsilon_f} = \begin{cases} 
C_1(Z_i^2/A_i^{1/3} - 1000) + C_2, \\
C_2,
\end{cases}
$$

(65)

for $Z_i^2/A_i^{1/3}$ above and below 1000, respectively, and the values of the fitted constants are $C_1 = 5.70 \times 10^{-4}$ and $C_2 = 86.5$. The experimental data used by Furihata for fitting are the values extrapolated to the nuclear temperature 1.5 MeV by Itkis et al. [121]. More details may be found in [102].

We note that Atchison has also modified his original version using recent data and published [122] improved (and more complicated) parameterizations for many quantities and distributions in his model, but these modifications [122] have not been included either in LAHET or in GEM2.

2.5.5. Modifications to GEM2 in CEM03.xx. First, we fixed several observed uncertainties and small errors in the 2002 version of GEM2 Dr. Furihata kindly sent us. Then, we extended GEM2 to describe fission of lighter nuclei, down to $Z \geq 65$, and modified it [17] so that it provides a good description of fission cross sections when it is used after our INC and preequilibrium models.

If we had merged GEM2 with the INC and preequilibrium-decay modules of CEM03.xx without any modifications, the new code would not describe correctly fission cross sections (and the yields of fission fragments). This is because Atchison fitted the parameters of his RAL fission model when it followed the Bertini INC [123] which differs from ours. In addition, Atchison did not model preequilibrium emission. Therefore, the distributions of fissioning nuclei in $A$, $Z$, and excitation energy $E^*$ simulated by Atchison differ significantly from the distributions we get; as a consequence, all the fission characteristics are also different. Furihata used GEM2 coupled either with the Bertini INC [123] or with the ISABEL [124] INC code, which also differs from our INC, and did not include preequilibrium particle emission. Therefore the distributions of fissioning nuclei simulated by Furihata differ from those in our simulations, so the parameters adjusted by Furihata to work well with her INC are not appropriate for us. To get a good description of fission cross sections (and fission-fragment yields) we have modified at least two parameters in GEM2 as used in CEM03.xx (see more details in [15, 16]).

The main parameters that determine the fission cross sections calculated by GEM2 are the level density parameter in the fission channel, $a_f$ (or more exactly, the ratio $a_f/a_n$ as calculated by Eq. (55)) for preactinides, and parameter $C(Z)$ in Eq. (57) for actinides. The sensitivity of results to these parameters is much higher than to either the fission barrier heights used
in a calculation or other parameters of the model. Therefore we choose [17] to adjust only these two parameters in our merged code. We do not change the form of systematics (55) and (57) derived by Atchison. We only introduce additional coefficients both to $a_f$ and $C(Z)$, replacing $a_f \rightarrow C_a \times a_f$ in Eq. (55) and $C(Z_i) \rightarrow C_c \times C(Z_i)$ in Eq. (57) and fit $C_a$ and $C_c$ to experimental proton-induced fission cross sections covered by Prokofiev’s systematics [125]. No other parameters in GEM2 have been changed. For preactinides, we fit only $C_a$. The values of $C_a$ found in our fit to Prokofiev’s systematics are close to one and vary smoothly with the proton energy and the charge or mass number of the target. This result gives us some confidence in our procedure, and allows us to interpolate the values of $C_a$ for nuclei and incident proton energies not analyzed by Prokofiev. For actinides, as described in [15, 16], we have to fit both $C_a$ and $C_c$. The values of $C_a$ we find are also very close to one, while the values of $C_c$ are more varied, but both of them change smoothly with the proton energy and $Z$ or $A$ of the target, which again allows us to interpolate them for nuclei and energies outside Prokofiev’s systematics.

We fix the fitted values of $C_a$ and $C_c$ in data blocks in our code and use the routines fitafpa and fitafac to interpolate to nuclei not covered by Prokofiev’s systematics. We believe that such a procedure provides a reasonably accurate fission cross section calculation, at least for proton energies and target nuclei not too far from the ones covered by the systematics.

2.6. The Fermi Break-Up Model

After calculating the coalescence stage of a reaction, CEM03.xx moves to the description of the last slow stages of the interaction, namely to preequilibrium decay and evaporation, with a possible competition of fission. But as mentioned above, if the residual nuclei have atomic numbers with $A < 13$, CEM03.xx uses the Fermi break-up model [126] to calculate their further disintegration instead of using the preequilibrium and evaporation models.

All formulas and details of the algorithms used in the version of the Fermi break-up model developed in the former group of Prof. Barashenkov at Joint Institute for Nuclear Research (JINR), Dubna, Russia and released in CEM03.xx may be found in [45]. All the information needed to calculate the break-up of an excited nucleus is its excitation energy $U$ and the mass and charge numbers $A$ and $Z$. The total energy of the nucleus in the rest frame will be $E = U + M(A, Z)$, where $M$ is the mass of the nucleus. The total probability per unit time for a nucleus to break up into $n$ components in the final state (e.g., a possible residual nucleus, nucleons, deuterons, tritons, alphas, etc.) is given by

$$ W(E, n) = \left( \frac{V}{\Omega} \right)^{n-1} \rho_n(E), $$

where $\rho_n$ is the density of final states, $V$ is the volume of the decaying system and $\Omega = (2\pi\hbar)^3$ is the normalization volume. The density $\rho_n(E)$ can be defined as a product of three factors:

$$ \rho_n(E) = M_n(E)S_nG_n. $$

The first one is the phase space factor defined as

$$ M_n(E) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \cdots \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \delta \left( \sum_{b=1}^{n} \vec{p}_b \right) \delta \left( E - \sum_{b=1}^{n} \sqrt{p^2 + m_b^2} \right) \prod_{b=1}^{n} d^3p_b, $$

where $\vec{p}_b$ are fragment momenta. The second one is the spin factor

$$ S_n = \prod_{b=1}^{n} (2s_b + 1), $$
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which gives the number of states with different spin orientations. The last one is the permutation factor

$G_n = \prod_{j=1}^{k} \frac{1}{n_j!},$  \hspace{1cm} (70)

which takes into account identical particles in the final state ($n_j$ is the number of components of $j$-type particles and $k$ is defined by $n = \sum_{j=1}^{k} n_j$). For example, if we have in the final state six particles ($n = 6$) and two of them are alphas, three are nucleons, and one is a deuteron, then $G_6 = 1/(2!3!1!) = 1/12.$ For the non-relativistic case, the integration in Eq. (68) can be evaluated analytically (see, e.g., [45]) and the probability for a nucleus to disintegrate into $n$ fragments with masses $m_b$, where $b = 1, 2, 3, \ldots, n$ is

$W(E, n) = S_n G_n \left( \frac{V}{\Omega} \right)^{n-1} \left( \frac{1}{\sum_{b=1}^{n} m_b} \prod_{b=1}^{n} m_b \right)^{3/2} \left( \frac{2\pi}{\Gamma(3(n-1)/2)} \right)^{3(3n-5)/2} E^{(3n-5)/2},$  \hspace{1cm} (71)

where $\Gamma(x)$ is the gamma function.

The angular distribution of $n$ emitted fragments is assumed to be isotropic in the c.m. system of the disintegrating nucleus and their kinetic energies are calculated from momentum-energy conservation. The Monte-Carlo method is used to randomly select the decay channel according to probabilities defined by Eq. (71). Then, for a given channel, CEM03.xx calculates kinematical quantities for each fragment according to the $n$-body phase space distribution using Kopylov’s method [127]. Generally, CEM03.xx considers formation of fragments only in their ground and those low-lying states which are stable for nucleon emission. However, several unstable fragments with large lifetimes: $^5\text{He}, ^5\text{Li}, ^8\text{Be}, ^9\text{B},$ etc. were considered as well in the version of the Fermi break-up model as incorporated at JINR in a FORTRAN routine used by several transport codes, as described in [45]. (Let us recall here that, as was mentioned above in Section 2, we have addressed and fixed at LANL the problem of production of unstable or/and unphysical fragments in the “03.02” versions of our CEM and LAQGSM codes; CEM03.03 uses the fixed version of the Fermi break-up model, which does not provide unstable or/and unphysical fragments). The randomly chosen channel will be allowed to decay only if the total kinetic energy $E_{\text{kin}}$ of all fragments at the moment of break-up is positive, otherwise a new simulation will be performed and a new channel will be selected. The total kinetic energy $E_{\text{kin}}$ can be calculated according to the equation:

$E_{\text{kin}} = U + M(A, Z) - E_{\text{Coulomb}} - \sum_{b=1}^{n} (m_b + \epsilon_b),$  \hspace{1cm} (72)

where $m_b$ and $\epsilon_b$ are masses and excitation energies of fragments, respectively, and $E_{\text{Coulomb}}$ is the Coulomb barrier for the given channel. It is approximated by

$E_{\text{Coulomb}} = \frac{3e^2}{5r_0} \left( 1 + \frac{V}{V_0} \right)^{-1/3} \left( \frac{Z^2}{A^{1/3}} - \sum_{b=1}^{n} \frac{Z_b^2}{A_b^{1/3}} \right),$  \hspace{1cm} (73)

where $A_b$ and $Z_b$ are the mass number and the charge of the $b$-th particle of a given channel, respectively. $V_0$ is the volume of the system corresponding to normal nuclear density and $V = kV_0$ is the decaying system volume (we assume $k = 1$ in CEM03.xx).
Thus, the Fermi break-up model used here has only one free parameter, \( V \) or \( V_0 \), the volume of decaying system, which is estimated as follows:

\[
V = 4\pi R^3/3 = 4\pi r_0^3 A/3,
\]

where we use \( r_0 = 1.4 \) fm. This parameter is used to calculate the quantity \( b_l \) in the routine \texttt{gitab}.

There is no limitation on the number \( n \) of fragments a nucleus may break up into in our version of the break-up model, in contrast to implementations in other codes, such as \( n \leq 3 \) in MCNPX, or \( n \leq 7 \) in LAHET.

2.7. Total Reaction Cross Sections (Normalization)

CEM03.xx (just like many other INC-based models) calculates the total reaction cross section, \( \sigma_{in} \), by the Monte-Carlo method using the geometrical cross section, \( \sigma_{geom} \), and the number of inelastic, \( N_{in} \), and elastic, \( N_{el} \), simulated events, namely: 

\[
\sigma_{in} = \sigma_{geom} N_{in} / (N_{in} + N_{el}).
\]

The value of the total reaction cross section calculated this way is printed in the beginning of the CEM03.xx output labeled as \textit{Monte Carlo inelastic cross section}. This approach provides a good agreement with available data for reactions induced by nucleons, pions, and photons at incident energies above about 100 MeV, but is not reliable enough at energies below 100 MeV (see, e.g., Fig. 4 and Ref. [16] and Figs. 4 and 5 in Ref. [19]).

To address this problem, we have incorporated [16] into CEM03.xx the NASA systematics by Tripathi et al. [128] for all incident protons and for neutrons with energies above the maximum in the NASA reaction cross sections, and the Kalbach systematics [129] for neutrons of lower energy. For reactions induced by monochromatic and bremsstrahlung photons, we incorporate into CEM03.xx [19] the recent systematics by Kossov [130]. Details on these systematics together with examples of several total inelastic cross sections calculated with them compared with available experimental data may be found in [16, 19]. Our analysis of many different reactions has shown that at incident energies below about 100 MeV these systematics generally describe the total inelastic cross sections better that the Monte-Carlo method does, and no worse than the Monte-Carlo method at higher energies. Therefore we choose these systematics as the default for normalization of all CEM03.xx results. The total reaction cross sections calculated by these systematics are printed in the CEM03.xx output labeled as \textit{Inelastic cross section used here}. (Of course, users may renormalize all the CEM03.xx results to the Monte-Carlo total reaction cross sections by making a small change to the code in the subroutine \texttt{typeout}).

3. Storage of Simulation Results

Although we have extended significantly the variety of characteristics printed in the CEM03.xx output as compared to its predecessors, no predetermined outputs can satisfy the needs of all users. Therefore we provide below the necessary information to help users to modify the output according to their specific needs.

Almost all information about all particles, light fragments, and residual nuclei (there may be two residual nuclei in the case of fission) from every inelastic simulated event is stored in two arrays, \texttt{spt(5,150)} and \texttt{parz(6,150)}. The second index of both these arrays shows the serial number \( k \) of a particular particle or nucleus stored in these arrays. These arrays contain physical information only for \( 1 < k \leq k_{max} \), where \( k_{max} \) is the number of all products from
a particular inelastic event; all their elements for $k > k_{max}$ are equal to zero. For historical reasons, there is some redundancy in the arrays; for example $\Theta$, $T_k$, and $Z$ are available from more than one array element. The contents of the arrays $spt(i,k)$ and $parz(j,k)$ are as follows (all values are in the laboratory system; all energies and masses are in MeV; all angles are in degrees):

1) $spt(1,k) = \sin \Theta$ of particle $k$
2) $spt(2,k) = \cos \Theta$ of particle $k$
3) $spt(3,k) = T_k$, kinetic energy of particle $k$
4) $spt(4,k) = \text{electric charge (Z)}$ of particle $k$
5) $spt(5,k) = \text{rest mass of particle } k$

1) $parz(1,k) = \text{particle type (index), defined as:}$
   1 = n
   2 = p
   3 = d
   4 = t
   5 = ^3\text{He}
   6 = ^4\text{He}
   7 = \pi^-
   8 = \pi^0
   9 = \pi^+
   1000Z+N = A + 999Z, for products heavier than $^4\text{He}$
2) $parz(2,k) = T_k$, kinetic energy of particle $k$
3) $parz(3,k) = \Theta$ of particle $k$
4) $parz(4,k) = \phi$ of particle $k$
5) $parz(5,k) = \text{reaction mechanism type (index), as following:}$
   if $< 100$, the “k” particle was emitted at the INC stage of reaction; the value stored here is equal to the number of successive interaction acts $n_c$ before emission of particle $k$ (see more details in [26])
   $= 100$ for preequilibrium emission
   $= 200$ for particles produced via coalescence
   $= 1000$ for evaporation from spallation residue (and for residue itself)
   $= 1500$ for Fermi breakup
   $= 2000$ for evaporation from fission product (and for fragments themselves)
6) $parz(6,k) = \text{electric charge of particle } k$.

The code does not store the value of $k_{max}$ for each simulated event. To retrieve information about the products from an inelastic event, users should read (in vlobd) either array in a loop over $k$ from 1 to 150 looking, e.g., at the mass of products stored in $spt(5,k)$ until they get for $k = (k_{max} + 1)$ a zero value, indicating that there are no more products from this event, thereby determining $k_{max}$.

CEM03.xx does not describe emission of $\gamma$’s from residual nuclei with an excitation energy below the threshold of particle evaporation, i.e. a few MeV. (It neglects also emission of $\gamma$’s with higher energy, as a competitor to evaporation and preequilibrium-particle emission, since the cross sections of such processes are insignificant compared to those of particle emission.) When using CEM03.xx as an event generator in a transport code, it should be supplemented
by a module with the same function as the PHT code from LAHET [97], which can describe the cooling of such excited nuclei via γ emission. For this, one needs to know the excitation energy of all residual nuclei provided by CEM03.xx. (CEM03.03 was incorporated in just this way into the LANL transport codes MCNP6 [54] and MCNPX [55].)

Note that in the case of Fermi break-up, we have no excited residual nuclei; it is assumed that all fragments are already in their ground states (unstable fragments are allowed to decay before filling the arrays spt and parz. For such events, we do not have to look for a residual nucleus to deposit its excitation energy via γ-emission. To know if this is the case, we have to look at the value of the parameter fusion stored in the common block: common /dele/ sfu, wf, fusion, sigfw. If fusion = 0, this is an event that ended with Fermi break-up and we have no excited residual nuclei.

If fusion = -1, this is an event without Fermi break-up and without fission and we have only one residual nucleus. Its excitation energy, ut (in MeV), is stored in the common block: common /bl1003/ ut, at, zt.

If fusion = +1, this is an event that ended with fission, so that we have two excited residual nuclei. Their excitation energy (in MeV) are equal to ex12(1) and ex12(2), their mass and charge numbers are equal to afl12(1) and afl12(2), and zf12(1) and zf12(2), respectively, and their kinetic energy (in MeV) are equal to tf12(1) and tf12(2), correspondingly. All this information is stored in the common block: common /ifiss/ afl12(2), zf12(2), tf12(2), ex12(2), bf12(2,3), ifiss. In the case of fission, the parameter ifiss has a values of 1 (ifiss = 0 for events without fission); its value can be also used to determine if fission occurred in a particular event, in addition to checking the parameter fusion.

Unfortunately, GEM2 as used in CEM03.xx does not consider at all the angular momenta of evaporated particles, the residual nucleus, and fission fragments. This is why the code does not provide values of angular momenta for the final reaction products. This is one problem we plan to address in the next version of CEM. We do calculate angular momenta of excited nuclei after the cascade and preequilibrium stages of reactions. After the cascade stage, their values (in units of ℏ), \( L_x = amnucl(1) \), \( L_y = amnucl(2) \), and \( L_z = amnucl(3) \) are provided as output of the subroutine cascad (enext, atwght, charge, pnucl, amnucl, kstart, obr, nel). After the preequilibrium stage, their values \( L_x = angmom(1) \), \( L_y = angmom(2) \), and \( L_z = angmom(3) \) are stored in the common block: common /resid/ angmom(3), v(3), remn. These values are used to build several distributions printed in the CEM03.xx output. They are presently of only “academic” interest to study nuclear reactions but are not ready for applications, as GEM2 does not consider angular momenta and CEM03.xx does not provide angular momenta for the final reaction products.

With this information and our routines vlobd, resdist, opandis, and disnmul as examples of how to build the histograms of needed characteristics and our routines prinp and typeout that write them into our output file, users should be able to write their own customized output tables.
4. Input File

CEM03.xx has four data files: **mass.tbl, level.tbl, gamman.tbl, and level.tbl**, which should not be changed by users. It uses one user-specified input file called **cem03.inp** that must be prepared to define a calculation. It has 25 obligatory lines that describe the reaction to be calculated and the desired format of the output, and can contain also up to 10 lines of text with comments to be printed near the beginning of the output file.

4.1. 1st Input Line

This line defines the name of an auxiliary output file where some diagnostic information is printed (no results of calculations are stored in this file; the information printed in it is very useful if we encounter an unexpected problem in calculation of a specific reaction, like a “bug”). This name may contain up to 30 characters.

4.2. 2nd Input Line

This line defines the name for the CEM03.03 output file, again with up to 30 characters.

4.3. 3rd to 5th Input Lines

The 3rd line defines the projectile. Use prot for protons, neut for neutrons, pipl for \( \pi^+ \), pimi for \( \pi^- \), pize for \( \pi^0 \), gamm for monochromatic \( \gamma \)'s, and gamb for bremsstrahlung \( \gamma \)'s. The 4th and 5th lines continue the description of the line 3 input, which is too long to fit entirely on the 3rd line.

4.4. 6th Input Line

This line defines the projectile kinetic energy in MeV, t0mev, for the case where we need to calculate only one energy and the reaction is not induced by bremsstrahlung \( \gamma \)'s. When we need to calculate a reaction at several energies (with a step defined by the 10th line and the final energy by the 11th line), t0mev is the initial energy. For reactions induced by bremsstrahlung (only), we recommend using 30.0 on this line; it is the value of \( E_{\text{min}} \), the minimum energy of the bremsstrahlung \( \gamma \) spectrum to be considered in calculations (above the GDR region), as described in [19].

4.5. 7th Input Line

This line defines the mass number \( A \) of the target nucleus.

4.6. 8th Input Line

This line defines the atomic number \( Z \) of the target nucleus.

4.7. 9th Input Line
This line defines the number of inelastic events to be simulated for this particular case. The appropriate value for this number depends on the characteristics of a reaction in which we are interested, as well as on the target and projectile: To calculate only fission cross sections of actinides or the mean multiplicities of nucleons from any reaction, 5000 inelastic events would be more than enough. To get double differential spectra of particles with small energy and angle bins, we may need to simulate 1,000,000 inelastic events. To have satisfactory statistics for the cross sections for production of isotopes in regions between spallation and fission and between fission and fragmentation (whose yields are very small) and for their mean energies and angles of emission, we may need to simulate 10,000,000 or even more inelastic events. The 10 examples shown in Appendix 3 may give guidance on choosing this number for different reactions.

4.8. 10th Input Line

This line defines the step of the projectile kinetic energy in MeV for calculating several incident energies in a single run. For only one incident energy, use a negative number, for example -5.0 for this parameter.

4.9. 11th Input Line

This line defines the maximum (final) kinetic energy of the projectile in MeV when we calculate a reaction at several energies, with a step defined on line 10 and the initial energy on line 6. The number must be greater than t0mev in order to calculate a single energy. Alternatively, for reactions induced by bremsstrahlung photons only, this parameter defines the end-point (maximum) energy in MeV of the bremsstrahlung γ spectrum, usually denoted in the literature as $E_0$; see details on bremsstrahlung reactions in [19] and in our Example 10 below.

Useful Hints:

When we need to calculate only one incident energy, e.g., 100 MeV, we suggest using for the t0mev parameter on the 6th line the value 100.0, for the parameter dt0 on the 10th line, any negative number, like -5.0, and for the parameter t0max on this line, any number bigger than 100.0, e.g., 5000.0.

If we need to calculate a reaction at three incident energies, e.g., 100, 200, and 300 MeV, use for t0mev on the 6th line the value 100.0, for the parameter dt0 on the 10th line, use the value 100.0, and for t0max on this line, use the values 300.5: The code will run in a loop at 100, 200, and 300 MeV, and will stop after 300 MeV, as with the incident energy step of 100 MeV the next incident energy would be 400 MeV, which is higher than 300.5 MeV given by the t0max on this line, so the code will stop.

4.10. 12th Input Line

This line defines the step-size $\Delta \Theta$ in degrees in the ejectile angular spectra $d\sigma/d\Omega$ [mb/sr]. It is used only when we calculate $d\sigma/d\Omega$, which is selected by the input parameter mang defined on the 18th line having the value 1 or 2.

4.11. 13th Input Line

This line defines whether or not we wish to calculate the angle-integrated energy spectra of ejectiles $d\sigma/dT$ in mb/MeV. Use 0 for the parameter mspec on this line to not calculate the
energy spectra, and 1 to calculate them. When we use \( \text{mspec} = 1 \), the code provides \( d\sigma/dT \) for particles produced by all nuclear-reaction mechanisms considered in CEM03.03 labeled as **Total**, as well as their components from particles emitted at the intranuclear cascade (produced via coalescence, in the case of complex particles), preequilibrium, and evaporation stages of reactions labeled as **Cascade**, **Precompound**, and **Total Evaporation**, respectively. Particles produced via Fermi break-up are included into the **Total Evaporation** component; in case of evaporation from heavy nuclei that fission, this component includes particles evaporated both before fission (often called in the literature “pre-fission”) and evaporated from fission fragments after fission (called in the literature “post-fission”). At the end of each spectrum, its integral over the entire energy range (i.e., particle “yield” in mb) is provided, labeled as **Integrated**.

There is an additional option for this parameter, namely having it equal to 2 instead of 1, to study in more detail fission reactions: If we choose the value 2 for \( \text{mspec} \), the code will provide energy spectra of ejectiles only from events that do fission (particles from events of this reaction that do not fission will be not included into \( d\sigma/dT \) calculated with the option \( \text{mspec} = 2 \)) and the normalization of spectra is made to the fissioning events only and not to the total number of simulated events as is done for \( \text{mspec} = 1 \). These spectra do not represent the spectra of all emitted particles and they cannot be compared directly with the measured spectra that include all particles produced from events both with and without fission. This option is useful for comparing to coincidence experiments, where particles are measured in coincidence with fission fragments.

In addition to \( d\sigma/dT \) in mb/MeV, the option \( \text{mspec} = 2 \) provides also spectra of particles normalized to one (i.e. probability spectra), often used in the literature when studying fission induced by low energy projectiles. The option \( \text{mspec} = 2 \) provides separately “pre-fission” and “post-fission” components of particle spectra labeled as **Prefission** and **Fission Fragments**, in addition to the **Total**, **Cascade**, **Precompound**, and **Total Evaporation** components of the spectra.

### 4.12. 14th Input Line

This line defines whether or not we wish to calculate secondary-particle multiplicities, yields (in mb), and mean kinetic energies (in MeV). If we use 0 for the parameter \( \text{mpyld} \) on this line, these characteristics will not be calculated. When \( \text{mpyld} = 1 \), these characteristics will be calculated and printed in a table near the beginning of the output. This table contains the total (labeled as **T** mean multiplicity, yield, and kinetic energy of n, p, d, t, \(^3\text{He}\), \(^4\text{He}\), \(\pi^-\), \(\pi^0\), and \(\pi^+\), when these values are non-zero, as well as their components from cascade, preequilibrium, evaporation from events without fission (spallation), evaporation events that will fission just before fission, evaporation from fission fragments, the sum of all evaporated particles, and from coalescence, labeled as **C**, **P**, **Sp**, **Pf**, **F**, **E**, and **Co**, respectively. Particles produced via Fermi Break-up are included in “evaporation” (E). As in the case of the parameter \( \text{mspec} \) defined on the 13th line, there is an additional option for this parameter, \( \text{mpyld} = 2 \), to study in more detail fission. In this case, only events with fission will be considered and the mean multiplicities, yields, and kinetic energies of particles produced only in fission events will be included in this table; their normalization is done to the fission events only. As mentioned above about particle spectra, these characteristics obtained with the option \( \text{mpyld} = 2 \) can be compared directly only with models or data from coincidence measurements which select fission reactions.

### 4.13. 15th Input Line
This line defines whether or not we wish to calculate cross sections of 192 possible specific “channels” of reactions that contribute to the production of final isotopes. Knowledge of excitation functions for such “channels” are of mainly “academic” interest rather than for applications, as it splits the contributions to the production of a specific final isotope into different reaction channels. The γ-spectrometry method frequently used to measure nuclide production cross sections from, let us say, a photonuclear reaction on a target \([Z,A]\), provides us only the cross section (yield) of a final isotope, e.g., \([(Z-y),(A-x-y)]\) considering it to be produced via a \((\gamma,xnyp)\) reaction, without any information about the emitted \(x\) neutrons and \(y\) protons; have they been emitted as independent nucleons or contained in emitted complex particles? The option \(mchy=1\) of CEM03.03 defined on this line helps us to address this question, as it provides cross sections for different possible “channels” of a reaction that lead to the same final product nuclide. The option \(mchy=1\) requires significant additional computing time, so we recommend using it only when the contributions to the production of final isotopes from different processes need to be studied in detail. When not needed, use the faster option \(mchy=0\), in order to ignore such “channel” cross sections.

4.14. 16th Input Line

This line defines whether or not we wish to calculate cross sections (“yields”) in mb of all nuclide products. The option \(misy=0\) does not provide such yields.

With the option \(misy=1\), CEM03.03 calculates yields of all isotopes produced in a reaction, as well as the integrated mass and charge yields in mb and the mass and charge distributions of their mean kinetic energies and their variances in MeV. In all cases, lines with all zero values are not written to the output file.

The option \(misy=2\) provides the same as \(misy=1\), plus:

- it provides also yields of all nuclei, mass and charge distributions of cross sections and of mean kinetic energy of products emitted separately in the forward and backward directions in the laboratory system;
- the average kinetic energy in MeV of all products;
- mass yield and mean and variance of the laboratory emission angle in degrees as functions of the product mass number;
- mean and variance of the \(z\)-velocity (parallel to the projectile beam) of all products in units of \(v/c\) and the ratio of the mass yields of products emitted in the forward direction in the laboratory system to those for the backward direction (the F/B ratio) and its variance as functions of product mass numbers;
- similar distributions as functions of the atomic number of the products.

Finally, the most detailed option \(misy=3\) provides the same as \(misy=2\), plus:

- mass distributions of excited nuclei after the cascade and preequilibrium stages of a reaction and distributions at the beginning of the evaporation stage of nuclei that will not fission, of ones that will fission, and of all nuclei just prior to fission, after any possible prefission evaporation;
- similar distributions of nuclei as functions of their atomic number;
- excitation energy distributions in 1/MeV of nuclei after the cascade and preequilibrium stages and distributions at the beginning of the evaporation stage of nuclei that will not fission, of ones that will fission, and of all nuclei just prior to fission;
- similar distributions for linear momentum of nuclei in 1/MeV/c;
- similar distributions for angular momentum of nuclei in 1/ℏ;
- distributions of fission-fragment opening angles in the laboratory system in 1/degrees in.
different bins of neutron multiplicity $<n>$, namely, for $<n> = 0 - 5$, $<n> = 6 - 8$, $<n> = 9 - 12$, $<n> = 13 - 15$, $<n> = 16 - 19$, and $<n> \geq 20$, respectively;

neutron-multiplicity probabilities for all events, as well as for the emission of neutrons only during the cascade and preequilibrium stages of reaction, and for evaporation from events without fission (labeled in the output as \textit{Evap. res.}, from evaporation before fission for events that will fission (labeled in the output as \textit{Pre-fiss}, and from evaporation from fission fragments after fission (labeled in the output as \textit{Post-fiss}).

At the end of tables with these distributions, the mean values (labeled as $<...>$), their standard deviations (labeled as \textit{St dv}), and the corresponding normalization factor (labeled as \textit{norm}) are printed in the output, respectively.

4.15. 17th Input Line

The parameter \textit{mdubl} on this line defines whether or not to calculate double-differential spectra of ejectiles $d^2\sigma/(dT\,d\Omega)$ in mb/(MeV sr). Use for the parameter \textit{mdubl} values of 0, 1, or 2 to not calculate, to calculate, or to calculate only for fission events $d^2\sigma/(dT\,d\Omega)$ of ejectiles, in the same manner as described above for the parameter \textit{mspec} defined on the 13th line.

4.16. 18th Input Line

The parameter \textit{mang} on this line defines whether or not to calculate energy-integrated angular spectra of ejectiles $d\sigma/d\Omega$ in mb/sr. Use for the parameter \textit{mang} values of 0, 1, or 2 to not calculate, to calculate, or to calculate only for fission events $d\sigma/d\Omega$ of ejectiles, in the same manner as described above for the parameter \textit{mspec} defined on the 13th line.

4.17. 19th Input Line

The parameters \textit{ipar1} and \textit{ipar2} on this line define the range of the ejectile types for calculations of spectra $d\sigma/dT$, $d\sigma/d\Omega$, and $d^2\sigma/(dT\,d\Omega)$, with the notation of the particle “type” (ID) as described above: 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, and 9 for n, p, d, t, $^3\text{He}$, $^4\text{He}$, $\pi^-$, $\pi^0$, and $\pi^+$, respectively. Note that the code does not allow us to calculate selectively spectra of only several particles when their ID are not ordered: e.g., if we need spectra of only n, d, and $\pi^+$, we will have to calculate in a loop spectra of all 9 types of particles, using \textit{ipar1} = 1 and \textit{ipar1} = 9. To calculate spectra (and multiplicities) of fragments heavier than $^4\text{He}$, users will have to modify the writing to the output file for themselves.

4.18. 20th Input Line

This line defines up to 10 angle bins $\Theta(j)$ from $\Theta_1(j)$ to $\Theta_2(j)$ in degrees for double-differential spectra calculations (when \textit{mdubl} = 1). The code calculates in a loop either 10 spectra starting with $j = 1$ to $j = 10$, or until a value of $\Theta_1(j)$ read from this line is negative. If we need, e.g., $d^2\sigma/(dT\,d\Omega)$ for only two angles ($\Theta_1 < \Theta_2$), define on this line the corresponding values of $\Theta_1(1)$, $\Theta_2(1)$, and $\Theta_1(2)$, $\Theta_2(2)$, and use any negative number for $\Theta_1(3)$, like -5.0, then include values for the rest of $\Theta_1(j)$, $\Theta_2(j)$, up to $j = 10$ as well, since the code expects to encounter all 20 values.

4.19. 21st Input Line
This line defines energy bins $\Delta T (j)$ for four energy regions $j$, from $T_1(j)$ to $T_2(j)$ ($j = 1, 4$) in MeV for angle-integrated energy spectra $d\sigma/dT$ and double-differential spectra $d^2\sigma/(dTd\Omega)$ calculations (when $\text{mspec} \geq 1$ or/and $\text{mdubl} \geq 1$). Take care that the whole possible energy region is covered by the four energy regions chosen on this line, so that $T_2(1) = T_1(2)$, $T_2(2) = T_1(3)$, and $T_2(3) = T_1(4)$, as is done in our examples of inputs shown in Appendix 1. It is also important that the value of $T_2(4)$ be as large as the maximum energy particle to be encountered.

4.20. 22nd Input Line

The parameter $\text{nevtype}$ on this line defines the number of up to 66 different types of particles to be possibly evaporated, as described above in Section 2.4. We recommend using for the parameter $\text{nevtype}$ on this line values in the range 7–66 only when fragments heavier than $^4\text{He}$, need to be considered; otherwise, we suggest using a default value of 6, saving much computing time. See more details at the end of Section 2.4.

4.21. 23rd Input Line

The parameter $\text{ityp}$ on this line defines the version of the random-number generator to be used in simulations, as defined by the first seven “indices” in Table 1 of Ref. [62]. See also the comments in the $\text{randmc.f}$ source file. Note that the final CEM03.03 results calculated with good statistics should not depend on this parameter, so a user could always use the default value of 1 for it. Rerunning a particular simulation with a different value of $\text{ityp}$ can provide an independent estimate of statistical uncertainties.

4.22. 24rd Input Line

The parameter $\text{nh}$ on this line defines the number of up to 10 lines of commentary text to be printed in the beginning of the output as a header describing the given calculation. It could be zero, if users do not need to have any comments in their output.

4.23. Input Lines from 24 to 34

Here, users put up to 10 lines of commentary text to be printed in the beginning of the output as a header, as described above (up to 72 characters per line).

4.24. Input lines from 24 + $\text{nh} + 1$ to ...

All lines from 3 to 24 + $\text{nh}$ may be repeated (with appropriately changed input values) as many times as desired in order to study other energy ranges, target isotopes, projectiles, etc.

4.25. The Last Input Line

On the last line of the input should be: $\text{stop}$. 
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5. Output File

The CEM03.03 output has plenty of captions and descriptions of all quantities printed, therefore we hope that users will have few problems in understanding it, given the information in the previous Section. We mention here only a few points about the output.

In the beginning of the output, all CEM03.03 input parameters and approximations for the level-density parameters used at the preequilibrium stage of the reaction are listed.

Then the total reaction cross section is listed, as described in Section 2.7.

The total number of inelastic and elastic simulated events are printed. Note that the elastic cross section printed after that is only to give users an idea about its order of magnitude: CEM03.03, like many other INC-based models does not pretend to describe reliably elastic cross sections.

Following this are several tables with statistical information about the mean values of the excitation energy, charge, mass, and angular momentum of nuclei after the cascade and preequilibrium stages of reactions, as well as similar distributions (plus a little more) for fissioning nuclei. Possible negative values for the minimum excitation energy of nuclei after the INC labeled as $E^{\text{min}}$ may occur for some reactions. This does not indicate that CEM03.03 met a problem in calculating that specific reaction or something was wrong. A negative “excitation energy” may appear in occasional cases at the beginning of the preequilibrium stage of a reaction, when the real excitation energy of a nucleus after the INC is positive but very small. We subtract from it at the preequilibrium stage the pairing energy and the rotational energy (which are ignored by the classical INC). These rare cases are handled internally by counting them the same as any other case exiting from the cascade with an excitation energy less than a particle binding energy (listed as a residual nucleus with only cascade particles in the particle arrays $\text{sp}t$ and $\text{par}z$).

Following these tables, the fission probability (labeled as Fissility) and the fission cross section in mb, if the target was heavy enough to fission, are presented. CEM03.03 calculates the fission probability and cross section in two different ways: by the direct Monte-Carlo method and using the statistical weight-function method (see details in [9]), and results from both methods are printed in the output. All the yields of all products are calculated in CEM03.03 using the direct Monte-Carlo method, using the fission cross section calculated by this method. Therefore, we suggest that users use the fission probability and cross section calculated by the direct Monte-Carlo method, if the statistics of the calculation are high enough so that the fission cross section provided by the Monte-Carlo method is not too small, and greater than its statistical error printed in the output. At low incident energies, for reactions on light preactinide nuclei, the fission cross sections calculated by the Monte-Carlo method may be too small (or even zero), with big statistical errors. In such cases, users should instead use the fission probabilities and cross sections calculated by the statistical weight-function method.

We would like to emphasize that all results provided by CEM03.03 for reactions induced by bremsstrahlung $\gamma$’s are normalized to the so-called “equivalent $\gamma$ quanta”, as is usually done in the literature (see details on bremsstrahlung reactions in [19]). This point is mentioned in the CEM03.03 output, but the situation is different from all other types of reactions considered by the code, so we wish to again remind users about this. The output and the figures for Example 10 in the Appendices illustrate this difference.

Finally, for the input parameters $\text{mspec}$, $\text{mpyld}$, $\text{mdubl}$, and $\text{mang}$ having the value 2 instead of 1, the spectra, particle multiplicities and the mean kinetic energies printed in the output are for events with fission only but not for all simulated events.
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Appendix 1

CEM03.03 Input Example 1

p500Ni6.inf /File name for diagnostic output. (<31 char.)
p500Ni6.res /File name for results of calculation. (<31 char.)
prot /pname/ projectile particle name:
prot - proton, neut - neutron, pipl - pi+, pimi - pi-, pize - pi0,
gamm - gamma with fixed energy, gamb - brems. gamma, stop - no more calc.
500.0 /t0mev/ minimum (initial) projectile kinetic energy in MeV; [tgmin for gamb]
58. /anucl/ target mass number
28. /znucl/ target atomic number
10000 /limc/ total number of inelastic events, normally 200-500000
-20. /dt0/ projectile kinetic energy step-size in MeV [Only 1 energy if <0.]
500.5 /t0max/ maximum (final) projectile kinetic energy in MeV, [tgmax for gamb]
10. /dteta/ step-size (degrees) in ejectile angular distributions [mang > 0]
0 /mspec/ (0/1,2) if ejectile energy spectra (are not/are) needed
1 /mpylid/ (0/1,2) if particle yield tables (are not/are) needed
0 /mchy/ (0/1) if particle channel yields (are not/are) needed
0 /misy/ (0/1,2,3) if isotope yields (are not/are) needed
1 /mdubl/ (0/1,2) if double differential spectra (are not/are) needed
0 /mang/ (0/1,2) if angular distributions (are not/are) needed
88 /ipar1,ipar2/ range of ejectile types for spectrum calcs. [Below, ang. bins for mdubl > 0]
25.0 35.0 45.0 55.0 65.0 75.0 -5.0 165.0 55.0 65.0 75.0 85.0 95.0 105.0 115.0 125.0 135.0 145.0 155.0 165.0
6 /nevtype/ number of evaporated particle types (see table in bidatgem.f).
2 /ityp/ Version of the random no. generator used; 1-7 OK; default 1
1 /nh/ Lines of text (<11) to be read in; printed on results file (line 2).
Example No. 1: Proton spectra from 500 MeV p + Ni58; 10,000 events.
stop

CEM03.03 Input Example 2

pim500Cu.inf /File name for diagnostic output. (<31 char.)
pim500Cu.res /File name for results of calculation. (<31 char.)
pimi /pname/ projectile particle name:
prot - proton, neut - neutron, pipl - pi+, pimi - pi-, pize - pi0,
gamm - gamma with fixed energy, gamb - brems. gamma, stop - no more calc.
500.0 /t0mev/ minimum (initial) projectile kinetic energy in MeV; [tgmin for gamb]
64. /anucl/ target mass number
29. /znucl/ target atomic number
10000 /limc/ total number of inelastic events, normally 200-500000
-20. /dt0/ projectile kinetic energy step-size in MeV [Only 1 energy if <0.]
500.5 /t0max/ maximum (final) projectile kinetic energy in MeV, [tgmax for gamb]
10. /dteta/ step-size (degrees) in ejectile angular distributions [mang > 0]
1 /mspec/ (0/1,2) if ejectile energy spectra (are not/are) needed
1 /mpylid/ (0/1,2) if particle yield tables (are not/are) needed
0 /mchy/ (0/1) if particle channel yields (are not/are) needed
0 /misy/ (0/1,2,3) if isotope yields (are not/are) needed
1 /mdubl/ (0/1,2) if double differential spectra (are not/are) needed
0 /mang/ (0/1,2) if angular distributions (are not/are) needed
22 /ipar1,ipar2/ range of ejectile types for spectrum calcs. [Below, ang. bins for mdubl > 0]
60.0 70.0 85.0 95.0 115.0 125.0 155.0 165.0 -5.0 65.0 75.0 85.0 95.0 105.0 115.0 125.0 135.0 145.0 155.0 165.0
6 /nevtype/ number of evaporated particle types (see table in bidatgem.f).
6 /nevtype/ number of evaporated particle types (see table in bidatgem.f).
2 /ityp/ Version of the random no. generator used; 1-7 OK; default 1
1 /nh/ Lines of text (<11) to be read in; printed on results file (line 2).
Example No. 2: pi0 spectra from 500 MeV pi- + Cu64; 10,000 events.
stop
CEM03.03 Input Example 3

n562Cu.inf /File name for diagnostic output. (<31 char.)
n562Cu.res /File name for results of calculation. (<31 char.)
neut /pname/ projectile particle name:
prot - proton, neut - neutron, pl pl - pi+, pl mi - pi-, pl ze - pi0,
gamm - gamma with fixed energy, gamb - bremss. gamma, stop - no more calc.
562.5 /t0mev/ minimum (initial) projectile kinetic energy in MeV; [tgmin for gamb]
64. /anucl/ target mass number
29. /znuc1/ target atomic number
10000 /limc/ total number of inelastic events, normally 2000-500000
-10. /dt0/ projectile kinetic energy step-size in MeV [Only 1 energy if <0.]
600.5 /t0max/ maximum (final) projectile kinetic energy in MeV, [tgmax for gamb]
10. /dteta/ step-size (degrees) in ejectile angular distributions [mang > 0]
0 /mspec/ (0,1,2) if ejectile energy spectra (are not/are) needed
1 /mpyd/ (0,1,2) if particle yield tables (are not/are) needed
0 /mchyl/ (0,1) if particle channel yields (are not/are) needed
0 /msy/ (0,1,2,3) if isotope yields (are not/are) needed
1 /mdub/ (0,1,2) if double differential spectra (are not/are) needed
0 /mang/ (0,1,2) if angular distributions (are not/are) needed
9 /ipar1,ipar2/ range of ejectile types for spectrum calcs. [Below, ang. bins for mdub > 0]
25.0 35.0 55.0 65.0 75.0 85.0 95.0 105.0 115.0 125.0 -5.0 65.0 75.0 85.0 95.0 105.0 115.0 125.0 135.0 145.0 155.0 165.0 0. 500. 20. 500. 600. 20. 600. 700. 20. 700. 5000. 20. /tmin, tm max, dt, j=1-4/
6 /nevtype/ number of evaporated particle types (see table in bldatgen.f).
4 /ityp/ Version of the random no. generator used; 1-7 OK; default 1
1 /nh/ Lines of text (<11) to be read in; printed on results file (line 2).
Example No. 3: pi+ spectra from 562.5 MeV n + Cu64; 10,000 events.

stop

CEM03.03 Input Example 4

pipi_5Fe.inf /File name for diagnostic output. (<31 char.)
pipi_5Fe.res /File name for results of calculation. (<31 char.)
pipi /pname/ projectile particle name:
prot - proton, neut - neutron, pl pl - pi+, pl mi - pi-, pl ze - pi0,
gamm - gamma with fixed energy, gamb - bremss. gamma, stop - no more calc.
1500.0 /t0mev/ minimum (initial) projectile kinetic energy in MeV; [tgmin for gamb]
56. /anucl/ target mass number
26. /znuc1/ target atomic number
10000 /limc/ total number of inelastic events, normally 2000-500000
-10. /dt0/ projectile kinetic energy step-size in MeV [Only 1 energy if <0.]
1600.5 /t0max/ maximum (final) projectile kinetic energy in MeV, [tgmax for gamb]
10. /dteta/ step-size (degrees) in ejectile angular distributions [mang > 0]
0 /mspec/ (0,1,2) if ejectile energy spectra (are not/are) needed
1 /mpyd/ (0,1,2) if particle yield tables (are not/are) needed
0 /mchyl/ (0,1) if particle channel yields (are not/are) needed
0 /msy/ (0,1,2,3) if isotope yields (are not/are) needed
1 /mdub/ (0,1,2) if double differential spectra (are not/are) needed
0 /mang/ (0,1,2) if angular distributions (are not/are) needed
11 /ipar1,ipar2/ range of ejectile types for spectrum calcs. [Below, ang. bins for mdub > 0]
25.0 35.0 85.0 95.0 145.0 155.0 -15.0 125.0 -5.0 65.0 75.0 85.0 95.0 105.0 115.0 125.0 135.0 145.0 155.0 165.0 0. 10. 100. 100. 100. 1500. 1500. 5000. 200. /tmin, tm ax, dt, j=1-4/
6 /nevtype/ number of evaporated particle types (see table in bldatgen.f).
3 /ityp/ Version of the random no. generator used; 1-7 OK; default 1
1 /nh/ Lines of text (<11) to be read in; printed on results file (line 2).
Example No. 4: Neutron spectra from 1.5 GeV pi+ + Fe56; 10,000 events.

stop
CEM03.03 Input Example 5

nAu6.inf /File name for diagnostic output. (<31 char.)
nAu6.res /File name for results of calculation. (<31 char.)

neut /pname/ projectile particle name:
prot - proton, neut - neutron, plpi - pi+, pimi - pi-, pize - pi0,
gamm - gamma with fixed energy, gamb - bremss. gamma, stop - no more calc.

30.0 /t0mev/ minimum (initial) projectile kinetic energy in MeV; [tgm info for gamb]
197. /anucl/ target mass number
79. /znucl/ target atomic number
10000 /limc/ total number of inelastic events, normally 200-500000
300.5 /t0max/ maximum (final) projectile kinetic energy in MeV, [tmax for gamb]
10. /dt0/ projectile kinetic energy step-size in MeV [Only 1 energy if <0.]
0. /dteta/ step-size (degrees) in ejectile angular distributions [mang > 0]
0/ mspec / ( 0 / 1 , 2 ) i f e j e c t i l e e n e r g y s p e c t r a ( a r e n o t / a r e ) n
eeded
0/ mpyld / ( 0 / 1 , 2 ) i f p a r t i c l e y i e l d t a b l e s ( a r e n o t / a r e ) n e e
ded
0/ mchy / ( 0 / 1 , 2 , 3 ) i f i s o t o p e y i e l d s ( a r e n o t / a r e ) n e e
ded
0/ mendb1 / ( 0 / 1 , 2 ) i f d o u b l e d i f f e r e n t i a l s p e c t r a ( a r e n o t / a r e ) n
eeded
0/ maga / ( 0 / 1 , 2 ) i f a n g u l a r d i s t r i b u t i o n s ( a r e n o t / a r e ) n e e
ded
22 / ipar1 , ipar2 / range of ejectile types for spectrum calcs. [Below, ang. bins for mdubl > 0]
25.0 35.0 45.0 55.0 65.0 -55.0 165.0 55.0 65.0 75.0 85.0 95.0 105.0 115.0 125.0 135.0 145.0 155.0 165.0
0. 500. 10. 500. 600. 10. 600. 700. 10. 700. 5000. 20. /tmin, tmax, dt, j=1-4/
6/ nevtype / number of evaporated particle types (see table in bldatgen.f).
2/ ityp / Version of the random no. generator used; 1-7 OK; default 1
2/ nh / Lines of text (<11) to be read in; printed on results file (line 2).

Example No. 5: Fission cross section of Au197 bombarded with
neutrons from 30 to 300 MeV with a step of 10 MeV; 10,000 events.
stop

CEM03.03 Input Example 6

p62_9Pb6.inf /File name for diagnostic output. (<31 char.)
p62_9Pb6.res /File name for results of calculation. (<31 char.)
prot /pname/ projectile particle name:
prot - proton, neut - neutron, plpi - pi+, pimi - pi-, pize - pi0,
gamm - gamma with fixed energy, gamb - bremss. gamma, stop - no more calc.

62.9 /t0mev/ minimum (initial) projectile kinetic energy in MeV; [tgm info for gamb]
208. /anucl/ target mass number
82. /znucl/ target atomic number
10000 /limc/ total number of inelastic events, normally 200-500000
-10. /dt0/ projectile kinetic energy step-size in MeV [Only 1 energy if <0.]
200.5 /t0max/ maximum (final) projectile kinetic energy in MeV, [tmax for gamb]
10. /dteta/ step-size (degrees) in ejectile angular distributions [mang > 0]
1 /mspec / ( 0 / 1 , 2 ) i f e j e c t i l e e n e r g y s p e c t r a ( a r e n o t / a r e ) n
eeded
0 / mpyld / ( 0 / 1 , 2 ) i f p a r t i c l e y i e l d t a b l e s ( a r e n o t / a r e ) n e e
ded
0 / mchy / ( 0 / 1 , 2 , 3 ) i f i s o t o p e y i e l d s ( a r e n o t / a r e ) n e e
ded
0 / mendb1 / ( 0 / 1 , 2 ) i f d o u b l e d i f f e r e n t i a l s p e c t r a ( a r e n o t / a r e ) n
eeded
1 / maga / ( 0 / 1 , 2 ) i f a n g u l a r d i s t r i b u t i o n s ( a r e n o t / a r e ) n e e
ded
2 / ipar1 , ipar2 / range of ejectile types for spectrum calcs. [Below, ang. bins for mdubl > 0]
22.5 27.5 52.5 57.5 72.5 77.5 92.5 97.5 112.5 117.5 152.5 157.5 -5.0 105.0 115.0 125.0 135.0 145.0 155.0 165.0
0. 22. 400. 10. 400. 1000. 20. /tmin, tmax, dt, j=1-4/
6 / nevtype / number of evaporated particle types (see table in bldatgen.f).
2 / ityp / Version of the random no. generator used; 1-7 OK; default 1
2 / nh / Lines of text (<11) to be read in; printed on results file (line 2).

Example No. 6: Energy, angular, and double-differential spectra
of n to He from 62.9 MeV p + Pb208; 100,000 events;
stop
CEM03.03 Input Example 7

p800Au6.inf /File name for diagnostic output. (<31 char.)
p800Au6.res /File name for results of calculation. (<31 char.)
prot /pname/ projectile particle name:
  prot - proton, neut - neutron, plp - pi+, pimi - pi-, pize - pi0,
gamm - gamma with fixed energy, gamm - bremss. gamma, stop - no more calc.
800.0 /t0mev/ minimum (initial) projectile kinetic energy in MeV; [tgmin for gamb]
197. /anucl/ target mass number
79. /znuc1/ target atomic number
10000 /limc/ total number of inelastic events, normally 2000~500000
-20. /dt0/ projectile kinetic energy step-size in MeV [Only 1 energy if <0.]
1000.5 /t0max/ maximum (final) projectile kinetic energy in MeV, [tgmax for gamb]
10. /dteta/ step-size (degrees) in ejectile angular distributions [mang > 0]
0 /mspec/ (0/1,2) if ejectile energy spectra (are not/are) needed
1 /mpyld/ (0/1,2) if particle yield tables (are not/are) needed
0 /msyi/ (0/1) if particle channel yields (are not/are) needed
1 /msyi/ (0/1,2,3) if isootope yields (are not/are) needed
0 /mdub1/ (0/1,2) if double differential spectra (are not/are) needed
0 /mang/ (0/1,2) if angular distributions (are not/are) needed
22 /ipar1, ipar2/ range of ejectile types for spectrum calc. [Below, ang. bins for mdub1 > 0]
25.0 35.0 45.0 55.0 65.0 75.0 -55.0 165.0 55.0 65.0 75.0 85.0 95.0 105.0 115.0 125.0 135.0 145.0 155.0 165.0
0. 500. 10. 500. 600. 10. 600. 700. 10. 700. 5000. 20. /tmin, tmax, dt, j=1-4/
6 /nevtype/ number of evaporated particle types (see table in bdatgen.f).
7 /ityp/ Version of the random no. generator used; 1-7 OK; default 1
2 /nh/ Lines of text (<11) to be read in; printed on results file (line 2).
Example No. 7: xsec and kinetic energy of all products measured at GSI in inverse kinematics for 800 MeV p + Au197; 10,000 events. stop

CEM03.03 Input Example 8

p1000Fe6.inf /File name for diagnostic output. (<31 char.)
p1000Fe6.res /File name for results of calculation. (<31 char.)
prot /pname/ projectile particle name:
  prot - proton, neut - neutron, plp - pi+, pimi - pi-, pize - pi0,
gamm - gamma with fixed energy, gamm - bremss. gamma, stop - no more calc.
1000.0 /t0mev/ minimum (initial) projectile kinetic energy in MeV; [tgmin for gamb]
56. /anucl/ target mass number
26. /znuc1/ target atomic number
10000 /limc/ total number of inelastic events, normally 2000~500000
-20. /dt0/ projectile kinetic energy step-size in MeV [Only 1 energy if <0.]
1000.5 /t0max/ maximum (final) projectile kinetic energy in MeV, [tgmax for gamb]
10. /dteta/ step-size (degrees) in ejectile angular distributions [mang > 0]
0 /mspec/ (0/1,2) if ejectile energy spectra (are not/are) needed
0 /mspec/ (0/1,2) if ejectile energy spectra (are not/are) needed
0 /mpyld/ (0/1,2) if particle yield tables (are not/are) needed
0 /msyi/ (0/1) if particle channel yields (are not/are) needed
0 /msyi/ (0/1,2,3) if isootope yields (are not/are) needed
0 /mdub1/ (0/1,2) if double differential spectra (are not/are) needed
0 /mdub1/ (0/1,2) if double differential spectra (are not/are) needed
2.2 /ipar1, ipar2/ range of ejectile types for spectrum calc. [Below, ang. bins for mdub1 > 0]
25.0 35.0 45.0 55.0 65.0 75.0 -55.0 165.0 55.0 65.0 75.0 85.0 95.0 105.0 115.0 125.0 135.0 145.0 155.0 165.0
0. 500. 10. 500. 600. 10. 600. 700. 10. 700. 5000. 20. /tmin, tmax, dt, j=1-4/
6 /nevtype/ number of evaporated particle types (see table in bdatgen.f).
7 /ityp/ Version of the random no. generator used; 1-7 OK; default 1
3 /nh/ Lines of text (<11) to be read in; printed on results file (line 2).
Example No. 8: Yields, mean kinetic energy, angles of emission, and much more (the most complete output) of all products measured at GSI in inverse kinematics for 1000 MeV p + Fe56; 10,000 events. stop
CEM03.03 Input Example 9

g300Cu.inf /File name for diagnostic output. (<31 char.)  
g300Cu.res /File name for results of calculation. (<31 char.)  
gamm /pname/ projectile particle name:  
prot - proton, neut - neutron, plp - pi+, pimi - pi-, pize - pi0,  
gamm - gamma with fixed energy, gamb - bremss. gamma, stop - no more calc.  
300.0 /t0mev/ minimum (initial) projectile kinetic energy in MeV; [tgmin for gamb]  
64. /anucl/ target mass number  
29. /znucl/ target atomic number  
10000 /limc/ total number of inelastic events, normally 200-500000  
-5. /dtp0/ projectile kinetic energy step-size in MeV [Only 1 energy if <0.]  
300.5 /t0max/ maximum (final) projectile kinetic energy in MeV, [tgmax for gamb]  
10. /dteta/ step-size (degrees) in ejectile angular distributions [mang > 0]  
0/ mspec/ (0/1,2) if ejectile energy spectra (are not/are) needed  
1/ myyl/ (0,1,2) if particle yield tables (are not/are) needed  
0/ mchy/ (0,1) if particle channel yields (are not/are) needed  
0/ misy/ (0,1,2,3) if isotope yields (are not/are) needed  
1/ mdubl/ (0,1,2) if double differential spectra (are not/are) needed  
0/ mang/ (0,1,2) if angular distributions (are not/are) needed  
22 /ipar1, ipar2/ range of ejectile types for spectrum calcs. [Below, ang. bins for mdubl > 0]  
42.5 47.5 87.5 92.5 132.5 137.5 -55.0 165.0 55.0 65.0 75.0 85.0 95.0 105.0 115.0 125.0 135.0 145.0 155.0 165.0  
0. 22. 1. 22. 1. 22. 120. 2. 120. 400. 10. 400. 1000. 20. /tmin, tmax, dt, j=1-4/  
6/ netype/ number of evaporated particle types (see table in bldatgen.f).  
3/ ityp/ Version of the random no. generator used; 1-7 OK; default 1  
2/ nh/ Lines of text (<11) to be read in; printed on results file (line 2).  
Example No. 9: Proton spectra from monochromatic 300 MeV gamma + 64Cu;  
10,000 events.  
stop

CEM03.03 Input Example 10

b1000Au6.inf /File name for diagnostic output. (<31 char.)  
b1000Au6.res /File name for results of calculation. (<31 char.)  
gamb /pname/ projectile particle name:  
prot - proton, neut - neutron, plp - pi+, pimi - pi-, pize - pi0,  
gamb - gamma with fixed energy, gamb - bremss. gamma, stop - no more calc.  
30.0 /t0mev/ minimum (initial) projectile kinetic energy in MeV; [tgmin for gamb]  
197. /anucl/ target mass number  
79. /znucl/ target atomic number  
10000 /limc/ total number of inelastic events, normally 2000-500000  
-20. /dtp0/ projectile kinetic energy step-size in MeV [Only 1 energy if <0.]  
1000.0 /t0max/ maximum (final) projectile kinetic energy in MeV, [tgmax for gamb]  
10. /dteta/ step-size (degrees) in ejectile angular distributions [mang > 0]  
0/ mspec/ (0,1,2) if ejectile energy spectra (are not/are) needed  
1/ myyl/ (0,1,2) if particle yield tables (are not/are) needed  
0/ mchy/ (0,1) if particle channel yields (are not/are) needed  
0/ misy/ (0,1,2,3) if isotope yields (are not/are) needed  
1/ mdubl/ (0,1,2) if double differential spectra (are not/are) needed  
0/ mang/ (0,1,2) if angular distributions (are not/are) needed  
2 2 /ipar1, ipar2/ range of ejectile types for spectrum calcs. [Below, ang. bins for mdubl > 0]  
25.0 35.0 45.0 55.0 65.0 75.0 85.0 95.0 105.0 115.0 125.0 135.0 145.0 155.0 165.0  
0. 500. 10. 500. 600. 10. 600. 700. 10. 700. 5000. 20. /tmin, tmax, dt, j=1-4/  
6/ netype/ number of evaporated particle types (see table in bldatgen.f).  
3/ ityp/ Version of the random no. generator used; 1-7 OK; default 1  
4/ nh/ Lines of text (<11) to be read in; printed on results file (line 2).  
Example No. 10: Yields, mean kinetic energy, emission angles,  
nucleon multiplicities, Forward/Backward ratios, and much more  
(the most complete output) of all products from E_max = 1000 MeV  
bremsstrahlung gammas + 197Au; 10,000 events.  
stop
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Appendix 2

To save space, parts of long tables shown in all 10 examples of the CEM03.03 output are deleted and replaced here with dashed lines, keeping only the first two and the last two lines of each table. We also deleted the Copyright Notice and the information about the level-density parameter used in the preequilibrium calculations in all examples except the first one.

CEM03.03 Output Example 1

NOTICE

This software and ancillary information (herein called "software") named CEM03.03 is made available under the terms described here. The software has been approved for release with associated LA-CC number LA-CC-04-085.

Copyright (2012). Los Alamos National Security, LLC.

This material was produced under U.S. Government contract DE-AC52-06NA25396 for Los Alamos National Laboratory, which is operated by Los Alamos National Security, LLC, for the U.S. Department of Energy. The Government is granted for itself and others acting on its behalf a paid-up, nonexclusive, irrevocable worldwide license in this material to reproduce, prepare derivative works, and perform publicly and display publicly.

NEITHER THE UNITED STATES NOR THE UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY, NOR LOS ALAMOS NATIONAL SECURITY LLC, NOR ANY OF THEIR EMPLOYEES, MAKES ANY WARRANTY, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, OR ASSUMES ANY LEGAL LIABILITY OR RESPONSIBILITY FOR THE ACCURACY, COMPLETENESS, OR USEFULNESS OF ANY INFORMATION, APPARATUS, PRODUCT, OR PROCESS DISCLOSED, OR REPRESENTS THAT ITS USE WOULD NOT INFRINGE PRIVATELY OWNED RIGHTS.

Additionally, the program is free software; you can redistribute it and/or modify it under the terms of the GNU General Public License as published by the Free Software Foundation; either version 2 of the License, or (at your option) any later version. Accordingly, this program is distributed in the hope that it will be useful, but WITHOUT ANY WARRANTY; without even the implied warranty of MERCHANTABILITY or FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE. See the GNU General Public License for more details.

The primary authors of CEM03.03 are: S. G. Mashnik (LANL), K. K. Gudima (IAP), and A. J. Sierk (LANL); with important contributions from R. E. Prael (LANL), M. I. Baznat (IAP), and N. V. Mokhov (Fermilab). (IAP = Institute of Applied Physics, Academy of Science of Moldova.)

Wed Feb 1 10:54:48 2012

Example No. 1: Proton spectra from 500 MeV p + Ni58; 10,000 events.
Number of types of evaporated particles = 6

M 70 A Z Q B linc ideal 0.9383 0.5000 58. 28. 1 1 10000 1
dt0 = -20.0, t0max = 500.5, deta = 10.0
npec mpyld mchy misy mdubl mang ipar1 ipar2
0 1 0 0 1 0 2 2
r0m = 1.2, & cevap = 12.0.

Theta1 Theta2 Theta3 Theta4 Theta5 Theta6
60.0 70.0 85.0 95.0 115.0 125.0 155.0 165.0 -5.0 65.0 75.0 85.0

Theta7 Theta8 Theta9 Theta10
95.0 105.0 115.0 125.0 135.0 145.0 155.0 165.0

Tmin, Tmax, dT{1};Tmin, Tmax, dT{2};Tmin, Tmax, dT{3};Tmin, Tmax, dT{4}.
0.0 22.0 1.00 22.0 100.0 3.00 100. 500. 10.0 500. 5000. 200.
lim = 100000.

Geometrical cross section = 187.69 mb.

Calculation takes into account fission and evaporation processes using Furihata’s GEN2 code.
The following level density parameters were used in the preequilibrium part of this calculation: 
\(a(\gamma,\nu)\) was calculated with Moller, Hin, Nix & Swiatecki microscopic corrections; [Atomic Data Nucl. Data Tables, 59, 185 (1995)]; Level density is from a shifted Fermi-gas formula, with the shift given by
0, delta-v, delta-n, or delta-v + delta-n, for odd-odd; odd-n, even-p; odd-p, even-n, and even-even nuclei, respectively for the compound nucleus, and similarly using deltaM-p and deltaM-n for the saddle point.

delta-v and delta-n are tabulated by Moller, Hin & Kratz and

\(\delta_n\) and \(\delta_p\) are tabulated by Moller, Hin & Kratz and
\(\deltaM_n\) and \(\deltaM_p\) are 4.80 MeV \(\times B_s\) * \(1/\sqrt[3]{N}\) or \(1/\sqrt[3]{Z}\).

\(B_s\) is the surface area of the saddle-point shape with respect to a sphere.

Inelastic cross section used here = 684.39 mb
Monte Carlo inelastic cross section = 700.61 mb

Number of inelastic interactions = 10000,
Number of elastic interactions = 9807,

Reaction cross section = 684.39 mb, Elastic cross section = 671.18 mb.

The mean excitation energy, charge, mass, and angular momentum of the 10000 nuclei after the cascade and before preequilibrium decay are:

- $E^*_{av} = 84.4 +/- 74.1$ MeV; $E^*_{min} = -3.4$; $E^*_{max} = 459.1$
- $Z_{av} = 27.2 +/- 1.1$; $Z_{min} = 21$; $Z_{max} = 30$
- $A_{av} = 55.8 +/- 1.6$; $A_{min} = 49$; $A_{max} = 58$
- $L_{av} = 5.3 +/- 3.4$ h-bar; $L_{min} = 0$; $L_{max} = 22$

The mean charge, mass, and angular momentum of the 9474 nuclei after preequilibrium decay and before the start of statistical decay are:

- $E^*_{av} = 72.5 +/- 62.0$ MeV; $E^*_{min} = 0.8$; $E^*_{max} = 444.7$
- $Z_{av} = 26.7 +/- 1.3$; $Z_{min} = 18$; $Z_{max} = 30$
- $A_{av} = 55.0 +/- 2.3$; $A_{min} = 42$; $A_{max} = 58$
- $L_{av} = 6.1 +/- 4.2$ h-bar; $L_{min} = 0$; $L_{max} = 34$

The mean kinetic energy, charge, mass, and angular momentum of the 10000 residual nuclei are:

- $E_{Kav} = 2.6 +/- 3.4$ MeV; $Ek_{min} = 0.0$; $Ek_{max} = 41.7$
- $Z_{av} = 23.7 +/- 3.4$; $Z_{min} = 9$; $Z_{max} = 29$
- $A_{av} = 49.5 +/- 6.8$; $A_{min} = 18$; $A_{max} = 58$
- $L_{av} = 5.9 +/- 4.2$ h-bar; $L_{min} = 0$; $L_{max} = 34$

Number of coalesced d, t, He3, He4 = 2371 423 364 159

Mean multiplicities, yields, and mean energies of ejected particles:

- T - all production mechanisms, C - cascade, P - pre-equilibrium,
- Sp - from spallation residues, Pf - from nuclei before fission, F - from fission fragments, E - total evaporation = Sp + Pf + F,
- Co - Coalescence from cascade;

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part.</th>
<th>Multiplicities</th>
<th>Yields [mb]</th>
<th>&lt;TKE&gt; [MeV]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>T n</td>
<td>2.4439 +/- 0.0156</td>
<td>1672.582 +/- 10.699</td>
<td>55.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C n</td>
<td>1.0507 +/- 0.0103</td>
<td>719.089 +/- 7.015</td>
<td>120.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P n</td>
<td>0.1001 +/- 0.0032</td>
<td>68.507 +/- 2.165</td>
<td>17.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sp n</td>
<td>1.2931 +/- 0.0114</td>
<td>884.985 +/- 7.783</td>
<td>4.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E n</td>
<td>1.2931 +/- 0.0114</td>
<td>884.985 +/- 7.783</td>
<td>4.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T p</td>
<td>3.4956 +/- 0.0187</td>
<td>2392.355 +/- 12.796</td>
<td>66.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C p</td>
<td>1.4094 +/- 0.0119</td>
<td>964.580 +/- 8.125</td>
<td>151.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P p</td>
<td>0.1493 +/- 0.0039</td>
<td>102.180 +/- 2.644</td>
<td>19.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sp p</td>
<td>1.9369 +/- 0.0139</td>
<td>1325.596 +/- 9.525</td>
<td>7.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E p</td>
<td>1.9369 +/- 0.0139</td>
<td>1325.596 +/- 9.525</td>
<td>7.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T d</td>
<td>0.5766 +/- 0.0076</td>
<td>394.620 +/- 7.197</td>
<td>39.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P d</td>
<td>0.0884 +/- 0.0030</td>
<td>60.500 +/- 2.035</td>
<td>22.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sp d</td>
<td>0.2511 +/- 0.0050</td>
<td>171.850 +/- 3.429</td>
<td>9.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E d</td>
<td>0.2511 +/- 0.0050</td>
<td>171.850 +/- 3.429</td>
<td>9.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co d</td>
<td>0.2371 +/- 0.0049</td>
<td>162.269 +/- 3.332</td>
<td>56.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T t</td>
<td>0.0105 +/- 0.00032</td>
<td>69.466 +/- 2.180</td>
<td>24.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P t</td>
<td>0.0250 +/- 0.0016</td>
<td>17.110 +/- 1.082</td>
<td>27.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sp t</td>
<td>0.0342 +/- 0.0018</td>
<td>23.406 +/- 1.266</td>
<td>10.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E t</td>
<td>0.0342 +/- 0.0018</td>
<td>23.406 +/- 1.266</td>
<td>10.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co t</td>
<td>0.0423 +/- 0.0021</td>
<td>28.950 +/- 1.408</td>
<td>32.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T He3</td>
<td>0.1114 +/- 0.0033</td>
<td>76.241 +/- 2.284</td>
<td>26.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P He3</td>
<td>0.0288 +/- 0.0017</td>
<td>19.710 +/- 1.161</td>
<td>30.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SpHe3</td>
<td>0.0462 +/- 0.0021</td>
<td>31.619 +/- 1.471</td>
<td>13.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E He3</td>
<td>0.0462 +/- 0.0021</td>
<td>31.619 +/- 1.471</td>
<td>13.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CoHe3</td>
<td>0.0364 +/- 0.0019</td>
<td>24.912 +/- 1.306</td>
<td>40.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T He4</td>
<td>0.4321 +/- 0.0066</td>
<td>295.725 +/- 4.499</td>
<td>14.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P He4</td>
<td>0.0233 +/- 0.0015</td>
<td>15.946 +/- 1.045</td>
<td>40.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SpHe4</td>
<td>0.3929 +/- 0.0063</td>
<td>268.897 +/- 4.290</td>
<td>11.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E He4</td>
<td>0.3929 +/- 0.0063</td>
<td>268.897 +/- 4.290</td>
<td>11.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CoHe4</td>
<td>0.0159 +/- 0.0013</td>
<td>10.882 +/- 0.863</td>
<td>29.37</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| pi-  | 0.0214 +/- 0.0015 | 14.646 +/- 1.001 | 49.69 |
| pi0  | 0.0511 +/- 0.0024 | 40.447 +/- 1.664 | 55.57 |
| pi+  | 0.0493 +/- 0.0021 | 30.045 +/- 1.434 | 50.98 |

Double differential cross sections [mb/MeV/sr];

62
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tp [MeV]</th>
<th>Total Cascade Precompound Total Evaporation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.0-2.0</td>
<td>6.995E-02 +/- 6.89E-02 0.000E+00 +/- 0.00E+00 6.995E-02 +/- 6.99E-02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.0-3.0</td>
<td>2.068E+00 +/- 3.78E-01 0.000E+00 +/- 0.00E+00 2.068E+00 +/- 3.78E-01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>300.0-310.0</td>
<td>6.995E-03 +/- 6.89E-03 6.995E-03 +/- 6.89E-03</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Integrated: 2.155E+02 +/- 3.85E+00 9.680E+01 +/- 2.58E+00 9.653E+00 +/- 8.16E-01 1.090E+02 +/- 2.74E+00

Double differential cross sections [mb/MeV/sr]; Lab. angle = 85.0 to 95.0 degrees.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tp [MeV]</th>
<th>Total Cascade Precompound Total Evaporation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.0-2.0</td>
<td>4.374E-01 +/- 1.65E-01 0.000E+00 +/- 0.00E+00 4.374E-01 +/- 1.65E-01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.0-3.0</td>
<td>2.562E+00 +/- 4.00E-01 0.000E+00 +/- 0.00E+00 2.562E+00 +/- 4.00E-01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>220.0-230.0</td>
<td>6.249E-03 +/- 6.25E-03 6.249E-03 +/- 6.25E-03</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Integrated: 1.521E+02 +/- 3.08E+00 3.868E+01 +/- 1.55E+00 8.186E+00 +/- 7.15E-01 1.052E+02 +/- 2.56E+00

Double differential cross sections [mb/MeV/sr]; Lab. angle = 115.0 to 125.0 degrees.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tp [MeV]</th>
<th>Total Cascade Precompound Total Evaporation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.0-2.0</td>
<td>2.886E-01 +/- 1.44E-01 0.000E+00 +/- 0.00E+00 2.886E-01 +/- 1.44E-01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.0-3.0</td>
<td>4.113E+00 +/- 5.45E-01 0.000E+00 +/- 0.00E+00 4.113E+00 +/- 5.45E-01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>140.0-150.0</td>
<td>1.443E-02 +/- 1.02E-02 1.443E-02 +/- 1.02E-02</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Integrated: 1.123E+02 +/- 2.85E+00 1.306E+01 +/- 9.71E-01 6.133E+00 +/- 6.65E-01 9.308E+01 +/- 2.59E+00

Double differential cross sections [mb/MeV/sr]; Lab. angle = 155.0 to 165.0 degrees.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tp [MeV]</th>
<th>Total Cascade Precompound Total Evaporation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.0-2.0</td>
<td>5.481E-01 +/- 5.481E-01 0.000E+00 +/- 0.00E+00 5.481E-01 +/- 5.481E-01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.0-3.0</td>
<td>6.212E+00 +/- 6.212E+00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Integrated: 1.063E+02 +/- 6.18E+00 8.232E+00 +/- 2.375E+00 6.59E+01 9.574E+01 +/- 4.18E+00

Elapsed cpu time = 0. min and 4.440 sec.
Example No. 2: pi0 spectra from 500 MeV pi- + Cu64; 10,000 events.
Number of types of evaporated particles = 6

Number of inelastic interactions = 10000,
Number of elastic interactions = 11676,
Reaction cross section = 667.09 mb, Elastic cross section = 778.90 mb.

The mean excitation energy, charge, mass, and angular momentum of the 10000 nuclei after the cascade and before preequilibrium decay are:

E*av = 104.5 +/- 91.2 MeV; E*min = -3.7; E*max = 525.5
Zav = 27.7 +/- 1.2; Zmin = 21.; Zmax = 30.
Lav = 5.1 +/- 3.1 h-bar; Lmin = 0.; Lmax = 23.

The mean charge, mass, and angular momentum of the 465 residual nuclei with less than 3MeV excitation energy are:
Zav = 28.9 +/- 0.3; Zmin = 27.; Zmax = 29.
Aav = 62.9 +/- 0.5; Avmin = 59.; Amax = 64.
Lav = 2.5 +/- 1.5 h-bar; Lmin = 0.; Lmax = 11.

The mean excitation energy, charge, mass, and angular momentum of the 9535 nuclei after preequilibrium decay and before the start of statistical decay are:
E*av = 90.7 +/- 79.7 MeV; E*min = 0.4; E*max = 523.5
Zav = 27.2 +/- 1.6; Zmin = 19.; Zmax = 30.
Av = 59.9 +/- 3.1; Avmin = 45.; Amax = 64.
Lav = 6.2 +/- 4.1 h-bar; Lmin = 0.; Lmax = 39.

The mean kinetic energy, charge, mass, and angular momentum of the 10000 residual nuclei are:
Ekav = 2.4 +/- 3.1 MeV; EKmin = 0.0; Ekmax = 29.4
Zav = 24.7 +/- 3.8; Zmin = 8.; Zmax = 29.
Av = 53.2 +/- 8.5; Avmin = 16.; Amax = 64.
Lav = 6.0 +/- 4.1 h-bar; Lmin = 0.; Lmax = 39.

Number of coalesced d, t, He3, He4 = 2275 674 151 161

Mean multiplicities, yields, and mean energies of ejected particles:

Part. Multiplicities Yields [mb] <THE> [MeV]

T n 4.9853 +/- 0.0223 3325.656 +/- 14.895 27.27
C n 1.9559 +/- 0.0140 1304.766 +/- 0.330 60.84
P n 0.1723 +/- 0.0042 114.940 +/- 2.769 18.00
Sp n 2.8571 +/- 0.0169 1905.950 +/- 11.276 4.84
F n 2.8571 +/- 0.0169 1905.950 +/- 11.276 4.84
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Td</th>
<th>0.6753 +/- 0.0082</th>
<th>450.437 +/- 5.482</th>
<th>34.57</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pd</td>
<td>0.0965 +/- 0.0031</td>
<td>64.374 +/- 2.072</td>
<td>24.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spd</td>
<td>0.3543 +/- 0.0060</td>
<td>236.351 +/- 3.971</td>
<td>10.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C0d</td>
<td>0.2246 +/- 0.0047</td>
<td>149.762 +/- 3.161</td>
<td>47.46</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tt</th>
<th>0.1886 +/- 0.0043</th>
<th>125.814 +/- 2.897</th>
<th>22.88</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pt</td>
<td>0.0436 +/- 0.0021</td>
<td>29.085 +/- 1.393</td>
<td>30.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sp t</td>
<td>0.0793 +/- 0.0028</td>
<td>52.900 +/- 1.879</td>
<td>10.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C0 t</td>
<td>0.0657 +/- 0.0026</td>
<td>43.828 +/- 1.710</td>
<td>32.64</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TH e</th>
<th>0.0831 +/- 0.0029</th>
<th>55.436 +/- 1.923</th>
<th>24.21</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PH e</td>
<td>0.0291 +/- 0.0017</td>
<td>19.412 +/- 1.138</td>
<td>30.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SpHe</td>
<td>0.0393 +/- 0.0020</td>
<td>26.217 +/- 1.322</td>
<td>14.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EHe</td>
<td>0.0393 +/- 0.0020</td>
<td>26.217 +/- 1.322</td>
<td>14.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C0He</td>
<td>0.0147 +/- 0.0012</td>
<td>9.806 +/- 0.809</td>
<td>37.33</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TH e</th>
<th>0.0446 +/- 0.0067</th>
<th>257.523 +/- 4.455</th>
<th>14.22</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PH e</td>
<td>0.0254 +/- 0.0016</td>
<td>16.944 +/- 1.063</td>
<td>38.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SpHe</td>
<td>0.0407 +/- 0.0064</td>
<td>269.972 +/- 4.244</td>
<td>12.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EHe</td>
<td>0.0407 +/- 0.0064</td>
<td>269.972 +/- 4.244</td>
<td>12.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C0He</td>
<td>0.0159 +/- 0.0013</td>
<td>10.607 +/- 0.841</td>
<td>24.52</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>pi-</th>
<th>0.5456 +/- 0.0074</th>
<th>363.966 +/- 4.927</th>
<th>281.44</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>pi0</td>
<td>0.2766 +/- 0.0053</td>
<td>184.518 +/- 3.508</td>
<td>152.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pi+</td>
<td>0.0602 +/- 0.0025</td>
<td>40.159 +/- 1.637</td>
<td>78.51</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**Energy Spectrum [mb/MeV]**

- Energy spectrum from 0.0 to 570.0 MeV (zero values suppressed).
- Lab. angle = 25.0 to 35.0 degrees.
- Lab. angle = 45.0 to 55.0 degrees.
- Lab. angle = 65.0 to 75.0 degrees.

**Elapsed cpu time = 0. min and 5.228 sec.**
Example No. 3: pi+ spectra from 562.5 MeV n + Cu64; 10,000 events.

Number of types of evaporated particles = 6

\( W \ T0 \ A \ Z \ Q \ B \ \text{linc} \ \text{idel} \)

0.9396 0.5625 64. 29. 0 1 10000 1

dt0 = -10.0, t0max = 600.5, dteta = 10.0

mspec mypid schy misy mduhl mangle ipar1 ipar2

0 1 0 0 1 0 9 9

rdf = 1.2, & cevap = 12.0

theta1 theta2 theta3 theta4 theta5 theta6

25.0 35.0 55.0 65.0 75.0 85.0

theta7 theta8 theta9 theta10

95.0 105.0 115.0 125.0

theta11 theta12 theta13 theta14 theta15 theta16

5.0 65.0 75.0 85.0

theta17 theta18 theta19 theta20

95.0 105.0 115.0 125.0 135.0 145.0

Tmin, Tmax, dT{1};Tmin, Tmax, dT{2};Tmin, Tmax, dT{3};Tmin, Tmax, dT{4}.

0.0 500.0 20.00 500.0 600.0 20.00 600. 700. 20.0 700. 5000. 20.

lim = 100000.

Geometrical cross section = 1445.99 mb.

- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -

Inelastic cross section used here = 807.64 mb

Monte Carlo inelastic cross section = 759.57 mb

Number of inelastic interactions = 10000,
Number of elastic interactions = 9037,

Reaction cross section = 807.64 mb, Elastic cross section = 729.86 mb.

The mean excitation energy, charge, mass, and angular momentum of the 10000 nuclei after the cascade and before preequilibrium decay are:

E*av = 94.2 +/- 79.6 MeV; E*min = -2.2; E*max = 491.0

Zav = 28.0 +/- 1.0; Zmin = 23.; Zmax = 30.

Aav = 61.3 +/- 1.9; Amin = 52.; Amax = 65.

Lav = 5.8 +/- 3.7 h-bar; Lmin = 0.; Lmax = 25.

The mean charge, mass, and angular momentum of the 460 residual nuclei with less than 3 MeV excitation energy after the cascade are:

Zav = 29.0 +/- 0.0; Zmin = 28.; Zmax = 29.

Aav = 63.0 +/- 0.3; Amin = 60.; Amax = 64.

Lav = 2.3 +/- 1.3 h-bar; Lmin = 0.; Lmax = 8.

The mean excitation energy, charge, mass, and angular momentum of the 9539 nuclei after preequilibrium decay and before the start of statistical decay are:

E*av = 80.8 +/- 68.3 MeV; E*min = 0.2; E*max = 480.2

Zav = 27.6 +/- 1.3; Zmin = 21.; Zmax = 30.

Aav = 60.4 +/- 2.6; Amin = 47.; Amax = 65.

Lav = 6.6 +/- 4.4 h-bar; Lmin = 0.; Lmax = 32.

The mean kinetic energy, charge, mass, and angular momentum of the 10000 residual nuclei are:

Ekav = 2.6 +/- 3.6 MeV; Ekmin = 0.0; Ekmax = 42.0

Zav = 25.3 +/- 3.2; Zmin = 11.; Zmax = 30.

Aav = 54.4 +/- 7.2; Amin = 24.; Amax = 64.

Lav = 6.4 +/- 4.4 h-bar; Lmin = 0.; Lmax = 32.

Number of coalesced d, t, He3, He4 = 2439 642 189 169

Mean multiplicities, yields, and mean energies of ejected particles:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part.</th>
<th>Multiplicities</th>
<th>Yields [mb]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tn</td>
<td>4.9561 +/- 0.0223</td>
<td>4002.731 +/- 17.980</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cn</td>
<td>2.2003 +/- 0.0148</td>
<td>1777.044 +/- 11.980</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pn</td>
<td>0.1585 +/- 0.0040</td>
<td>128.010 +/- 3.215</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sp</td>
<td>2.5973 +/- 0.0161</td>
<td>2097.676 +/- 13.016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kn</td>
<td>2.5973 +/- 0.0161</td>
<td>2097.676 +/- 13.016</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mean which are identically zero are not printed.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Td</th>
<th>0.6273 +/- 0.0019</th>
<th>506.631 +/- 6.397</th>
<th>32.05</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pd</td>
<td>0.0963 +/- 0.0031</td>
<td>77.695 +/- 2.506</td>
<td>23.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spd</td>
<td>0.2878 +/- 0.0054</td>
<td>232.196 +/- 4.330</td>
<td>10.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cpd</td>
<td>0.2436 +/- 0.0049</td>
<td>196.740 +/- 3.986</td>
<td>61.58</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tt</th>
<th>0.1579 +/- 0.0040</th>
<th>127.526 +/- 3.209</th>
<th>24.01</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pt</td>
<td>0.0369 +/- 0.0019</td>
<td>29.302 +/- 1.551</td>
<td>25.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sp</td>
<td>0.0570 +/- 0.0024</td>
<td>46.035 +/- 1.928</td>
<td>10.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cpt</td>
<td>0.0640 +/- 0.0025</td>
<td>51.689 +/- 2.043</td>
<td>34.94</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>THe3</th>
<th>0.0769 +/- 0.0028</th>
<th>62.107 +/- 2.240</th>
<th>27.51</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PHe3</td>
<td>0.0268 +/- 0.0016</td>
<td>21.645 +/- 1.322</td>
<td>28.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SHe3</td>
<td>0.0312 +/- 0.0018</td>
<td>25.198 +/- 1.427</td>
<td>14.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KHe3</td>
<td>0.0313 +/- 0.0018</td>
<td>25.198 +/- 1.427</td>
<td>14.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CoHe3</td>
<td>0.0189 +/- 0.0014</td>
<td>15.264 +/- 1.110</td>
<td>47.24</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>THe4</th>
<th>0.4906 +/- 0.0064</th>
<th>330.808 +/- 5.169</th>
<th>14.61</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PHe4</td>
<td>0.0236 +/- 0.0015</td>
<td>18.979 +/- 1.238</td>
<td>38.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SHe4</td>
<td>0.3692 +/- 0.0061</td>
<td>298.180 +/- 4.907</td>
<td>12.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KHe4</td>
<td>0.3693 +/- 0.0061</td>
<td>298.180 +/- 4.907</td>
<td>12.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CoHe4</td>
<td>0.0169 +/- 0.0013</td>
<td>13.649 +/- 1.050</td>
<td>27.08</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Double differential cross sections [mb/MeV/sr]; Lab. angle = 25.0 to 35.0 degrees.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tpi+</th>
<th>(MeV) Total = Cascade</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>40.0- 60.0 7.374E-03 +/- 7.37E-03</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100.0- 120.0 7.374E-03 +/- 7.37E-03</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>180.0- 200.0 1.47E-02 +/- 1.04E-02</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>220.0- 240.0 7.374E-03 +/- 7.37E-03</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Integrated: 1.180E+00 +/- 4.17E-01</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Double differential cross sections [mb/MeV/sr]; Lab. angle = 55.0 to 65.0 degrees.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tpi+</th>
<th>(MeV) Total = Cascade</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.0- 20.0 1.703E-02 +/- 8.51E-03</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20.0- 40.0 1.277E-02 +/- 7.37E-03</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40.0- 60.0 1.277E-02 +/- 7.37E-03</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100.0- 120.0 4.257E-03 +/- 4.26E-03</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Integrated: 9.366E-01 +/- 2.82E-01</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Double differential cross sections [mb/MeV/sr]; Lab. angle = 75.0 to 85.0 degrees.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tpi+</th>
<th>(MeV) Total = Cascade</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.0- 20.0 1.123E-02 +/- 6.48E-03</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20.0- 40.0 1.123E-02 +/- 6.48E-03</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>120.0- 140.0 3.744E-03 +/- 3.74E-03</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>140.0- 160.0 3.744E-03 +/- 3.74E-03</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Integrated: 9.734E-01 +/- 2.70E-01</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Double differential cross sections [mb/MeV/sr]; Lab. angle = 115.0 to 125.0 degrees.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tpi+</th>
<th>(MeV) Total = Cascade</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.0- 20.0 2.980E-02 +/- 1.13E-02</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20.0- 40.0 1.277E-02 +/- 7.37E-03</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40.0- 60.0 8.515E-03 +/- 6.62E-03</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Integrated: 1.022E+00 +/- 2.95E-01</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Elapsed cpu time = 0. min and 4.865 sec.
Example No. 4: Neutron spectra from 1.5 GeV pi+ + Fe56; 10,000 events.
Number of types of evaporated particles = 6

0.1396 1.5000 56. 26. 1 0 10000 1
d0 = -10.0, t0max = 1600.5, ddata = 10.0

r0m = 1.2, & cevap = 12.0.

Theta1 Theta2 Theta3 Theta4 Theta5 Theta6
25.0 35.0 85.0 95.0 145.0 155.0
Theta7 Theta8 Theta9 Theta10
95.0 105.0 125.0 135.0

Tmin, Tmax, dT{1};Tmin, Tmax, dT{2};Tmin, Tmax, dT{3};Tmin, Tmax, dT{4}.
0.0 1500.0 10000

lim = 100000.

Geometrical cross section = 1367.65 mb.

Inelastic cross section used here = 678.77 mb
Monte Carlo inelastic cross section = 678.77 mb

Number of inelastic interactions = 10000,
Number of elastic interactions = 10149,

The mean excitation energy, charge, mass, and angular momentum of the 10000 nuclei after the cascade and before preequilibrium decay are:
Eav = 214.9 +/- 167.5 MeV; Emin = -3.5; Emax = 967.8
Zav = 23.9 +/- 1.9; Zmin = 15.; Zmax = 29.
Lav = 7.1 +/- 4.5 h-bar; Lmin = 0.; Lmax = 28.

The program called Fermi breakup 620 times.

The mean charge, mass, and angular momentum of the 172 residual nuclei with less than 3 MeV of excitation energy after the cascade are:
Zav = 26.0 +/- 0.2; Zmin = 26.; Zmax = 27.
Aav = 55.1 +/- 0.5; Amin = 52.; Amax = 56.
Lav = 2.5 +/- 1.6 h-bar; Lmin = 0.; Lmax = 10.

The mean excitation energy, charge, mass, and angular momentum of the 9928 nuclei after preequilibrium decay and before the start of statistical decay are:
Eav = 192.0 +/- 161.7 MeV; Emin = 0.1; Emax = 963.4
Zav = 17.8 +/- 5.7; Zmin = 6.; Zmax = 27.
Aav = 37.6 +/- 12.3; Amin = 13.; Amax = 56.
Lav = 8.2 +/- 5.3 h-bar; Lmin = 0.; Lmax = 33.

The mean kinetic energy, charge, mass, and angular momentum of the 10000 residual nuclei are:
Ekav = 5.2 +/- 6.5 MeV; Ekin = 0.0; Emx = 70.7
Zav = 17.8 +/- 5.7; Zmin = 6.; Zmax = 27.
Aav = 37.6 +/- 12.3; Amin = 13.; Amax = 56.
Lav = 8.1 +/- 5.3 h-bar; Lmin = 0.; Lmax = 33.

Number of coalesced d, t, He3, He4 = 3844 681 360 217

Mean multiplicities, yields, and mean energies of ejected particles:
Part. Multiplicities Yields [mb] <TKE> [MeV]
T n 6.0981 +/- 0.0247 4139.208 +/- 16.762 43.37
C n 2.5733 +/- 0.0160 1746.476 +/- 10.888 90.67
P n 0.1377 +/- 0.0037 93.467 +/- 2.519 22.04
Sp n 3.3874 +/- 0.0184 2299.266 +/- 12.493 8.31
E n 3.3874 +/- 0.0184 2299.266 +/- 12.493 8.31

Values which are identically zero are not printed.
### Tp 5.0712 +/- 0.0226 3442.179 +/- 16.285 56.71
### Cp 2.8089 +/- 0.0144 1412.453 +/- 9.791 120.52
### Sp 0.1391 +/- 0.0037 94.417 +/- 2.532 24.84
### Rp 2.8512 +/- 0.0169 1935.309 +/- 11.461 11.70
### Tp 0.3747 +/- 0.0061 243.354 +/- 4.155 48.84

### Td 1.7013 +/- 0.0130 1154.792 +/- 8.853 22.21
### Pd 0.1097 +/- 0.0033 74.461 +/- 2.248 26.40
### Sp 0.2377 +/- 0.0049 161.344 +/- 3.309 13.49
### Rp 0.0668 +/- 0.0026 45.342 +/- 1.754 31.47

### Te 30.3541 +/- 0.0060 240.352 +/- 19.70
### Pe 30.0496 +/- 0.0022 33.667 +/- 1.512 33.61
### Pe 30.2377 +/- 0.0049 161.344 +/- 3.309 13.49
### Pe 0.0668 +/- 0.0026 45.342 +/- 1.754 31.47

### Tn [MeV] Total Cascade Precompound Total Evaporation
| 0.0- 1.0 | 1.463E+01 +/- 1.35E+00 0.000E+00 +/- 0.00E+00 1.463E+01 +/- 1.35E+00 |
| 1.0- 2.0 | 2.157E+01 +/- 1.64E+00 3.842E+00 +/- 6.90E-01 1.772E+01 +/- 1.48E+00 |
| 900.0-1000.0 | 9.916E-03 +/- 3.51E-03 9.916E-03 +/- 3.51E-03 0.000E+00 +/- 0.00E+00 0.000E+00 +/- 0.00E+00 |
| 1000.0-1100.0 | 2.479E-03 +/- 1.75E-03 2.479E-03 +/- 1.75E-03 0.000E+00 +/- 0.00E+00 0.000E+00 +/- 0.00E+00 |

### Integrated: 5.045E+02 +/- 7.91E+00 2.716E+02 +/- 5.80E+00 8.553E+00 +/- 1.03E+00 2.243E+02 +/- 5.27E+00

### Tn [MeV] Total Cascade Precompound Total Evaporation
| 0.0- 1.0 | 1.407E+01 +/- 9.34E-01 0.000E+00 +/- 0.00E+00 1.407E+01 +/- 9.34E-01 |
| 1.0- 2.0 | 2.262E+01 +/- 1.18E+00 3.842E+00 +/- 4.88E-01 1.240E+01 +/- 8.76E-01 1.240E+01 +/- 8.76E-01 |
| 200.0- 300.0 | 2.541E-02 +/- 3.97E-03 2.541E-02 +/- 3.97E-03 0.000E+00 +/- 0.00E+00 0.000E+00 +/- 0.00E+00 |
| 400.0- 500.0 | 6.198E-04 +/- 6.20E-04 6.198E-04 +/- 6.20E-04 0.000E+00 +/- 0.00E+00 0.000E+00 +/- 0.00E+00 |

### Integrated: 2.374E+02 +/- 5.29E+00 7.03E+02 +/- 1.75E+02 3.30E+00

### Double differential cross sections [mb/MeV/sr]; Lab. angle = 35.0 degrees.

### Tn [MeV] Total Cascade Precompound Total Evaporation
| 0.0- 1.0 | 1.240E+01 +/- 1.24E+00 0.000E+00 +/- 0.00E+00 1.240E+01 +/- 1.24E+00 |
| 1.0- 2.0 | 1.958E+01 +/- 1.56E+00 2.479E+00 +/- 5.64E-01 1.75E+01 1.75E+01 1.75E+01 1.75E+01 |
| 300.0- 400.0 | 1.240E-03 +/- 1.24E-03 1.240E-03 +/- 1.24E-03 0.000E+00 +/- 0.00E+00 0.000E+00 +/- 0.00E+00 |

### Integrated: 2.372E+02 +/- 5.42E+00 4.71E+00 +/- 7.46E-01 1.583E+02 +/- 4.43E+00

The program called Fermi breakup 620 times.

Elapsed cpu time = 0. min and 8.309 sec.
CEM03.03 Output Example 5

---
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Example No. 5: Fission cross section of Au197 bombarded with neutrons from 30 to 300 MeV with a step of 10 MeV; 10,000 events.

Number of types of evaporated particles = 6

\[
\begin{align*}
M & = 0.9396 & A & = 0.0300 & Z & = 197. & Q & = 79. & B & = 1 & \text{lim} & = 10000 & & 1 \\
\text{dt0} & = 10.0 & \text{t0max} & = 300.5 & \text{dteta} & = 10.0 \\
\text{mspec} & = 0 & \text{mpyl} & = 0 & \text{mchy} & = 0 & \text{misy} & = 0 & \text{mdub} & = 0 & \text{mang} & = 1 & \text{ipar1} & = 1 & \text{ipar2} & = 2 & 2 \end{align*}
\]

\[
\text{r0m} = 1.2 & \text{ & cevap} = 12.0. \\
\text{lim} = 100000.
\]

Geometrical cross section = 2394.46 mb.

Inelastic cross section used here = 2333.73 mb

Monte Carlo inelastic cross section = 1647.94 mb

---

The mean excitation energy, charge, mass, and angular momentum of the 10000 nuclei after the cascade and before preequilibrium decay are:

- \( E*_{av} = 34.9 \pm 5.0 \text{ MeV} \)
- \( Z_{av} = 79.0 \pm 0.0 \)
- \( A_{av} = 197.9 \pm 0.3 \)
- \( L_{av} = 6.2 \pm 2.4 \text{ fm} \)

The mean charge, mass, and angular momentum of the 34 residual nuclei with less than 3 MeV of excitation energy after the cascade are:

- \( Z_{av} = 79.0 \pm 0.0 \)
- \( A_{av} = 196.2 \pm 0.3 \)
- \( L_{av} = 3.0 \pm 1.4 \text{ fm} \)

---

Statistical Weight Functions Method:

Fissility = 0.0000

Fission cross section = 1.35863E-02 mb

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part.</th>
<th>Multiplicities</th>
<th>Yields [mb]</th>
<th>&lt;TKE&gt; [MeV]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>T n</td>
<td>3.1473 +/- 0.0177</td>
<td>7344.961 +/- 41.420</td>
<td>2.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C n</td>
<td>0.0758 +/- 0.0028</td>
<td>176.897 +/- 6.425</td>
<td>9.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P n</td>
<td>0.0232 +/- 0.0055</td>
<td>706.655 +/- 12.862</td>
<td>10.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sp n</td>
<td>2.7687 +/- 0.0166</td>
<td>6461.409 +/- 38.832</td>
<td>1.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K n</td>
<td>2.7687 +/- 0.0166</td>
<td>6461.409 +/- 38.832</td>
<td>1.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T p</td>
<td>0.0333 +/- 0.0018</td>
<td>77.713 +/- 4.269</td>
<td>17.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C p</td>
<td>0.0005 +/- 0.0002</td>
<td>1.167 +/- 0.522</td>
<td>12.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P p</td>
<td>0.0335 +/- 0.0018</td>
<td>75.846 +/- 4.207</td>
<td>17.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sp p</td>
<td>0.0003 +/- 0.0002</td>
<td>0.700 +/- 0.404</td>
<td>9.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E p</td>
<td>0.0003 +/- 0.0002</td>
<td>0.700 +/- 0.404</td>
<td>9.15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

Mean multiplicities, yields, and mean energies of ejected particles:

Notation: T - all production mechanisms, C - cascade, P - pre-equilibrium, Sp - from spallation residues, Pf - from nuclei before fission, F - from fission fragments, E - total evaporation = Sp + Pf + F, Co - Coalescence from cascade.

Values which are identically zero are not printed.

---
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Co d</th>
<th>T t</th>
<th>P t</th>
<th>Sp t</th>
<th>E t</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.0001 +/- 0.0001</td>
<td>0.233 +/- 0.233</td>
<td>5.134 +/- 1.095</td>
<td>0.233 +/- 0.233</td>
<td>10.11</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>T He4</th>
<th>P He4</th>
<th>SpHe4</th>
<th>E He4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.0028 +/- 0.0005</td>
<td>5.368 +/- 1.119</td>
<td>1.167 +/- 0.522</td>
<td>1.167 +/- 0.522</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Geometrical cross section = 2394.46 mb.

Inelastic cross section used here = 2222.93 mb
Monte Carlo inelastic cross section = 1636.01 mb
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40.0 MeV (Z = 0, A = 1) + (Z = 79., A = 197.)

Number of inelastic interactions = 10000,
Number of elastic interactions = 4636,

The mean total fission product kinetic energy after neutron emission is 138.49 MeV.

Direct Monte Carlo Simulation Method:
Fissility = 0.0002 +/- 0.0001,
Fission cross section = 4.44586E-01 +/- 3.14E-01 mb.

Statistical Weight Functions Method:
Fissility = 0.0000,
Fission cross section = 8.69020E-02 mb.

50.0 MeV (Z = 0, A = 1) + (Z = 79., A = 197.)

Number of inelastic interactions = 10000,
Number of elastic interactions = 5051,

Reaction cross section = 2135.39 mb, Elastic cross section = 1078.58 mb.

Statistical Weight Functions Method:
Fissility = 0.0001,
Fission cross section = 1.95606E-01 mb.

60.0 MeV (Z = 0, A = 1) + (Z = 79., A = 197.)

Number of inelastic interactions = 10000,
Number of elastic interactions = 5308,

Reaction cross section = 2066.02 mb, Elastic cross section = 1096.64 mb.

The mean total fission product kinetic energy after neutron emission is 139.48 MeV.

Direct Monte Carlo Simulation Method:
Fissility = 0.0132 +/- 0.0011,
Fission cross section = 2.33187E+01 +/- 2.03E+00 mb.

Statistical Weight Functions Method:
Fissility = 0.0130,

290.0 MeV (Z = 0, A = 1) + (Z = 79., A = 197.)

Number of inelastic interactions = 10000,
Number of elastic interactions = 6491,

Reaction cross section = 1766.57 mb, Elastic cross section = 1146.68 mb.

The mean total fission product kinetic energy after neutron emission is 130.08 MeV.

Direct Monte Carlo Simulation Method:
Fissility = 0.0132 +/- 0.0011,
Fission cross section = 2.33187E+01 +/- 2.03E+00 mb.

Statistical Weight Functions Method:
Fissility = 0.0130,
Fission cross section = 2.30023E+01 mb.

300.0 MeV (Z = 0, A = 1) + (Z = 79., A = 197.)

Number of inelastic interactions = 10000,
Number of elastic interactions = 6420.

Reaction cross section = 1767.01 mb, Elastic cross section = 1134.42 mb.

The mean excitation energy, charge, mass, and angular momentum of the 10000 nuclei after the cascade and before preequilibrium decay are:

\[ E^* = 195.0 \leftrightarrow 1.3; \quad \langle Z \rangle = 190.; \quad \langle A \rangle = 198. \]

\[ \langle \ell \rangle = 8.2 \leftrightarrow 4.7 \text{ h-bar}; \quad \langle \ell \rangle = 0.; \quad \langle \ell \rangle = 33. \]

The mean charge, mass, and angular momentum of the 10000 nuclei after the cascade and before preequilibrium decay are:

\[ E^* = 100.8 \leftrightarrow 67.9 \text{ MeV}; \quad \langle Z \rangle = 78.6 \leftrightarrow 0.6; \quad \langle A \rangle = 195. \]

\[ \langle \ell \rangle = 1.8 \text{ h-bar}; \quad \langle \ell \rangle = 0.; \quad \langle \ell \rangle = 9. \]

The mean excitation energy, charge, mass, and angular momentum of the 10000 nuclei after the cascade and before preequilibrium decay and before preequilibrium decay are:

\[ E^* = 81.8 \leftrightarrow 54.2 \text{ MeV}; \quad \langle Z \rangle = 79.0 \leftrightarrow 0.0; \quad \langle A \rangle = 196. \]

\[ \langle \ell \rangle = 3.3 \leftrightarrow 1.8 \text{ h-bar}; \quad \langle \ell \rangle = 0.; \quad \langle \ell \rangle = 9. \]

The mean excitation energy, charge, mass, and angular momentum of the 10000 nuclei after the cascade and before preequilibrium decay and before preequilibrium decay and before preequilibrium decay are:

\[ E^* = 40.3 \leftrightarrow 282.0 \text{ MeV}; \quad \langle Z \rangle = 78.3 \leftrightarrow 0.8; \quad \langle A \rangle = 190.3 \leftrightarrow 3.2 \]

\[ \langle \ell \rangle = 11.6 \leftrightarrow 5.1 \text{ h-bar}; \quad \langle \ell \rangle = 0.; \quad \langle \ell \rangle = 9. \]

The mean total fission product kinetic energy after neutron emission is 127.04 MeV.

Direct Monte Carlo Simulation Method:

Fissility = 0.0175 +/- 0.0013,
Fission cross section = 3.09227E+01 +/- 2.34E+00 mb.

Statistical Weight Functions Method:

Fissility = 0.0147,
Fission cross section = 2.59347E+01 mb.

Number of coalesced d, t, He3, He4 = 1064 369 30 30

Mean multiplicities, yields, and mean energies of ejected particles:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part.</th>
<th>Multiplicities Yields [mb]</th>
<th>&lt;KE&gt; [MeV]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>T n</td>
<td>9.4214 +/- 0.0307 16647.712 +/- 54.237</td>
<td>17.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C n</td>
<td>2.4302 +/- 0.0156 4294.189 +/- 27.546</td>
<td>59.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P n</td>
<td>0.4444 +/- 0.0067 785.259 +/- 11.779</td>
<td>15.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sp n</td>
<td>6.3099 +/- 0.0281 11149.659 +/- 44.386</td>
<td>2.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pf n</td>
<td>0.0425 +/- 0.0021 75.098 +/- 3.643</td>
<td>4.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F n</td>
<td>0.1944 +/- 0.0044 343.507 +/- 7.791</td>
<td>3.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K n</td>
<td>6.5468 +/- 0.0256 11568.264 +/- 45.212</td>
<td>2.73</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| T p   | 0.6611 +/- 0.0981 1171.705 +/- 14.369 | 53.23 |
| C p   | 0.2564 +/- 0.0052 468.965 +/- 9.103 | 107.15 |
| P p   | 0.1783 +/- 0.0042 316.118 +/- 7.474 | 24.72 |
| Sp p  | 0.2136 +/- 0.0046 377.433 +/- 8.167 | 11.18 |
| Pf p  | 0.0020 +/- 0.0004 3.534 +/- 0.790 | 11.65 |
| F p   | 0.0033 +/- 0.0006 5.654 +/- 1.000 | 7.91 |
| K p   | 0.2188 +/- 0.0047 386.622 +/- 8.265 | 11.14 |

| T d   | 0.2567 +/- 0.0050 442.990 +/- 8.847 | 28.52 |
| P d   | 0.0918 +/- 0.0030 152.388 +/- 8.357 | 26.02 |
| Sp d  | 0.0507 +/- 0.0023 89.587 +/- 3.979 | 11.73 |
| Pf d  | 0.0011 +/- 0.0003 1.944 +/- 0.586 | 13.07 |
| F d   | 0.0008 +/- 0.0002 1.060 +/- 0.433 | 9.95 |
| K d   | 0.0524 +/- 0.0023 92.591 +/- 4.045 | 11.74 |
| Co d  | 0.1064 +/- 0.0033 188.010 +/- 5.764 | 38.94 |

<p>| T t   | 0.0847 +/- 0.0029 149.666 +/- 5.143 | 22.94 |
| P t   | 0.0234 +/- 0.0015 41.348 +/- 2.703 | 29.50 |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Sp  t 0.0238 +/- 0.0015</th>
<th>42.055 +/- 2.726</th>
<th>12.57</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pf  t 0.0006 +/- 0.0002</td>
<td>1.060 +/- 0.433</td>
<td>14.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>K  t 0.0244 +/- 0.0016</td>
<td>43.115 +/- 2.760</td>
<td>12.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cu  t 0.0369 +/- 0.0019</td>
<td>65.203 +/- 3.384</td>
<td>26.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T He3</td>
<td>0.0177 +/- 0.0011</td>
<td>22.441 +/- 1.991</td>
<td>35.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>P He3 0.0093 +/- 0.0010</td>
<td>16.433 +/- 1.704</td>
<td>38.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SpHe3 0.0004 +/- 0.0002</td>
<td>0.707 +/- 0.353</td>
<td>22.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>K He3 0.0004 +/- 0.0002</td>
<td>0.707 +/- 0.353</td>
<td>22.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CoHe3 0.0030 +/- 0.0005</td>
<td>5.301 +/- 0.968</td>
<td>28.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T He4</td>
<td>0.1056 +/- 0.0032</td>
<td>186.596 +/- 5.742</td>
<td>23.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>P He4 0.0555 +/- 0.0007</td>
<td>9.719 +/- 1.310</td>
<td>40.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SpHe4 0.0951 +/- 0.0031</td>
<td>168.043 +/- 5.449</td>
<td>22.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PfHe4 0.0007 +/- 0.0003</td>
<td>1.237 +/- 0.468</td>
<td>22.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>E He4 0.0013 +/- 0.0004</td>
<td>2.297 +/- 0.637</td>
<td>17.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>K He4 0.0071 +/- 0.0031</td>
<td>171.577 +/- 5.506</td>
<td>22.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CoHe4 0.0030 +/- 0.0005</td>
<td>5.301 +/- 0.968</td>
<td>23.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pi-</td>
<td>0.0098 +/- 0.0010</td>
<td>17.317 +/- 1.749</td>
<td>35.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pi0</td>
<td>0.0036 +/- 0.0006</td>
<td>3.361 +/- 1.060</td>
<td>30.35</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Elapsed cpu time = 2. min and 49.225 sec.
CEM03.03 Output Example 6

Wed Feb 1 10:55:00 2012

Example No. 6: Energy, angular, and double-differential spectra
of n to He from 62.9 MeV p = Pb208; 100,000 events;
Number of types of evaporated particles = 6

\[
\begin{array}{cccccccc}
M & T0 & A & Z & Q & B & l & i & m & c & d & e & l & 0.9383 & 0.0629 & 208. & 82. & 1 & 1 & 10000 & 1 \\
dt0 = & -10.0, & t0max = & 200.5, & dteta = & 10.0 \\
\end{array}
\]

\[
\begin{array}{cccccccc}
\text{type} & \text{spec} & \text{mpyld} & \text{mchy} & \text{misy} & \text{mdubl} & \text{mang} & \text{ipar1} & \text{ipar2} \\
1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 6 \\
\end{array}
\]

\[
\begin{array}{cccccccc}
r0m = & 1.2, & & & & & & 12.0. \\
\text{Theta}1 & \text{Theta}2 & \text{Theta}3 & \text{Theta}4 & \text{Theta}5 & \text{Theta}6 \\
22.5 & 27.5 & 52.5 & 57.5 & 72.5 & 77.5 & 92.5 & 97.5 & 112.5 & 117.5 & 152.5 & 157.5 \\
\text{Theta}7 & \text{Theta}8 & \text{Theta}9 & \text{Theta}10 \\
-5.0 & 105.0 & 115.0 & 125.0 & 135.0 & 145.0 & 155.0 & 165.0 \\
\end{array}
\]

\[
\begin{array}{cccccccc}
\text{Tmin} & \text{Tmax} & \text{dT}1 & \text{Tmin} & \text{Tmax} & \text{dT}2 & \text{Tmin} & \text{Tmax} & \text{dT}3 & \text{Tmin} & \text{Tmax} & \text{dT}4. \\
0.0 & 22.0 & 1.00 & 22.0 & 120.0 & 2.00 & 120. & 400. & 10.0 & 400. & 1000. & 20. \\
\end{array}
\]

\[
\begin{array}{cccccccc}
\text{lim} = & 100000. \\
\text{Geometrical cross section} = & 2457.28 \text{ mb.} \\
\text{Inelastic cross section used here} = & 1962.23 \text{ mb} \\
\text{Monte Carlo inelastic cross section} = & 1638.74 \text{ mb} \\
\end{array}
\]
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Energy, angular, and double-differential spectra
of n to He from 62.9 MeV p = Pb208; 100,000 events;
Number of types of evaporated particles = 6

The mean excitation energy, charge, mass, and angular momentum
of the 10000 nuclei after the
cascade and before preequilibrium decay are:
\[
\begin{array}{cccc}
E*av & = & 47.9 & \pm & 21.9 \text{ MeV}; \\
Zav & = & 82.8 & \pm & 0.4; \\
Aav & = & 208.5 & \pm & 0.6; \\
\. \\
\end{array}
\]

The mean charge, mass, and angular momentum
of the 363 residual nuclei with less than
3 MeV of excitation energy after the cascade are:
\[
\begin{array}{cccc}
Zav & = & 82.3 & \pm & 0.4; \\
Aav & = & 207.5 & \pm & 0.5; \\
\. \\
\end{array}
\]

The mean excitation energy, charge, mass, and angular momentum
of the 9636 nuclei after preequilibrium decay and before the start of statistical decay are:
\[
\begin{array}{cccc}
E*av & = & 33.8 & \pm & 18.9 \text{ MeV}; \\
Zav & = & 82.8 & \pm & 0.6; \\
Aav & = & 207.3 & \pm & 0.8; \\
\. \\
\end{array}
\]

The mean kinetic energy, charge, mass, and angular momentum
of the 9943 residual nuclei are:
\[
\begin{array}{cccc}
Ekav & = & 0.3 & \pm & 0.2 \text{ MeV}; \\
Zav & = & 82.5 & \pm & 0.6; \\
Aav & = & 204.8 & \pm & 1.6; \\
\. \\
\end{array}
\]

The mean excitation energy, charge, mass, angular momentum,
and fission barrier height of the 57 fissioning nuclei are:
\[
\begin{array}{cccc}
E*av & = & 56.8 & \pm & 8.6 \text{ MeV}; \\
Zav & = & 83.0 & \pm & 0.0; \\
Aav & = & 208.2 & \pm & 0.8; \\
\. \\
\end{array}
\]

The mean total fission product kinetic energy after neutron emission is 140.36 MeV.

Direct Monte Carlo Simulation Method:
Fissility = 0.0067 +/- 0.0008,
Fission cross section = 0.11847E+01 +/- 1.48E+00 mb.

Monte Carlo inelastic cross section = 1638.74 mb

Statistical Weight Functions Method:
Fissility = 0.0052,
Fission cross section = 1.01828E+01 mb.

Number of coalesced d, t, He3, He4 = 27 0 0 0
Mean multiplicities, yields, and mean energies of ejected particles:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part.</th>
<th>Multiplicities</th>
<th>Yields [mb]</th>
<th>&lt;TED&gt; [MeV]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>T n</td>
<td>3.635 +/- 0.0191</td>
<td>7133.305 +/- 37.413</td>
<td>4.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C n</td>
<td>0.2867 +/- 0.0056</td>
<td>552.572 +/- 10.507</td>
<td>21.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P n</td>
<td>0.2903 +/- 0.0055</td>
<td>585.138 +/- 10.715</td>
<td>14.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sp n</td>
<td>3.0176 +/- 0.0174</td>
<td>591.037 +/- 34.086</td>
<td>2.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P f n</td>
<td>0.0030 +/- 0.0006</td>
<td>5.887 +/- 1.075</td>
<td>2.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F n</td>
<td>0.0299 +/- 0.0017</td>
<td>58.671 +/- 3.393</td>
<td>2.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K n</td>
<td>3.0504 +/- 0.0175</td>
<td>5985.595 +/- 34.271</td>
<td>2.02</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Lab. angle = 52.5 to 57.5 degrees.

Double differential cross sections [mb/MeV/sr];

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Energy Spectrum [mb/MeV]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tn</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Lab. angle = 22.5 to 27.5 degrees.

Double differential cross sections [mb/MeV/sr];

| Integrated: 7.133E+03 +/- 3.74E+01 | 5.626E+02 +/- 1.05E+01 | 5.851 |

**Normalized Energy Probability Spectrum [1/MeV]**

Energy spectrum from 0.0 to 64.0 MeV (zero values suppressed).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tn [MeV]</th>
<th>Total Cascade Precoumpound Total Evaporation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.0- 1.0</td>
<td>1.833E+03 +/- 1.90E+00 0.000E+00 +/- 1.33E+00 1.842E+03 +/- 1.88E+01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.0- 2.0</td>
<td>1.847E+03 +/- 1.90E+00 0.000E+00 +/- 1.94E+00 2.842E+03 +/- 1.88E+01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56.0- 58.0</td>
<td>5.396E+00 +/- 7.28E-01 0.000E+00 +/- 7.01E-01 1.923E+00 +/- 3.92E-01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>58.0- 60.0</td>
<td>5.332E+00 +/- 5.89E-01 0.000E+00 +/- 5.89E-01 3.12E+00 +/- 5.89E-01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Integrated:</td>
<td>3.74E+00 +/- 5.62E+00 0.000E+00 +/- 5.62E+00 1.50E+00 +/- 5.62E+00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Angular Distributions [mb/sr]**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ang.n [deg.]</th>
<th>Total Cascade Precoumpound Total Evaporation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>6.845E+02 +/- 3.75E+01 8.223E+01 +/- 1.30E+01 1.089E+02 +/- 3.18E+01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>7.695E+02 +/- 2.31E+01 1.655E+02 +/- 9.85E+00 3.532E+02 +/- 1.90E+01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>165.0</td>
<td>4.853E+02 +/- 1.83E+01 6.228E+02 +/- 6.92E+01 2.707E+02 +/- 1.79E+01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>175.0</td>
<td>4.873E+02 +/- 3.16E+01 0.000E+00 +/- 0.000E+00 1.233E+01 +/- 5.04E+00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Integrated:</td>
<td>7.133E+03 +/- 5.62E+02 +/- 1.05E+01 5.89E+03 +/- 3.43E+01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Double differential cross sections [mb/MeV/ sr];

Lab. angle = 22.5 to 27.5 degrees.

| Integrated: 7.513E+02 +/- 2.53E+01 7.62E+02 +/- 1.22E+01 |

Double differential cross sections [mb/MeV/ sr];

Lab. angle = 25.5 to 57.5 degrees.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tn [MeV]</th>
<th>Total cascade</th>
<th>Precompound</th>
<th>Total Evaporation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.0- 1.0</td>
<td>1.03E+02 +/- 7.83E+00 0.00E+00 +/- 0.00E+00 8.47E+01 +/- 6.18E-01 1.39E+02 +/- 7.81E+00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.0- 2.0</td>
<td>1.38E+02 +/- 7.78E+00 3.05E+00 +/- 1.16E+00 2.18E+00 +/- 9.77E-01 1.33E+02 +/- 7.63E+00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54.0- 56.0</td>
<td>8.47E+01 +/- 4.37E+01 8.74E+01 +/- 4.37E+01 0.00E+00 +/- 0.00E+00 0.00E+00 +/- 0.00E+00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56.0- 58.0</td>
<td>2.18E+01 +/- 2.19E+01 2.18E+01 +/- 2.19E+01 0.00E+00 +/- 0.00E+00 0.00E+00 +/- 0.00E+00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Integrated:</td>
<td>6.24E+02 +/- 1.65E+01 7.97E+01 +/- 5.91E+00 7.36E+00 +/- 4.71E+00</td>
<td>1.44E+01</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Double differential cross sections [mb/MeV/sr]; Lab. angle = 72.5 to 77.5 degrees.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tn [MeV]</th>
<th>Total cascade</th>
<th>Precompound</th>
<th>Total Evaporation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.0- 1.0</td>
<td>1.58E+02 +/- 7.66E+00 0.00E+00 +/- 0.00E+00 3.70E+01 +/- 3.71E-01 1.57E+02 +/- 7.65E+00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.0- 2.0</td>
<td>1.52E+02 +/- 7.39E+00 1.79E+00 +/- 8.04E-01 1.43E+00 +/- 7.19E+00 1.48E+00 +/- 7.31E+00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34.0- 36.0</td>
<td>3.52E+01 +/- 2.79E+01 0.00E+00 +/- 0.00E+00 3.52E+01 +/- 2.79E+01 3.52E+01 +/- 2.79E+01</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38.0- 40.0</td>
<td>1.79E+01 +/- 1.80E+00 0.00E+00 +/- 0.00E+00 1.79E+00 +/- 1.80E+00 1.79E+00 +/- 1.80E+00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Integrated:</td>
<td>5.36E+02 +/- 1.39E+01 1.72E+01 +/- 2.49E+00 3.52E+01 +/- 4.84E+00</td>
<td>-1.32E+01</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Double differential cross sections [mb/MeV/sr]; Lab. angle = 92.5 to 97.5 degrees.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tn [MeV]</th>
<th>Total cascade</th>
<th>Precompound</th>
<th>Total Evaporation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.0- 1.0</td>
<td>1.44E+02 +/- 7.20E+00 0.00E+00 +/- 0.00E+00 7.18E+00 +/- 7.06E+00 1.47E+00 +/- 7.18E+00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.0- 2.0</td>
<td>1.52E+02 +/- 7.39E+00 1.79E+00 +/- 8.04E-01 1.43E+00 +/- 7.19E+00 1.48E+00 +/- 7.31E+00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30.0- 32.0</td>
<td>3.52E+01 +/- 2.79E+01 0.00E+00 +/- 0.00E+00 3.52E+01 +/- 2.79E+01 3.52E+01 +/- 2.79E+01</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34.0- 36.0</td>
<td>1.79E+01 +/- 1.80E+00 0.00E+00 +/- 0.00E+00 1.79E+00 +/- 1.80E+00 1.79E+00 +/- 1.80E+00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Integrated:</td>
<td>5.15E+02 +/- 1.43E+01 7.90E+00 +/- 1.77E+00 2.68E+00 +/- 3.26E+00 4.81E+00 +/- 1.38E+01</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Double differential cross sections [mb/MeV/sr]; Lab. angle = 112.5 to 117.5 degrees.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tn [MeV]</th>
<th>Total cascade</th>
<th>Precompound</th>
<th>Total Evaporation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.0- 1.0</td>
<td>1.42E+02 +/- 1.10E+01 0.00E+00 +/- 0.00E+00 1.42E+00 +/- 1.42E+00 1.10E+01</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.0- 2.0</td>
<td>1.48E+02 +/- 1.12E+01 0.00E+00 +/- 0.00E+00 1.48E+00 +/- 1.48E+00 1.12E+01</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22.0- 24.0</td>
<td>8.47E+01 +/- 5.99E+00 0.00E+00 +/- 0.00E+00 8.47E+00 +/- 5.99E+00 0.00E+00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24.0- 26.0</td>
<td>4.23E+01 +/- 4.24E+00 0.00E+00 +/- 0.00E+00 4.23E+00 +/- 4.24E+00 0.00E+00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Integrated:</td>
<td>4.72E+02 +/- 2.00E+01 0.00E+00 +/- 0.00E+00 1.52E+01 +/- 3.59E+00 4.57E+00 +/- 1.97E+01</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Double differential cross sections [mb/MeV/sr]; Lab. angle = 152.5 to 157.5 degrees.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tn [MeV]</th>
<th>Total cascade</th>
<th>Precompound</th>
<th>Total Evaporation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>19.0- 20.0</td>
<td>3.52E+01 +/- 2.78E+01 0.00E+00 +/- 0.00E+00 3.52E+00 +/- 2.78E+00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20.0- 21.0</td>
<td>7.84E+01 +/- 3.92E+01 1.96E+00 +/- 1.96E+00 5.88E+00 +/- 3.40E+00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54.0- 56.0</td>
<td>9.81E+01 +/- 3.92E+01 0.00E+00 +/- 0.00E+00 9.81E+00 +/- 3.92E+00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56.0- 58.0</td>
<td>9.81E+01 +/- 3.92E+01 0.00E+00 +/- 0.00E+00 9.81E+00 +/- 3.92E+00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Integrated:</td>
<td>1.45E+02 +/- 1.69E+00 0.00E+00 +/- 0.00E+00 1.21E+01 +/- 1.55E+00 2.35E+00 +/- 6.80E-01</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Double differential cross sections [mb/MeV/sr]; Lab. angle = 22.5 to 27.5 degrees.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Energy (MeV)</th>
<th>Total Coalescence</th>
<th>Precompound</th>
<th>Total Evaporation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>26.0 - 28.0</td>
<td>4.23E-01 +/- 4.24E-01 0.00E+00 +/- 0.00E+00 4.23E-01 +/- 4.24E-01 0.00E+00 +/- 0.00E+00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Integrated:</td>
<td>8.47E-01 +/- 8.47E-01 0.00E+00 +/- 0.00E+00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Double differential cross sections (mb/MeV/sr);
Lab. angle = 52.5 to 57.5 degrees.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Energy (MeV)</th>
<th>Total Coalescence</th>
<th>Precompound</th>
<th>Total Evaporation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>22.0 - 24.0</td>
<td>2.185E-01 +/- 2.19E-01 0.00E+00 +/- 0.00E+00 2.185E-01 +/- 2.19E-01 0.00E+00 +/- 0.00E+00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26.0 - 28.0</td>
<td>2.185E-01 +/- 2.19E-01 0.00E+00 +/- 0.00E+00 2.185E-01 +/- 2.19E-01 0.00E+00 +/- 0.00E+00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30.0 - 32.0</td>
<td>2.185E-01 +/- 2.19E-01 0.00E+00 +/- 0.00E+00 2.185E-01 +/- 2.19E-01 0.00E+00 +/- 0.00E+00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Integrated:</td>
<td>1.748E+00 +/- 8.74E-01 0.00E+00 +/- 0.00E+00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Double differential cross sections (mb/MeV/sr);
Lab. angle = 72.5 to 77.5 degrees.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Energy (MeV)</th>
<th>Total Coalescence</th>
<th>Precompound</th>
<th>Total Evaporation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>26.0 - 28.0</td>
<td>1.853E-01 +/- 1.85E-01 0.00E+00 +/- 0.00E+00 1.853E-01 +/- 1.85E-01 0.00E+00 +/- 0.00E+00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36.0 - 38.0</td>
<td>1.853E-01 +/- 1.85E-01 0.00E+00 +/- 0.00E+00 1.853E-01 +/- 1.85E-01 0.00E+00 +/- 0.00E+00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Integrated:</td>
<td>7.412E-01 +/- 5.24E-01 0.00E+00 +/- 0.00E+00 7.412E-01 +/- 5.24E-01 0.00E+00 +/- 0.00E+00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Double differential cross sections (mb/MeV/sr);
Lab. angle = 92.5 to 97.5 degrees.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Energy (MeV)</th>
<th>Total Coalescence</th>
<th>Precompound</th>
<th>Total Evaporation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>26.0 - 28.0</td>
<td>1.797E-01 +/- 1.80E-01 0.00E+00 +/- 0.00E+00 1.797E-01 +/- 1.80E-01 0.00E+00 +/- 0.00E+00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30.0 - 32.0</td>
<td>1.797E-01 +/- 1.80E-01 0.00E+00 +/- 0.00E+00 1.797E-01 +/- 1.80E-01 0.00E+00 +/- 0.00E+00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Integrated:</td>
<td>1.078E+00 +/- 6.22E-01 0.00E+00 +/- 0.00E+00 1.078E+00 +/- 6.22E-01 0.00E+00 +/- 0.00E+00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Elapsed cpu time = 0. min and 5.669 sec.
Example No. 7: xsec and kinetic energy of all products measured
at GSI in inverse kinematics for 800 MeV p + Au197; 10,000 events.
Number of types of evaporated particles = 6

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>M</th>
<th>T</th>
<th>O</th>
<th>A</th>
<th>Z</th>
<th>Q</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>lin</th>
<th>c</th>
<th>ed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.9383</td>
<td>0.8000</td>
<td>197.</td>
<td>79.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>10000</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

dt0 = +20.0, t0max = 1000.5, dteta = 10.0

Inelastic cross section used here = 1629.65 mb
Monte Carlo inelastic cross section = 1590.37 mb

The mean excitation energy, charge, mass, and angular momentum of the 10000 nuclei after the
cascade and before preequilibrium decay are:
E*av = 226.4 +/-150.4 MeV; E*min = -1.1; E*max = 717.9
Zav = 78.6 +/- 1.1; Zmin = 73.; Zmax = 82.
Aav = 192.7 +/- 2.6; Amin = 192.; Amax = 196.
Lav = 12.7 +/- 7.5 h-bar; Lmin = 0.; Lmax = 46.

3 MeV of excitation energy after the cascade are:
Zav = 79.2 +/- 0.4; Zmin = 79.; Zmax = 80.
Aav = 196.1 +/- 0.4; Amin = 194.; Amax = 197.
Lav = 3.5 +/- 2.2 h-bar; Lmin = 0.; Lmax = 13.

The mean excitation energy, charge, mass, and angular momentum of the 9835 nuclei after preequilibrium
decay and before the start of statistical decay are:
E*av = 181.2 +/-128.5 MeV; E*min = 1.0; E*max = 683.9
Zav = 77.2 +/- 1.7; Zmin = 69.; Zmax = 81.
Aav = 190.6 +/- 4.2; Amin = 172.; Amax = 197.
Lav = 14.8 +/- 9.0 h-bar; Lmin = 0.; Lmax = 66.

The mean excitation energy, charge, mass, and angular momentum of the 9835 nuclei after preequilibrium
decay and before the start of statistical decay are:
E*av = 254.1 +/-102.0 MeV; E*min = 48.9; E*max = 551.3
Zav = 76.9 +/- 2.4; Zmin = 66.; Zmax = 81.
Aav = 184.0 +/- 6.3; Amin = 160.; Amax = 196.
Lav = 17.7 +/- 8.2 h-bar; Lmin = 2.; Lmax = 51.
Bfav = 15.9 +/- 2.8 MeV; Bfmin = 8.3; Bfmax = 26.7

The mean total fission product kinetic energy after neutron emission is 121.75 MeV.

Statistical Weight Functions Method:
Fissility = 0.0434 +/- 0.0021,
Fission cross section = 7.07668E+01 +/- 3.39E+00 mb.

Mean multiplicities, yields, and mean energies of ejected particles:
Rotation: T - all production mechanisms, C - cascade, P - preequilibrium,
Sp - from spallation residues, Pf - from nuclei before fission,
P - from fission fragments, E - total evaporation = Sp + Pf + P,
Co - Coalescence from cascade;
Values which are identically zero are not printed.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part.</th>
<th>Multiplicities</th>
<th>Yields [mb]</th>
<th>&lt;TKE&gt; [MeV]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Mass yield \([\text{mb}]\) and the mean and variance of the kinetic energy \([\text{MeV}]\) of residual nuclides:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nuclide</th>
<th>Mass Yield ([\text{mb}])</th>
<th>Mean Energy ([\text{MeV}])</th>
<th>Variance Energy ([\text{MeV}]^2)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>He (_3)</td>
<td>0.065 +/- 0.0031</td>
<td>57.26 +/- 5.062</td>
<td>44.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>He (_4)</td>
<td>0.055 +/- 0.0024</td>
<td>55.14 +/- 4.265</td>
<td>25.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>He (_5)</td>
<td>0.017 +/- 0.0010</td>
<td>15.54 +/- 1.134</td>
<td>14.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ne (_4)</td>
<td>0.007 +/- 0.0003</td>
<td>19.37 +/- 1.412</td>
<td>25.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ne (_5)</td>
<td>0.009 +/- 0.0003</td>
<td>15.54 +/- 1.134</td>
<td>25.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ne (_6)</td>
<td>0.019 +/- 0.0010</td>
<td>15.54 +/- 1.134</td>
<td>25.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ne (_7)</td>
<td>0.021 +/- 0.0010</td>
<td>15.54 +/- 1.134</td>
<td>25.50</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

End of nuclide yields.
Charge yield \([\text{mb}]\) and the mean and variance of the kinetic energy \([\text{MeV}]\) of residual nuclei:

\[
A = 197 \ 1.043E+01 \ \pm \ 1.30E+00 \ 1.227E-01 \ \pm \ 1.28E-01 \\
A = 196 \ 4.661E+01 \ \pm \ 2.7E+00 \ 7.28E-02 \ \pm \ 9.30E-02 \\
A = 1 \ 2.732E+04 \ \pm \ 6.67E+01 \ 2.91E+00 \ \pm \ 8.56E+01 \\
S = 156 \ 3.252E+04 \ \pm \ 7.32E+01 \ 2.829E+01 \ \pm \ 7.88E+01 \\
\]

Z = 81 8.14E+00 3.64E-01 4.39E+00 4.53E-01 \\
Z = 80 7.69E+00 3.54E+00 4.42E+00 4.24E+00 \\
Z = 0 2.34E+00 6.10E+00 2.07E+00 6.90E+00 \\
S = 69 3.252E+04 7.32E+01 2.829E+01 7.88E+01 \\

Elapsed cpu time = 0. min and 8.608 sec.
Example No. 8: Yields, mean kinetic energy, angles of emission, and much more (the most complete output) of all products measured at GSI in inverse kinematics for 1000 MeV p + Fe56; 10,000 events.

Number of types of evaporated particles = 6

\[
\begin{array}{llllllll}
N & Z0 & A & Z & Q & B & lienc & ideal \\
0.9383 & 1.0000 & 56. & 26. & 1 & 1 & 10000 & 1 \\
\end{array}
\]

dt0 = -20.0, tmax = 1000.5, dteta = 10.0

\[
\begin{array}{llllllllll}
nspec & mpyld & mchy & misy & mdubl & mang & ipar1 & ipar2 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 3 & 0 & 0 & 2 & 2 \\
\end{array}
\]

r0m = 1.2, & cevap = 12.0.

lim = 100000.

Geometrical cross section = 1367.65 mb.

Inelastic cross section used here = 735.39 mb

Monte Carlo inelastic cross section = 744.87 mb

Number of inelastic interactions = 10000,
Number of elastic interactions = 8361,

Reaction cross section = 735.39 mb; Elastic cross section = 614.86 mb.

The mean excitation energy, charge, mass, and angular momentum of the 10000 nuclei after the cascade and before preequilibrium decay are:

\[
\begin{align*}
E^*_{\text{av}} & = 148.9 \pm 119.9 \text{ MeV} \\
E^*_{\text{min}} & = 148.9 \pm 119.9 \text{ MeV} \\
E^*_{\text{max}} & = 148.9 \pm 119.9 \text{ MeV} \\
Z_{\text{av}} & = 24.7 \pm 1.4 \\
Z_{\text{min}} & = 18. \\
Z_{\text{max}} & = 28. \\
A_{\text{av}} & = 52.1 \pm 2.8 \\
A_{\text{min}} & = 40. \\
A_{\text{max}} & = 56. \\
L_{\text{av}} & = 6.6 \pm 4.3 \text{ h-bar} \\
L_{\text{min}} & = 0. \\
L_{\text{max}} & = 33. \\
\end{align*}
\]

The program called Fermi breakup 46 times.

The mean charge, mass, and angular momentum of the 319 residual nuclei with less than

3 MeV of excitation energy after the cascade are:

\[
\begin{align*}
E^*_{\text{av}} & = 51.0 \pm 3.4 \\
E^*_{\text{min}} & = 51.0 \pm 3.4 \\
E^*_{\text{max}} & = 51.0 \pm 3.4 \\
Z_{\text{av}} & = 26.1 \pm 0.3 \\
Z_{\text{min}} & = 26. \\
Z_{\text{max}} & = 27. \\
A_{\text{av}} & = 55.1 \pm 0.4 \\
A_{\text{min}} & = 54. \\
A_{\text{max}} & = 56. \\
L_{\text{av}} & = 2.2 \pm 1.3 \text{ h-bar} \\
L_{\text{min}} & = 0. \\
L_{\text{max}} & = 7. \\
\end{align*}
\]

The mean excitation energy, charge, mass, and angular momentum of the 9681 nuclei after preequilibrium decay and before the start of statistical decay are:

\[
\begin{align*}
E^*_{\text{av}} & = 128.7 \pm 108.8 \text{ MeV} \\
E^*_{\text{min}} & = 128.7 \pm 108.8 \text{ MeV} \\
E^*_{\text{max}} & = 128.7 \pm 108.8 \text{ MeV} \\
Z_{\text{av}} & = 24.1 \pm 1.8 \\
Z_{\text{min}} & = 16. \\
Z_{\text{max}} & = 28. \\
A_{\text{av}} & = 51.0 \pm 3.4 \\
A_{\text{min}} & = 35. \\
A_{\text{max}} & = 56. \\
L_{\text{av}} & = 7.7 \pm 2.7 \text{ h-bar} \\
L_{\text{min}} & = 0. \\
L_{\text{max}} & = 33. \\
\end{align*}
\]

The mean kinetic energy, charge, mass, and angular momentum of the 10000 residual nuclei are:

\[
\begin{align*}
E_{\text{av}} & = 4.4 \pm 5.7 \text{ MeV} \\
E_{\text{min}} & = 4.4 \pm 5.7 \text{ MeV} \\
E_{\text{max}} & = 4.4 \pm 5.7 \text{ MeV} \\
Z_{\text{av}} & = 19.8 \pm 4.8 \\
Z_{\text{min}} & = 19.8 \pm 4.8 \\
Z_{\text{max}} & = 19.8 \pm 4.8 \\
A_{\text{av}} & = 41.7 \pm 10.4 \\
A_{\text{min}} & = 13. \\
A_{\text{max}} & = 56. \\
L_{\text{av}} & = 7.5 \pm 5.1 \text{ h-bar} \\
L_{\text{min}} & = 0. \\
L_{\text{max}} & = 33. \\
\end{align*}
\]

Number of coalesced d, t, He3, He4 = 4275 847 493 490

Mean multiplicities, yields, and mean energies of ejected particles:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part.</th>
<th>Multiplicities</th>
<th>Yields [mb]</th>
<th>(&lt;TKE&gt; [\text{MeV}])</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>T n</td>
<td>4.7164 +/- 0.0217</td>
<td>3468.374 +/- 16.971</td>
<td>66.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C n</td>
<td>1.8841 +/- 0.0137</td>
<td>1389.541 +/- 10.084</td>
<td>155.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P n</td>
<td>0.1444 +/- 0.0038</td>
<td>106.190 +/- 2.794</td>
<td>20.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sp n</td>
<td>2.6879 +/- 0.0164</td>
<td>1976.644 +/- 12.057</td>
<td>6.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E n</td>
<td>2.6879 +/- 0.0164</td>
<td>1976.644 +/- 12.057</td>
<td>6.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T p</td>
<td>3.8522 +/- 0.0196</td>
<td>2832.954 +/- 14.433</td>
<td>85.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C p</td>
<td>1.5593 +/- 0.0125</td>
<td>1144.922 +/- 9.176</td>
<td>196.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P p</td>
<td>0.1437 +/- 0.0038</td>
<td>105.675 +/- 2.788</td>
<td>23.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sp p</td>
<td>2.1516 +/- 0.0147</td>
<td>1582.256 +/- 10.787</td>
<td>9.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E p</td>
<td>2.1516 +/- 0.0147</td>
<td>1582.256 +/- 10.787</td>
<td>9.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T d</td>
<td>1.2460 +/- 0.0091</td>
<td>315.556 +/- 8.205</td>
<td>35.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P d</td>
<td>0.1151 +/- 0.0034</td>
<td>84.643 +/- 2.495</td>
<td>26.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sp d</td>
<td>0.7037 +/- 0.0084</td>
<td>517.491 +/- 6.169</td>
<td>11.68</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Mass yield [mb] and the mean and variance of the kinetic energy [MeV]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nuclide</th>
<th>Mass Yield (mb)</th>
<th>Mean (MeV)</th>
<th>Variance (MeV)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PHe3</td>
<td>0.0443 ± 0.0043</td>
<td>37.69 ± 1.15</td>
<td>30.50 ± 1.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PHe4</td>
<td>0.0300 ± 0.0030</td>
<td>37.69 ± 1.15</td>
<td>30.50 ± 1.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EHe4</td>
<td>0.0720 ± 0.0072</td>
<td>37.69 ± 1.15</td>
<td>30.50 ± 1.15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Charge yield [mb] and the mean and variance of the kinetic energy [MeV]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nuclide</th>
<th>Charge Yield (mb)</th>
<th>Mean (MeV)</th>
<th>Variance (MeV)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PHe3</td>
<td>0.0443 ± 0.0043</td>
<td>37.69 ± 1.15</td>
<td>30.50 ± 1.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PHe4</td>
<td>0.0300 ± 0.0030</td>
<td>37.69 ± 1.15</td>
<td>30.50 ± 1.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EHe4</td>
<td>0.0720 ± 0.0072</td>
<td>37.69 ± 1.15</td>
<td>30.50 ± 1.15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Nuclide yields [mb] (zero values suppressed)

#### Mass Yield [mb]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Element</th>
<th>Mass Yield (mb)</th>
<th>Mean (MeV)</th>
<th>Variance (MeV)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PHe3</td>
<td>0.0443 ± 0.0043</td>
<td>37.69 ± 1.15</td>
<td>30.50 ± 1.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PHe4</td>
<td>0.0300 ± 0.0030</td>
<td>37.69 ± 1.15</td>
<td>30.50 ± 1.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EHe4</td>
<td>0.0720 ± 0.0072</td>
<td>37.69 ± 1.15</td>
<td>30.50 ± 1.15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Charge Yield [mb]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Element</th>
<th>Charge Yield (mb)</th>
<th>Mean (MeV)</th>
<th>Variance (MeV)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PHe3</td>
<td>0.0443 ± 0.0043</td>
<td>37.69 ± 1.15</td>
<td>30.50 ± 1.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PHe4</td>
<td>0.0300 ± 0.0030</td>
<td>37.69 ± 1.15</td>
<td>30.50 ± 1.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EHe4</td>
<td>0.0720 ± 0.0072</td>
<td>37.69 ± 1.15</td>
<td>30.50 ± 1.15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### End of nuclide yields.

Additional data and calculations are provided, including mass and charge yields in forward direction and more detailed nuclide yields, but the full text is not fully transcribed here due to the page limit.
### Nuclide average kinetic energies [MeV] (zero yield suppressed)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Charge</th>
<th>Zero Yield</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Variance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.000E+00</td>
<td>0.000E+00</td>
<td>0.000E+00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.000E+00</td>
<td>0.000E+00</td>
<td>0.000E+00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.000E+00</td>
<td>0.000E+00</td>
<td>0.000E+00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.000E+00</td>
<td>0.000E+00</td>
<td>0.000E+00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Mass yield [mb] and the mean and variance of the kinetic energy [MeV] of residual nuclei in the forward direction:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mass</th>
<th>Zero Yield</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Variance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.000E+00</td>
<td>0.000E+00</td>
<td>0.000E+00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.000E+00</td>
<td>0.000E+00</td>
<td>0.000E+00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.000E+00</td>
<td>0.000E+00</td>
<td>0.000E+00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.000E+00</td>
<td>0.000E+00</td>
<td>0.000E+00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Mass yield [mb] and the mean and variance of the kinetic energy [MeV] of residual nuclei in the backward direction:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mass</th>
<th>Zero Yield</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Variance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.000E+00</td>
<td>0.000E+00</td>
<td>0.000E+00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.000E+00</td>
<td>0.000E+00</td>
<td>0.000E+00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.000E+00</td>
<td>0.000E+00</td>
<td>0.000E+00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.000E+00</td>
<td>0.000E+00</td>
<td>0.000E+00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Charge yield [mb] and the mean and variance of the kinetic energy [MeV]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Charge</th>
<th>Zero Yield</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Variance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.000E+00</td>
<td>0.000E+00</td>
<td>0.000E+00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.000E+00</td>
<td>0.000E+00</td>
<td>0.000E+00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.000E+00</td>
<td>0.000E+00</td>
<td>0.000E+00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.000E+00</td>
<td>0.000E+00</td>
<td>0.000E+00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Charge yield [mb] and the mean and variance of the kinetic energy [MeV] of residual nuclei in the forward direction:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Charge</th>
<th>Zero Yield</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Variance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.000E+00</td>
<td>0.000E+00</td>
<td>0.000E+00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.000E+00</td>
<td>0.000E+00</td>
<td>0.000E+00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.000E+00</td>
<td>0.000E+00</td>
<td>0.000E+00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.000E+00</td>
<td>0.000E+00</td>
<td>0.000E+00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Charge yield [mb] and the mean and variance of the kinetic energy [MeV] of residual nuclei in the backward direction:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Charge</th>
<th>Zero Yield</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Variance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.000E+00</td>
<td>0.000E+00</td>
<td>0.000E+00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.000E+00</td>
<td>0.000E+00</td>
<td>0.000E+00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.000E+00</td>
<td>0.000E+00</td>
<td>0.000E+00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.000E+00</td>
<td>0.000E+00</td>
<td>0.000E+00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### End of nuclide yields (forward direction).

### End of nuclide yields (backward direction).

### Nuclide yields [mb] in backward direction (theta_lab > 90) *********

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mass</th>
<th>Zero Yield</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Variance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.000E+00</td>
<td>0.000E+00</td>
<td>0.000E+00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.000E+00</td>
<td>0.000E+00</td>
<td>0.000E+00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.000E+00</td>
<td>0.000E+00</td>
<td>0.000E+00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.000E+00</td>
<td>0.000E+00</td>
<td>0.000E+00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### End of nuclide yields (backward direction).

### Nuclide average kinetic energies [MeV] (zero yield suppressed) ********

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mass</th>
<th>Zero Yield</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Variance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.000E+00</td>
<td>0.000E+00</td>
<td>0.000E+00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.000E+00</td>
<td>0.000E+00</td>
<td>0.000E+00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.000E+00</td>
<td>0.000E+00</td>
<td>0.000E+00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.000E+00</td>
<td>0.000E+00</td>
<td>0.000E+00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### End of nuclide yields (backward direction).
St Dv A = 2.745E+00 3.445E+00 3.445E+00 0.000E+00 0.000E+00
St Dv Z = 1.445E+00 1.779E+00 1.779E+00 0.000E+00 0.000E+00

St dev P = 3.426E+02 3.376E+02 3.376E+02 0.000E+00 0.000E+00

The mean and variance of the z velocity [v/c] of residual nuclei:

S=2 8 8 . 8 4 0 E + 0 3+ / -2 . 5 5 E + 0 1 7 . 3 8 2 E + 0 1+ / -3 . 9 7 E + 0 1
Z= 0 3 . 4 6 8 E + 0 3+ / -1 . 6 0 E + 0 1 7 . 4 3 4 E + 0 1+ / -4 . 0 4 E + 0 1
Z=2 6 5 . 8 1 7 E + 0 1+ / -2 . 0 7 E + 0 0 8 . 2 8 8 E + 0 1+ / -3 . 1 2 E + 0 1
Z=2 7 5 . 8 8 3 E + 0 0+ / -6 . 5 8 E - 0 1 8 . 3 3 2 E + 0 1+ / -1 . 2 3 E + 0 1

and the forward/backward ratio:

The mean and variance of the z velocity [v/c] of residual nuclei:

S= 5 3 8 . 8 4 0 E + 0 3+ / -2 . 5 5 E + 0 1 7 . 3 8 2 E + 0 1+ / -3 . 9 7 E + 0 1
A= 1 6 . 3 0 1 E + 0 3+ / -2 . 1 5 E + 0 1 7 . 3 6 5 E + 0 1+ / -4 . 0 4 E + 0 1
A= 5 5 5 . 0 5 2 E + 0 1+ / -1 . 9 3 E + 0 0 8 . 3 8 0 E + 0 1+ / -3 . 2 6 E + 0 1
A= 5 6 8 . 7 5 1 E + 0 0+ / -8 . 0 2 E - 0 1 8 . 3 1 5 E + 0 1+ / -1 . 7 4 E + 0 0

and the forward/backward ratio:

The mean and variance of the z velocity [v/c] of residual nuclei:

S= 3 0 5 0 0 0 E + 1 0 0 0 0 E - 0 4 3 . 0 0 0 E - 0 4 0 . 0 0 0 E + 0 0
Z= 0 . 0 0 0 E + 0 0 3 . 1 6 0 E - 0 2 3 . 1 6 0 E - 0 2 0 . 0 0 0 E + 0 0

Z= 2 7 5 . 6 3 0 E - 0 2 4 . 0 1 0 E - 0 2 4 . 0 1 0 E - 0 2 0 . 0 0 0 E + 0 0
Z= 2 8 1 . 9 0 0 E - 0 3 1 . 6 0 0 E - 0 3 1 . 6 0 0 E - 0 3 0 . 0 0 0 E + 0 0

Mass distributions of nuclei: at start of evap, which: just prior to
after cascade after preeq evap. only fission fission
A = 56 3.910E-02 3.165E-02 3.165E-02 0.000E+00 0.000E+00
A = 55 1.908E-01 1.468E-01 1.468E-01 0.000E+00 0.000E+00

Charge distributions of nuclei: at start of evap, which: just prior to
after cascade after preeq evap. only fission fission
Z = 27 3.328E-04 / -2.07E+00 8.288E+01 / -3.12E+01
Z = 26 5.817E+01 / -2.07E+00 8.288E+01 / -3.12E+01
Z = 0 3.648E+03 / -1.60E+04 7.434E+01 / -4.04E+01
Z = 28 8.840E+03 / -2.55E+03 7.382E+01 / -3.97E+01

Mass yield [mb] and the mean and variance of the emission angle [deg.] of residual nuclei:

S= 37 8 8 6 9 8 E - 0 2+ / -1 . 9 9 E - 0 1 1 . 9 7 7 E + 0 0+ / -1 . 6 8 E - 0 2
A= 1 1 . 1 0 6 E - 0 1+ / -2 . 2 5 E - 0 1 1 . 9 3 8 E + 0 0+ / -1 . 9 5 E - 0 2
A= 5 5 3 . 0 5 6 E - 0 4+ / -1 . 0 9 E - 0 3 1 . 4 8 0 E + 0 0+ / -1 . 6 2 E - 0 1
A= 5 6 4 . 0 0 3 E - 0 4+ / -2 . 6 3 E - 0 4 1 . 0 0 0 E + 0 0+ / -0 . 0 0 E + 0 0

Excitation energy distributions [1/MeV] of nuclei:

at start of evap, which: just prior to
E(MeV) after cascade after preeq evap. only fission fission
0.- 10. 4.380E-03 5.010E-03 5.010E-03 0.000E+00 0.000E+00
10.- 20. 3.630E-03 5.070E-03 5.070E-03 0.000E+00 0.000E+00

Linear momentum distributions [1/MeV/c] of nuclei:

at start of evap, which: just prior to
P(MeV/c) after cascade after preeq evap. only fission fission
0.- 20. 4.000E-06 4.500E-06 4.500E-06 0.000E+00 0.000E+00
20.- 40. 3.000E-04 3.100E-04 3.100E-04 0.000E+00 0.000E+00
Neutron-multiplicity probability:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Neutron-multiplicity probability:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The program called Fermi breakup 46 times.

Elapsed cpu time = 0 min and 6.201 sec.
Example No. 9: Proton spectra from monochromatic 300 MeV gamma + 64Cu; 10,000 events.
Number of types of evaporated particles = 6

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>N</th>
<th>TO</th>
<th>A</th>
<th>Z</th>
<th>Q</th>
<th>l</th>
<th>i</th>
<th>d</th>
<th>e</th>
<th>del</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.0000</td>
<td>0.3000</td>
<td>64.</td>
<td>29.</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>10000</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

dt0 = -5.0, t0max = 300.5, dteta = 10.0

mspec ep2ld schy mdy ndubl mang ipari1 ipari2
0 1 0 0 1 0 2 2

rOn = 1.2, & cevap = 12.0.

Theta1 Theta2 Theta3 Theta4 Theta5 Theta6
42.5 47.5 85.7 92.5 132.5 137.5 -55.0 165.0 55.0 65.0 75.0 85.0

Theta7 Theta8 Theta9 Theta10
95.0 105.0 115.0 125.0 135.0 145.0 155.0 165.0

Tmin, Tmax, dT{1};Tmin, Tmax, dT{2};Tmin, Tmax, dT{3};Tmin, Tmax, dT{4}.
0.0 22.0 1.00 22.0 120.0 2.00 120.0 400.0 10.0 400.0 1000.0 20.0

lim = 6000000.

Geometrical cross section = 1445.99 mb.

Inelastic cross section used here = 26.58 mb
Monte Carlo inelastic cross section = 28.02 mb

The mean excitation energy, charge, mass, and angular momentum of the 10000 nuclei after the cascade and before preequilibrium decay are:
E*av = 86.6 +/- 52.5 MeV; E*min = 1.3; E*max = 299.2
Zav = 28.3 +/- 0.9; Zmin = 24.; Zmax = 30.
Aav = 61.8 +/- 1.4; Anin = 56.; Anax = 64.
Lav = 4.3 +/- 2.5 h-bar; Lmin = 0.; Lmax = 17.

The mean charge, mass, and angular momentum of the 13 residual nuclei with less than 3 MeV of excitation energy after the cascade are:
Zav = 28.0 +/- 0.0; Zmin = 28.; Zmax = 28.
Aav = 62.0 +/- 0.0; Anin = 62.; Anax = 62.
Lav = 3.6 +/- 1.5 h-bar; Lmin = 2.; Lmax = 7.

The mean excitation energy, charge, mass, and angular momentum of the 9986 nuclei after preequilibrium decay and before the start of statistical decay are:
E*av = 66.1 +/- 44.2 MeV; E*min = 0.7; E*max = 298.3
Zav = 27.8 +/- 1.1; Zmin = 22.; Zmax = 30.
Aav = 60.9 +/- 2.0; Anin = 61.; Anax = 64.
Lav = 5.4 +/- 3.4 h-bar; Lmin = 0.; Lmax = 35.

The mean kinetic energy, charge, mass, and angular momentum of the 10000 residual nuclei are:
Eav = 1.7 +/- 1.8 MeV; Eavm = 0.0; Eavx = 18.5
Zav = 26.9 +/- 2.1; Zmin = 15.; Zmax = 30.
Aav = 55.5 +/- 4.7; Anin = 33.; Anax = 63.
Lav = 5.4 +/- 3.4 h-bar; Lmin = 0.; Lmax = 35.

Number of coalesced d, t, He3, He4 = 758 95 13 4

Mean multiplicities, yields, and mean energies of ejected particles:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part.</th>
<th>Multiplicities</th>
<th>Yields [mb]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

The notation: T - all production mechanisms, C - cascade, F - pre-equilibrium, Sp - from spallation residues, Pf - from nuclei before fission, F - from fission fragments, E - total evaporation = Sp + Pf + F, Co - Coalescence from cascade.

Values which are identically zero are not printed.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tp [MeV]</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>Cascade</th>
<th>Precompound</th>
<th>Total Evaporation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3.0 - 4.0</td>
<td>1.509E-01</td>
<td>+/- 3.22E-02</td>
<td>0.000E+00</td>
<td>+/- 0.00E+00 0.000E+00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.0 - 5.0</td>
<td>4.389E-01</td>
<td>+/- 5.49E-02</td>
<td>6.858E-03</td>
<td>+/- 6.86E-03 6.858E-03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>220.0 - 230.0</td>
<td>1.372E-03</td>
<td>+/- 9.70E-04</td>
<td>1.372E-03</td>
<td>+/- 9.70E-04 0.000E+00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>230.0 - 240.0</td>
<td>6.858E-04</td>
<td>+/- 6.86E-04</td>
<td>6.858E-04</td>
<td>+/- 6.86E-04 0.000E+00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Integrated: 5.219E+00 | +/- 1.89E-01 2.188E+00 | +/- 1.22E-01 5.219E+00 | +/- 1.31E-01 |

Double differential cross sections [mb/MeV/sr]; Lab. angle = 87.5 to 92.5 degrees.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tp [MeV]</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>Cascade</th>
<th>Precompound</th>
<th>Total Evaporation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2.0 - 3.0</td>
<td>3.22E-01</td>
<td>+/- 4.86E-02</td>
<td>4.86E-04</td>
<td>+/- 4.86E-04 4.86E-04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.0 - 4.0</td>
<td>3.884E+00</td>
<td>+/- 1.37E-01 3.884E-01</td>
<td>+/- 3.884E-01 7.01E+00</td>
<td>+/- 7.01E-02 3.884E+00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Integrated: 3.381E+00 | +/- 1.52E-01 7.73E-02 | +/- 1.52E-01 3.381E+00 | +/- 1.23E-01 |

Elapsed cpu time = 0. min and 16.136 sec.
Example No. 10: Yields, mean kinetic energy, emission angles, neutron multiplicities, Forward/Backward ratios, and much more (the most complete output) of all products from $E_{\text{max}} = 1000 \text{ MeV}$ bremsstrahlung gammas + $^{197}\text{Au}$; 10,000 events.

Number of types of evaporated particles = 6

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>M</th>
<th>T0</th>
<th>A</th>
<th>Z</th>
<th>Q</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>linc</th>
<th>idel</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.0000</td>
<td>0.4595</td>
<td>197.</td>
<td>79.</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>10000</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

dt0 = -20.0, t0max = 1000.0, dteta = 10.0

r0m = 1.2, & cevap = 12.0.

lim = 6000000.

Geometrical cross section = $2394.46 \text{ mb}$.

Number of equivalent gamma quanta = $3.220898E-01$

Inelastic cross section per eqqv = $1.389253E+02 \text{ mb}$

Averaged absorption cross section = $4.476443E+01 \text{ mb}$

Results are normalized to eqqv.

Inelastic cross section used here = 138.93 mb

Monte Carlo inelastic cross section = 35.37 mb

Number of inelastic interactions = 10000,

Number of elastic interactions = 666883.

Reaction cross section = 138.93 mb, Elastic cross section = 9264.69 mb.

The mean excitation energy, charge, mass, and angular momentum of the 10000 nuclei after the cascade and before preequilibrium decay are:

- $E^*_{\text{av}} = 126.4 \pm 104.9 \text{ MeV}$; $Z_{\text{av}} = 1.2$; $A_{\text{av}} = 78.9$
- $Z_{\text{av}} = 78.6 \pm 0.8$; $Z_{\text{max}} = 73.$; $Z_{\text{min}} = 73.$
- $A_{\text{av}} = 194.7 \pm 2.2$; $A_{\text{max}} = 196.$; $A_{\text{min}} = 196.$
- $\Lambda_{\text{av}} = 6.0 \pm 4.6 \text{ h-bar}$; $\Lambda_{\text{max}} = 40.$

The mean charge, mass, and angular momentum of the 2 residual nuclei with less than 3 MeV of excitation energy after the cascade are:

- $Z_{\text{av}} = 79.0 \pm 0.0$; $Z_{\text{max}} = 79.$; $Z_{\text{min}} = 79.$
- $A_{\text{av}} = 196.0 \pm 0.0$; $A_{\text{max}} = 196.$; $A_{\text{min}} = 196.$
- $\Lambda_{\text{av}} = 3.5 \pm 2.5 \text{ h-bar}$; $\Lambda_{\text{max}} = 6.$

The mean excitation energy, charge, mass, and angular momentum of the 9998 nuclei after preequilibrium decay and before the start of statistical decay are:

- $E^*_{\text{av}} = 92.9 \pm 87.4 \text{ MeV}$; $E_{\text{min}} = 0.2$; $E_{\text{max}} = 78.9$
- $Z_{\text{av}} = 78.1 \pm 1.2$; $Z_{\text{max}} = 73.$; $Z_{\text{min}} = 73.$
- $A_{\text{av}} = 193.5 \pm 3.3$; $A_{\text{max}} = 196.$; $A_{\text{min}} = 196.$
- $\Lambda_{\text{av}} = 8.1 \pm 6.0 \text{ h-bar}$; $\Lambda_{\text{max}} = 61.$

The mean kinetic energy, charge, mass, and angular momentum of the 9999 residual nuclei are:

- $E_{\text{av}} = 0.6 \pm 1.1 \text{ MeV}$; $E_{\text{min}} = 0.0$; $E_{\text{max}} = 21.9$
- $Z_{\text{av}} = 77.3 \pm 2.5$; $Z_{\text{max}} = 81.$; $Z_{\text{min}} = 60.$
- $A_{\text{av}} = 185.3 \pm 9.4$; $A_{\text{max}} = 196.$; $A_{\text{min}} = 196.$
- $\Lambda_{\text{av}} = 8.0 \pm 6.0 \text{ h-bar}$; $\Lambda_{\text{max}} = 61.$

The mean excitation energy, charge, mass, angular momentum, and fission barrier height of the 201 fissioning nuclei are:

- $E_{\text{av}} = 203.9 \pm 96.6 \text{ MeV}$; $E_{\text{min}} = 46.2$; $E_{\text{max}} = 487.2$
- $Z_{\text{av}} = 77.0 \pm 2.5$; $Z_{\text{max}} = 81.$; $Z_{\text{min}} = 68.$
- $A_{\text{av}} = 186.8 \pm 6.6$; $A_{\text{max}} = 196.$; $A_{\text{min}} = 196.$
- $\Lambda_{\text{av}} = 10.8 \pm 7.1 \text{ h-bar}$; $\Lambda_{\text{max}} = 40.$
- $B_{\text{av}} = 18.4 \pm 2.2 \text{ MeV}$; $B_{\text{min}} = 12.7$; $B_{\text{max}} = 24.8$

The mean total fission product kinetic energy after neutron emission is 122.90 MeV.

Direct Monte Carlo Simulation Method:

- Fissility = 0.0021 +/- 0.0014,
- Fission cross section = 2.79240E+00 +/- 1.97E-01 mb.

Statistical Weight Functions Method:

- Fissility = 0.0170,
- Fission cross section = 2.36377E+00 mb.

Number of coalesced d, t, He3, He4 = 1051 333 49 40

Mean multiplicities, yields, and mean energies of ejected particles:

\[ \text{Notation: } T - \text{ all production mechanisms, } C - \text{ cascade, } P - \text{ pre-equilibrium, } \]
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part.</th>
<th>Multiplicities</th>
<th>Yields [mb]</th>
<th>&lt;TKE&gt; [MeV]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>T n</td>
<td>9.163 +/- 0.0303</td>
<td>1273.098 +/- 4.206</td>
<td>10.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C n</td>
<td>1.608 +/- 0.0127</td>
<td>223.475 +/- 1.762</td>
<td>41.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P n</td>
<td>0.5664 +/- 0.0075</td>
<td>78.687 +/- 1.046</td>
<td>19.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sn n</td>
<td>6.6590 +/- 0.0288</td>
<td>925.104 +/- 3.585</td>
<td>2.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pf n</td>
<td>0.0553 +/- 0.0024</td>
<td>7.683 +/- 0.327</td>
<td>6.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F n</td>
<td>0.2746 +/- 0.0052</td>
<td>38.149 +/- 0.728</td>
<td>4.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K n</td>
<td>6.9889 +/- 0.0264</td>
<td>970.935 +/- 3.673</td>
<td>3.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T p</td>
<td>0.9682 +/- 0.0088</td>
<td>134.507 +/- 4.412</td>
<td>4.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C p</td>
<td>0.3384 +/- 0.0058</td>
<td>47.012 +/- 0.808</td>
<td>88.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P p</td>
<td>0.2918 +/- 0.0054</td>
<td>40.538 +/- 0.760</td>
<td>29.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sp p</td>
<td>0.3186 +/- 0.0056</td>
<td>44.262 +/- 0.794</td>
<td>11.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pf p</td>
<td>0.0048 +/- 0.0007</td>
<td>0.667 +/- 0.096</td>
<td>14.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F p</td>
<td>0.0148 +/- 0.0012</td>
<td>2.028 +/- 0.168</td>
<td>9.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K p</td>
<td>0.3880 +/- 0.0088</td>
<td>46.957 +/- 0.808</td>
<td>11.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T d</td>
<td>0.3494 +/- 0.0059</td>
<td>48.541 +/- 0.621</td>
<td>25.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C d</td>
<td>0.1196 +/- 0.0036</td>
<td>16.615 +/- 0.480</td>
<td>31.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P d</td>
<td>0.1179 +/- 0.0034</td>
<td>16.379 +/- 0.477</td>
<td>12.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sp d</td>
<td>0.0042 +/- 0.0006</td>
<td>0.583 +/- 0.090</td>
<td>15.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pf d</td>
<td>0.0036 +/- 0.0006</td>
<td>0.500 +/- 0.083</td>
<td>11.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F d</td>
<td>0.1257 +/- 0.0036</td>
<td>17.463 +/- 0.493</td>
<td>12.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K d</td>
<td>0.1041 +/- 0.0032</td>
<td>14.462 +/- 0.448</td>
<td>45.50</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Co t  | 0.0328 +/- 0.0018 | 4.557 +/- 0.252 | 28.91 |
| Sp t  | 0.0509 +/- 0.0023 | 7.071 +/- 0.323 | 13.63 |
| Pt t  | 0.0016 +/- 0.0004 | 0.208 +/- 0.056 | 13.99 |
| Pf t  | 0.0016 +/- 0.0004 | 0.222 +/- 0.056 | 12.18 |
| F t   | 0.0540 +/- 0.0023 | 7.502 +/- 0.323 | 13.63 |
| K t   | 0.0328 +/- 0.0018 | 4.557 +/- 0.252 | 28.91 |

| T Na3 | 0.0261 +/- 0.0016 | 3.626 +/- 0.224 | 42.07 |
| P Na3 | 0.0188 +/- 0.0013 | 2.334 +/- 0.180 | 47.21 |
| SNa3  | 0.0424 +/- 0.0006 | 0.583 +/- 0.090 | 23.53 |
| PFNa3 | 0.0001 +/- 0.0001 | 0.014 +/- 0.014 | 25.09 |
| F Na3 | 0.0001 +/- 0.0001 | 0.014 +/- 0.014 | 13.66 |
| K Na3 | 0.0046 +/- 0.0007 | 0.611 +/- 0.099 | 23.34 |
| CoNa3 | 0.0049 +/- 0.0007 | 0.681 +/- 0.097 | 40.97 |

| T Ne4 | 0.1774 +/- 0.0042 | 24.645 +/- 0.585 | 24.18 |
| P Ne4 | 0.0074 +/- 0.0009 | 1.028 +/- 0.120 | 56.90 |
| SNe4  | 0.1568 +/- 0.0040 | 21.783 +/- 0.550 | 22.73 |
| PFNe4 | 0.0041 +/- 0.0006 | 0.570 +/- 0.089 | 15.74 |
| F Ne4 | 0.0051 +/- 0.0007 | 0.709 +/- 0.099 | 17.39 |
| K Ne4 | 0.1660 +/- 0.0041 | 23.062 +/- 0.566 | 22.64 |
| CoNe4 | 0.0040 +/- 0.0006 | 0.556 +/- 0.088 | 27.64 |

Values which are identically zero are not printed.

- Co - Coalescence from cascade;
- Sp - from spallation residues, Pf - from nuclei before fission;
- T - from fission fragments, E - total evaporation = Sp + Pf + F;
- Co - Coalescence from cascade;

---

Values which are identically zero are not printed.
Mass yield [mb] and the mean and variance of the kinetic energy [MeV]
of residual nuclei:
\[
\begin{align*}
A = 196 &.6.897E+00 & +/- & 9.72E-02 & 1.629E-01 & +/- & 9.21E-02 \\
A = 195 &.4.67E+00 & +/- & 2.80E-01 & 1.524E-01 & +/- & 1.40E-01 \\
\end{align*}
\]
---

Charge yield [mb] and the mean and variance of the kinetic energy [MeV]
of residual nuclei:
\[
\begin{align*}
Z = 81 &.3.89E+02 & +/- & 1.38E+02 & 2.362E+01 & +/- & 0.00E+00 \\
Z = 80 &.3.77E+00 & +/- & 2.22E+00 & 3.332E+01 & +/- & 3.36E-01 \\
\end{align*}
\]
---

Mass yield [mb] and the mean and variance of the kinetic energy [MeV]
of residual nuclei:
\[
\begin{align*}
S = 2.61 &.848E+01 & +/- & 6.07E-01 & 1.369E+01 & +/- & 3.43E+01 \\
S = 140 &.6.25E+03 & +/- & 4.78E+00 & 1.376E+01 & +/- & 3.26E+01 \\
\end{align*}
\]

---------------------------

Charge yield [mb] and the mean and variance of the kinetic energy [MeV]
of residual nuclei:
\[
\begin{align*}
Z = 61 &.3.89E+02 & +/- & 1.38E+02 & 2.362E+01 & +/- & 0.00E+00 \\
Z = 60 &.3.77E+00 & +/- & 2.22E+00 & 3.332E+01 & +/- & 3.36E-01 \\
\end{align*}
\]
---

End of nuclide yields (forward direction).

********** Nuclide yields [mb] in forward direction (theta_lab < 90) **********
(zero values suppressed)

---

********** Nuclide yields [mb] in backward direction (theta_lab > 90) **********
(zero values suppressed)

---

(continued)
A = 4 0.000E+00 +/- 0.00E+00 1.179E+01 +/- 4.05E-01 0.000E+00 +/- 0.00E+00
A = 3 0.000E+00 +/- 0.00E+00 1.514E+00 +/- 4.15E-01 6.460E+00 +/- 3.00E-01
A = 2 0.000E+00 +/- 0.00E+00 0.000E+00 +/- 0.00E+00 2.030E+01 +/- 5.31E-01
A = 1 0.000E+00 +/- 0.00E+00 0.000E+00 +/- 0.00E+00 5.060E+01 +/- 8.38E-01
S = 4 0.000E+00 +/- 0.00E+00 1.331E+01 +/- 4.30E-01 7.735E+01 +/- 1.04E+00
Z = 0.
A = 1 5.954E+02 +/- 2.88E+00
S = 1 5.954E+02 +/- 2.88E+00

End of nuclide yields (backward direction).

Mass yield [mb] and the mean and variance of the kinetic energy [MeV] of residual nuclei in the backward direction:
A = 196 4.685E-01 +/- 7.98E-02 1.409E+01 +/- 5.97E-02
A = 195 2.320E+00 +/- 1.80E+01 1.574E+01 +/- 1.56E+01
A = 1 6.466E+02 +/- 3.00E+00 9.650E+00 +/- 1.02E+01
S = 123 7.390E+02 +/- 3.20E+00 9.892E+00 +/- 2.00E+01

Charge yield [mb] and the mean and variance of the kinetic energy [MeV] of residual nuclei in the backward direction:
Z = 80 3.195E-01 +/- 6.66E-02 2.509E-01 +/- 2.70E-01
Z = 79 1.616E+01 +/- 4.74E+01 1.447E+01 +/- 1.72E+01
Z = 8 8.994E+02 +/- 2.83E+00 9.193E+00 +/- 1.80E+01
S = 56 7.390E+02 +/- 3.20E+00 9.892E+00 +/- 2.00E+01

****** Nuclide average kinetic energies [MeV] (zero yield suppressed) ******

A = 196 0.000E+00 +/- 0.00E+00 0.000E+00 +/- 0.00E+00 1.075E-01 +/- 1.03E-01
A = 195 0.000E+00 +/- 0.00E+00 2.196E-01 +/- 2.74E-01 1.210E-01 +/- 8.70E-02
A = 183 0.000E+00 +/- 0.00E+00 2.268E-01 +/- 0.00E+00 2.385E-01 +/- 0.00E+00
S = 14 2.362E-01 +/- 0.00E+00 3.332E-01 +/- 3.29E-01 1.754E-01 +/- 2.32E-01
Z = 78. Z = 77. Z = 76.
A = 196 1.484E-01 +/- 6.31E-02 0.000E+00 +/- 0.00E+00 0.000E+00 +/- 0.00E+00
A = 195 2.013E-01 +/- 1.81E-01 3.451E-01 +/- 0.00E+00 0.000E+00 +/- 0.00E+00
A = 169 0.000E+00 +/- 0.00E+00 0.000E+00 +/- 0.00E+00 2.814E+00 +/- 0.00E+00
S = 28 4.145E-01 +/- 4.36E-01 7.161E-01 +/- 6.47E-01 9.505E-01 +/- 8.63E-01
Z = 75. Z = 74. Z = 73.

-----------------------------

A = 4 0.000E+00 +/- 0.00E+00 2.418E+00 +/- 9.69E+00 0.000E+00 +/- 0.00E+00
A = 3 0.000E+00 +/- 0.00E+00 4.207E+01 +/- 2.47E+01 2.279E+01 +/- 1.96E+01
A = 2 0.000E+00 +/- 0.00E+00 0.000E+00 +/- 0.00E+00 2.884E+01 +/- 2.93E+01
A = 1 0.000E+00 +/- 0.00E+00 0.000E+00 +/- 0.00E+00 4.412E+01 +/- 5.92E+01
S = 4 0.000E+00 +/- 0.00E+00 2.648E+01 +/- 1.44E+01 3.966E+01 +/- 5.17E+01
Z = 0.
A = 1 1.078E+01 +/- 2.84E+01
S = 1 1.078E+01 +/- 2.84E+01

End of nuclide average kinetic energies.

Mass yield [mb] and the mean and variance of the emission angle [deg.] of residual nuclei:
A = 196 6.807E-01 +/- 9.72E-02 8.992E+01 +/- 4.05E+01
A = 195 4.487E+00 +/- 2.50E-01 9.217E+01 +/- 4.52E+01
A = 1 1.408E+00 +/- 4.42E+00 8.597E+01 +/- 4.01E+01
S = 140 1.642E+03 +/- 4.78E+00 8.516E+01 +/- 4.02E+01

The mean and variance of the z velocity [vz] of residual nuclei, and the forward/backward ratio:
A = 196 9.363E-05 +/- 8.57E-04 4.84E-01 +/- 2.06E-01
A = 195 3.324E-05 +/- 8.47E-04 9.341E-01 +/- 1.47E-01
A = 1 1.708E-02 +/- 1.04E-01 1.179E+00 +/- 1.05E-02
S = 140 1.571E-02 +/- 9.91E-02 1.222E+00 +/- 1.01E-02

Charge yield [mb] and the mean and variance of the emission angle [deg.] of residual nuclei:
Z = 81 1.389E-02 +/- 1.39E-02 3.843E+01 +/- 0.00E+00
Z = 80 3.779E+00 +/- 2.29E+01 4.682E+01 +/- 3.04E+01
Z = 8 9.597E+00 +/- 1.27E+01 8.699E+01 +/- 3.98E+01
Z = 0 1.273E+03 +/- 4.21E+00 8.516E+01 +/- 4.02E+01

The mean and variance of the z velocity [vz] of residual nuclei, and the forward/backward ratio:
Z = 81 1.283E-03 +/- 0.00E+00 1.000E+00 +/- 0.00E+00
Z = 80 1.127E-03 +/- 8.93E-04 1.471E+01 +/- 7.72E+00
Z = 0 1.170E-02 +/- 9.07E-02 1.910E+00 +/- 5.63E-03
**Mass distributions of nuclei:**

- **at start of evap:** just prior to after cascade after preeq evap. only fission fission
- **A=197**
  - just prior: 2.070E-01 8.720E-02 8.710E-02
  - after cascade: 2.637E-01 2.248E-01 2.236E-01
  - preeq evap. only: 1.222E+00 4.000E+00
- **A=196**
  - just prior: 1.947E+02 1.935E+02
  - after cascade: 1.935E+02
  - preeq evap. only: 1.912E+02 1.868E+02

**St De A**
- just prior: 2.206E+00 3.212E+00 3.187E+00 3.606E+00 6.608E+00
- preeq evap. only: 1.000E+00 1.000E+00

**<A>**
- just prior: 1.947E+02 1.935E+02 1.935E+02 1.912E+02 1.868E+02
- preeq evap. only: 1.868E+02

**Charge distributions of nuclei:**

- **Z=81**
  - just prior: 1.100E-03 4.000E-04 3.000E-04
  - after cascade: 5.090E-02 3.660E-02 3.490E-02
  - preeq evap. only: 1.000E+00
- **Z=80**
  - just prior: 0.000E+00 0.000E+00 0.000E+00
  - after cascade: 0.000E+00 0.000E+00 0.000E+00

**<Z>**
- just prior: 7.858E+01 7.809E+01 7.809E+01 7.790E+01 7.696E+01
- preeq evap. only: 7.696E+01

**Excitation energy distributions [1/MeV] of nuclei:**

- **E*(MeV)**
  - just prior: 1.000E+00 1.000E+00
  - after cascade: 1.000E+00 0.000E+00
  - preeq evap. only: 1.000E+00

**<E*>**
- just prior: 1.260E+02 9.288E+01 8.946E+01 2.593E+02 2.039E+02
- preeq evap. only: 2.039E+02

**Linear momentum distributions [1/MeV/c] of nuclei:**

- **P(MeV/c)**
  - just prior: 1.000E+00 1.000E+00
  - after cascade: 0.000E+00 0.000E+00

**<P>**
- just prior: 2.999E+02 3.357E+02 3.318E+02 5.253E+02 5.774E+02
- preeq evap. only: 5.774E+02

**Angular momentum distributions [1/hbar] of nuclei:**

- **La f t e r c a s c a d e a f t e r p r e e q e v a p . o n l y f i s s i o n**
  - just prior: 2.420E-02 1.340E-02 1.340E-02
  - after cascade: 1.379E-01 8.070E-02 8.050E-02

**<L>**
- just prior: 5.997E+00 8.098E+00 8.043E+00
- preeq evap. only: 8.043E+00

**Distribution of fission-fragment opening angles [1/deg.] (lab.sys.) in different bins of neutron multiplicity:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>theta(deg.)</th>
<th>All events</th>
<th>n = 0-5</th>
<th>n = 6-8</th>
<th>n = 9-12</th>
<th>n = 13-15</th>
<th>n = 16-19</th>
<th>n = 20</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>140. - 141.</td>
<td>4.97E+00</td>
<td>0.00E+00</td>
<td>0.00E+00</td>
<td>0.00E+00</td>
<td>0.00E+00</td>
<td>0.00E+00</td>
<td>4.97E+00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>140. - 149.</td>
<td>4.97E+00</td>
<td>0.00E+00</td>
<td>0.00E+00</td>
<td>0.00E+00</td>
<td>0.00E+00</td>
<td>0.00E+00</td>
<td>4.97E+00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>179. - 180.</td>
<td>1.00E+00</td>
<td>8.09E+00</td>
<td>8.04E+00</td>
<td>1.08E+00</td>
<td>1.08E+00</td>
<td>1.08E+00</td>
<td>1.08E+00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Neutron-multiplicity probability:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nn</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>Cascade</th>
<th>Pre-eqvl.</th>
<th>Evap. res.</th>
<th>Pre-fiss.</th>
<th>Post-fiss.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>5.50E-03</td>
<td>2.87E-01</td>
<td>5.87E-01</td>
<td>1.24E-02</td>
<td>5.20E-03</td>
<td>0.00E+00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.00E+00</td>
<td>3.17E-01</td>
<td>2.96E-01</td>
<td>4.52E-02</td>
<td>3.80E-03</td>
<td>0.00E+00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Neutron-multiplicity probability:**

- just prior: 5.81E+00 1.70E+00 8.03E+00 4.39E+00 2.83E+00 4.70E+00
- preeq evap. only: 4.70E+00

**Elapsed cpu time = 0. min and 23.331 sec.**
Appendix 3

Example 1

Figure 4: Experimental proton spectra from 500 MeV p + Ni [132] compared with CEM03.03 results obtained using the input shown in Example 1 of Appendix 1 (the corresponding output is shown in Example 1 of Appendix 2). In contrast to the input file shown in Appendix 1, the results shown in this figure are for one million simulated inelastic events ($limc=1000000$). The option considering 66 types of possible evaporated particles ($nevtype=66$) requires 18 min 34 sec of computing time on an UltraSPARC 1.3 GHz Sunstation, while the $nevtype=6$ option requires only 6 min 51 sec, providing almost the same results.
Figure 5: Experimental $\pi^0$ spectra from 500 MeV $\pi^- + ^{64}$Cu [133, 27] compared with CEM03.03 results obtained using the input shown in Example 2 of Appendix 1 (the corresponding output is shown in Example 2 of Appendix 2). In contrast to the input file shown in Appendix 1, the results shown in this figure are for one million simulated inelastic events ($\text{limc}=1000000$). As pions are produced by CEM03.03 only at the INC stage of reactions, calculated pion spectra do not depend on the value of $\text{nevtype}$; this calculation was done using only the $\text{nevtype}=6$ option in the input and it took 8 min 11 sec on an UltraSPARC 1.3 GHz Sunstation.
Figure 6: Experimental $\pi^+$ spectra from 562.5 MeV n + Cu [134] compared with CEM03.03 results obtained using the input shown in Example 3 of Appendix 1 (the corresponding output is shown in Example 3 of Appendix 2). In contrast to the input file shown in Appendix 1, the results shown in this figure are for one million simulated inelastic events ($\text{limc}=1000000$). This calculation was done using only the $\text{nevtype}=6$ option in the input, for the same reason as discussed for Example 2, and it took 7 min 33 sec on an UltraSPARC 1.3 GHz Sunstation.
Figure 7: Experimental neutron spectra from 1.5 GeV $\pi^+ + ^{56}$Fe [135] compared with CEM03.03 results obtained using the input shown in Example 4 of Appendix 1 (the corresponding output is shown in Example 4 of Appendix 2). In contrast to the input file shown in Appendix 1, the results shown in this figure are for one million simulated inelastic events ($\text{limc}=1000000$). The \texttt{nevtype=66} option requires 38 min 17 sec of computing time on an UltraSPARC 1.3 GHz Sunstation, while the \texttt{nevtype=6} option requires only 13 min 9 sec, providing almost the same results.
Figure 8: Experimental neutron-induced fission cross section of $^{197}$Au [136]–[138] compared with CEM03.03 results obtained using the input shown in Example 5 of Appendix 1 (the corresponding output is shown in Example 5 of Appendix 2). The results shown in this figure are the Direct Monte Carlo Simulation Method fission cross sections from the CEM03.03 output. These calculations were done at neutron energies from 30 MeV ($t0\text{mev}=30.0$) to 500 MeV ($t0\text{max}=500.5$) with a step of 10 MeV ($dt0=10.0$) and, in contrast to the input file shown in Appendix 1, use 100000 simulated inelastic events for each energy point ($\text{limc}=100000$). The $\text{nevtype}=66$ option requires 1 hr 34 min 11 sec of computing time on an UltraSPARC 1.3 GHz Sunstation, while the $\text{nevtype}=6$ option requires only 43 min 29 sec, providing almost the same results.
Figure 9: Experimental angle-integrated energy spectra (upper left plot), energy-integrated angular distributions (upper right plot), and double-differential spectra of nucleons and complex particles [139] compared with CEM03.03 results obtained using the input shown in Example 6 of Appendix 1 (the corresponding output is shown in Example 6 of Appendix 2). In contrast to the input file shown in Appendix 1, the results shown in this figure are for ten million simulated inelastic events \((\text{limc}=1000000)\). The \texttt{nevtype=66} option requires 1 hr 51 min 8 sec of computing time on an UltraSPARC 1.3 GHz Sunstation, while the \texttt{nevtype=6} option requires only 1 hr 26 min 20 sec, providing practically the same results, indistinguishable within the scale of this figure.
Figure 10: The measured [140] mass and charge distributions of the product yields from the reaction 800 MeV/A $^{197}$Au+p and of the mean kinetic energy of these products, and the mass distributions of the cross sections for the production of thirteen elements with the charge Z from 20 to 80 (open symbols) compared with CEM03.03 results obtained using the input shown in Example 7 of Appendix 1 (the corresponding output is shown in Example 7 of Appendix 2). In contrast to the input file from Appendix 1, the results shown in this figure are for ten million simulated inelastic events ($\text{limc}=10000000$). The $\text{nevtype}=66$ option requires 9 hr 7 min 23 sec of computing time on an UltraSPARC 1.3 GHz Sunstation, while the $\text{nevtype}=6$ option requires only 2 hr 14 min 45 sec, providing almost the same results for the spallation and fission products. The fragment ($2 < Z < 13, 6 < A < 29$) results are very different, therefore we need to use the option $\text{nevtype}=66$ when we are interested in fragment production.
Figure 11: Experimental mass distributions of the yields of eight isotopes from Na to Mn [141] and of all light fragments from Li to O [142] from the reaction 1 GeV/A $^{56}$Fe+p and the mass number- and charge-distributions of the product yield compared with CEM03.03 results obtained using the input shown in Example 8 of Appendix 1 (the corresponding output is shown in Example 8 of Appendix 2). Predictions of CEM03.03 for the mean kinetic energy, mean production angle $\Theta$, mean parallel velocity $v_z$, and of the F/B ratio of the forward product cross sections to the backward ones of all isotopes in the laboratory system are given as well. In contrast to the input file from Appendix 1, the results shown in this figure are for ten million simulated inelastic events ($\text{limc}=10000000$). The nevtype=$66$ option requires 4 hr 57 min 52 sec of computing time on an UltraSPARC 1.3 GHz Sunstation, while the nevtype=$6$ option requires only 1 hr 38 min 23 sec, providing almost the same results for the spallation products. The yields of light fragments, especially of Li and Be, differ by several orders of magnitude, therefore we need to use the option nevtype $> 6$ when we are interested in light-fragment production.
Figure 12: Proton spectra at 45°, 90°, and 135° from the reaction 300 MeV $\gamma + \text{Cu} \rightarrow p + \ldots$. Symbols are experimental data from [143] and histograms are CEM03.03 results obtained using the input shown in Example 9 of Appendix 1 (the corresponding output is shown in Example 9 of Appendix 2). In contrast to the input file from Appendix 1, the results shown in this figure are for one million simulated inelastic events ($\text{limc}=1000000$). The $\text{nevtype}=66$ option requires 35 min 18 sec of computing time on an UltraSPARC 1.3 GHz Sunstation, while the $\text{nevtype}=6$ option requires only 26 min 23 sec, providing almost the same results.
Figure 13: Results from CEM03.03 for the product yield of all isotopes and of their mean laboratory kinetic energy as functions of the product mass-number $A$ and charge $Z$ from interactions of bremsstrahlung gammas with a maximum energy of 1 GeV with Au. In contrast to the input file from Appendix 1, whose output we show in Example 10 of Appendix 2, we use ten million simulated inelastic events ($\text{limc}=10000000$). The nevtype=66 option requires 8 hr 58 min 58 sec of computing time on an UltraSPARC 1.3 GHz Sunstation, while the nevtype=6 option requires only 6 hr 17 min 31 sec, providing almost the same results for the spallation and fission products but underestimating the yields of light fragments by more than two orders of magnitude. The experimental cross sections shown for comparison by circles are from the review [144] and their tabulated values were kindly sent us by Dr. Hiroshi Matsumura.